Journal of Prime Research in Mathematics Vol. 2(2006), 120-130

AN ITERATIVE METHOD FOR NONEXPANSIVE MAPPING IN BANACH SPACES

XIAOLONG QIN¹, YONGFU SU²

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish weak and strong convergence theorems of the three-step iterative sequences with errors for non-self nonexpansive mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces. Our results extend and improve the recent ones announced by Naseer Shahzad and some others.

Key words : Nonexpansive non-self map; *Opial's* condition; Uniformly convex; Demiclosed *AMS SUBJECT*: 47H09; 46B20

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let *E* be a real Banach space, *C* a nonempty closed convex subset of *E*, and $T: C \to C$ a mapping. Recall that *T* is nonexpansive mapping if $||Tx - Ty|| \leq ||x - y||$ for all $x, y \in C$. A point $x \in C$ is a fixed point of *T* provided Tx = x. Denote by *N* the set of natural numbers and Denote by F(T) the set of fixed points of *T*; that is, $F(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$. It is assumed throughout this paper that *T* is a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Iterative techniques for approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings have been studied by various authors (see e.g., [3, 7, 9, 11, 12]). In [11], Tan and Xu introduced a modified Ishikawa process to approximate fixed points of nonexpansive mappings defined on nonempty closed convex bounded subsets of a uniformly convex Banach space E. More precisely, They proved the following theorem.

Theorem TX (Tan and Xu [11,Theorem 1]). Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space which satisfies Opial's condition or has a Frechet differentiable

¹Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300160, P.R.China, E-mail: qxlxajh@163.com.

²Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300160, P.R.China, E-mail: suyongfu@tjpu.edu.cn.

norm and C a nonempty closed convex bounded subset of E. Let $T: C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be real sequences in [0,1] such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated from arbitrary $x_1 \in C$ by

(1.1)
$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T[(1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T x_n], \quad n \ge 1,$$

converges weakly to some fixed point of T.

In the above result, T remains self-mapping of a nonempty closed convex subset K of a uniformly convex Banach space, if, however, the domain K of T is a proper subset of E(and this is the cases in several applications), and Tmaps K into E then iteration processes of Mann [5] and Ishikawa [2] studied by these authors may fail to be well defined.

Recently, Naseer Shahzad [10] studied the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

(1.2)
$$x_1 \in K, \ x_{n+1} = P((1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n TP[(1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n Tx_n]),$$

where K is a nonempty closed convex nonexpansive retract of a real uniformly convex Banach space E with P as a nonexpansive retraction. He proved weak and strong convergence theorems for non-self nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces.

Motivated by the Nasser Shahzad [10], this paper study the iteration scheme as following

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} z_n = P(\alpha''_n T_3 x_n + \beta''_n x_n + \gamma''_n w_n), \\ y_n = P(\alpha'_n T_2 z_n + \beta'_n x_n + \gamma'_n v_n), \\ x_{n+1} = P(\alpha_n T_1 y_n + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n u_n), \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$, $\{\alpha'_n\}$, $\{\beta'_n\}$, $\{\gamma'_n\}$, $\{\alpha''_n\}$, $\{\beta''_n\}$ and $\{\gamma''_n\}$ are sequences in [0,1] such that $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = \alpha'_n + \beta'_n + \gamma'_n = \alpha''_n + \beta''_n + \gamma''_n = 1$ and $\epsilon \leq \alpha_n, \alpha'_n, \alpha''_n \leq 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \in N$ and some $\epsilon > 0$, $\{u_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$, $\{w_n\}$ are bounded sequence in K.

The purpose of this paper is to construct an iteration scheme with errors for approximating a common fixed point of nonexpansive non-self maps (when such a fixed point exists) and to prove some strong and weak convergence theorems for such maps. Our theorems improve and generalize some previous results.

A normed space E is said to satisfy *Opial's* condition [6] if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $E, x_n \rightharpoonup x$ implies that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\|$$

for all $y \in E$ with $y \neq x$.

Let E be a real Banach space. A subset K of E is said to be a retract of E if there exists a continuous map $P: E \to E$ such that Px = x for all $x \in K$.

A map $P: E \to E$ is said to be a retraction if $P^2 = P$. It follows that if a map P is a retraction, then Py = y for all y in the range of P.

A mappingt T with domain D(T) and range R(T) in E is said to be demiclosed at p if whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in D(T) such that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $x^* \in D(T)$ and $\{Tx_n\}$ converges strongly to p, then $Tx^* = p$.

Recall that the mapping $T: K \to E$ with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ where K is a subset of E, is said to satisfy condition A [10] if there is a nondecreasing function $f: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with f(0) = 0 and f(r) > 0 for all $r \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $x \in K$

$$||x - Tx|| \ge f(d(x, F(T))),$$

where $d(x, F(T) = inf\{||x - p|| : p \in F(T)\}.$

Senter and Dotson [9] approximated fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping T by Mann iterates, Later on, Maiti and Ghosh [4] and Tan and Xu [11] studied the approximation of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping Tby Ishikawa iterates under the same condition (A) which is weaker than the requirement that T is demicompact. We modify this condition for three mappings T_1, T_2 and $T_3: C \to C$ as follows:

Three mappings T_1, T_2 and $T_3 : C \to C$ where C a subset of E, are said to satisfy condition (A') if there exists a nondecreasing function $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with f(0) = 0, f(r) > 0 for all $r \in (0, \infty)$ such that $a||x - T_1x|| + b||x - T_2x|| + c||x - T_3x|| \ge f(d(x, F(T)))$ for all $x \in C$ where $d(x, F(T)) = inf\{||x - p|| : p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \cap F(T_3)\}$ and a, b and c are three nonnegative real numbers such that a + b + c = 1.

Note that condition (A') reduces to condition (A) when $T_1 = T_2 = T_3$.

In order to prove our main results, we shall make use of the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1.1 (Schu [8]). Suppose that E is a uniformly convex Banach space and $0 for all <math>n \in N$. Suppose further that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in E such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n\| \le r, \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|y_n\| \le r$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|t_n x_n + (1 - t_n) y_n\| = r$$

hold for some $r \ge 0$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - y_n|| = 0$.

Lemma 1.2 (Browder [1]). Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, C a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let T be nonexpansive mapping of K into E. Then I - T is demiclosed with respect to zero.

122

Lemma 1.3 (Tan and Xu [11]). Let $\{r_n\}$, $\{s_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ be three nonnegative sequences satisfying the following condition:

$$r_{n+1} \le r_n + t_n$$
 for all $n \ge 1$.

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n < \infty$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n$ exists.

2. Convergence of The Iteration Scheme

In this section, we shall prove the weak and strong convergence of the iterative scheme (1.3) to approximate a common fixed point of the nonexpansive mappings T_1 , T_2 and T_3 .

Lemma 2.1 Let *E* be a normed linear space and *K* a nonempty convex closed subset which is also a nonexpansive retract of *E*. Let T_1, T_2 and $T_3 : K \to E$ be nonexpansive mappings with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, where F(T) denotes the set of all common fixed points of T_1, T_2 and T_3 . Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\alpha'_n\}, \{\beta''_n\}, \{\alpha''_n\}, \{\beta''_n\}$ and $\{\gamma''_n\}$ be real sequences in [0, 1] such that $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = \alpha'_n + \beta'_n + \gamma'_n = \alpha''_n + \beta''_n + \gamma''_n = 1$, starting from arbitrary $x_1 \in K$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by the recursion (1.3) with the restrictions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma''_n < \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma'_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists.

Proof. Let $p \in F(T)$. Since $\{w_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ are bounded sequences in *C* We set $M_1 = \sup\{\|u_n - p\| : n \ge 1\}, M_2 = \sup\{\|v_n - p\| : n \ge 1\},$ $M_3 = \sup\{\|w_n - p\| : n \ge 1\}, M = \max\{M_i : i = 1, 2, 3\}.$ It follows from (1.3) that

$$||z_n - p|| = ||P(\alpha''_n T_3 x_n + \beta''_n x_n + \gamma''_n w_n) - p||$$

$$\leq ||\alpha''_n T_3 x_n + \beta''_n x_n + \gamma''_n w_n - p||$$

$$\leq \alpha''_n ||T_3 x_n - p|| + \beta''_n ||x_n - p|| + \gamma''_n ||w_n - p||$$

$$\leq \alpha''_n ||x_n - p|| + \beta''_n ||x_n - p|| + \gamma''_n ||w_n - p||$$

$$\leq ||x_n - p|| + \gamma''_n M.$$

That is,

(2.1)
$$||z_n - p|| \le ||x_n - p|| + \gamma_n'' M$$

From (1.3) and (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\| &= \|P(\alpha'_n T_2 z_n + \beta'_n x_n + \gamma'_n v_n) - p\| \\ &\leq \|\alpha'_n T_2 z_n + \beta'_n x_n + \gamma'_n v_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha'_n \|T_2 z_n - p\| + \beta'_n \|x_n - p\| + \gamma'_n \|v_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha'_n \|z_n - p\| + \beta'_n \|x_n - p\| + \gamma'_n \|v_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha'_n \|z_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha'_n) \|x_n - p\| + \gamma'_n \|v_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha'_n (\|x_n - p\| + \gamma''_n M) + (1 - \alpha'_n) \|x_n - p\| + \gamma'_n \|v_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| + \gamma''_n M + \gamma'_n M. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

(2.2)
$$||y_n - p|| \le ||x_n - p|| + \gamma''_n M + \gamma'_n M.$$

Again, from (1.3) and (2.2) we have

$$||x_{n+1} - p|| = ||P(\alpha_n T_1 y_n + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n u_n) - p||$$

$$= ||\alpha_n T_1 y_n + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n u_n - p||$$

$$\leq \alpha_n ||T_1 y_n - p|| + \beta_n ||x_n - p|| + \gamma_n ||u_n - p||$$

$$\leq \alpha_n ||y_n - p|| + (1 - \alpha_n) ||x_n - p|| + \gamma_n ||u_n - p||$$

$$\leq \alpha_n (||x_n - p|| + \gamma''_n M + \gamma'_n M) + (1 - \alpha'_n) ||x_n - p|| + \gamma_n M$$

$$\leq ||x_n - p|| + \gamma''_n M + \gamma'_n M + \gamma_n M$$

That is,

(2.3)
$$||x_{n+1} - p|| \le ||x_n - p|| + (\gamma_n'' + \gamma_n' + \gamma_n')M$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 1.3, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - p||$ exists for all $p \in F(T)$. This completes the proof.

Notation. In the following, $\overline{\lim}$ stands for \limsup and $\underline{\lim}$ for \liminf .

Lemma 2.2 Let *E* be a uniformly convex Banach space and *K* a nonempty convex closed subset which is also a nonexpansive retract of *E*. Let T_1, T_2 and $T_3 : K \to E$ be nonexpansive mappings with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, where F(T) denotes the set of all common fixed points of T_1, T_2 and T_3 . Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\alpha'_n\}, \{\beta''_n\}, \{\alpha''_n\}, \{\beta''_n\}$ and $\{\gamma''_n\}$ be real sequences in [0, 1] such that $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = \alpha'_n + \beta'_n + \gamma'_n = \alpha''_n + \beta''_n + \gamma''_n = 1$ and $\epsilon \leq \alpha_n, \alpha'_n, \alpha''_n \leq 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \in N$ and some $\epsilon > 0$, starting from arbitrary $x_1 \in K$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by the recursion (1.3).

Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - T_1 x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - T_2 x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - T_3 x_n\| = 0.$

Proof. Take $p \in F(T)$, by Lemma 2.1 $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n-p||$ exists. Let $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n-p|| = c$. If c = 0, then by the continuity of T_1, T_2 and T_3 the conclusion follows. Now suppose c > 0. We claim $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - T_1x_n|| = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - T_2x_n|| = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - T_3x_n|| = 0$. Since $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are bounded, it follows that $\{u_n - x_n\}, \{v_n - x_n\}$ and $\{w_n - x_n\}$ are all bounded. Now, we set $r_1 = \sup\{||u_n - x_n|| : n \ge 1\}, r_2 = \sup\{||v_n - x_n|| : n \ge 1\}, r_3 = \sup\{||w_n - x_n|| : n \ge 1\}, r = \max\{r_i : 1 \le i \le 3\}.$ Taking limsup on both the sides in the inequality (2.1), we have

(2.4)
$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \|z_n - p\| \le c.$$

Similarly, taking limsup on both the sides in the inequality (2.2), we have

(2.5)
$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - p\| \le c.$$

Next, we consider

$$|T_1y_n - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_n)|| \le ||T_1y_n - p|| + \gamma_n ||u_n - x_n|| \le ||y_n - p|| + \gamma_n r.$$

Taking limsup on both the sides in the above inequality and using (2.5), we get that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Ty_n - p + \gamma_n (u_n - x_n)\| \le c.$$

The inequalities

$$|x_n - p + \gamma_n (u_n - x_n)|| \le ||x_n - p|| + \gamma_n ||u_n - x_n|| \le ||x_n - p|| + \gamma_n r,$$

yield

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p + \gamma_n (u_n - x_n)\| \le c.$$

Again, $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - p|| = c$ means that

(2.6) $\underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \|\alpha_n (T_1 y_n - p + \gamma_n (u_n - x_n)) + (1 - \alpha_n) (x_n - p + \gamma_n (u_n - x_n))\| \ge c.$ On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\alpha_n(T_1y_n - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_n)) + (1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_n))\| \\ &\leq &\alpha_n \|T_1y_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq &\alpha_n \|y_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq &\alpha_n (\|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n''r + \gamma_n'r) + (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq &\|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n''r + \gamma_n'r + \gamma_n r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

(2.7) $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \|\alpha_n(Ty_n - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_n)) + (1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_n))\| \le c.$ Formulas (2.6) and (2.7) yield

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\alpha_n (T_1 y_n - p + \gamma_n (u_n - x_n)) + (1 - \alpha_n) (x_n - p + \gamma_n (u_n - x_n))\| = c.$$

Hence applying Lemma 1.1, we have

(2.8) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_1 y_n - x_n\| = 0.$

Next, we observe that

$$||x_n - p|| \le ||T_1y_n - x_n|| + ||Ty_n - p||$$

$$\le ||T_1y_n - x_n|| + ||y_n - p||.$$

The latter yields

$$c \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - p\| \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - p\| \leq c.$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - p\| = c.$$

Again, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|y_n - p\| = c$ implies that (2.9) $\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \|\alpha'_n(T_2z_n - p + \gamma'_n(v_n - x_n)) + (1 - \alpha'_n)(x_n - p + \gamma'_n(v_n - x_n))\| \ge c.$ Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha'_{n}(T_{2}z_{n} - p + \gamma'_{n}(v_{n} - x_{n})) + (1 - \alpha'_{n})(x_{n} - p + \gamma'_{n}(v_{n} - x_{n}))\| \\ \leq &\alpha'_{n} \|T_{2}z_{n} - p\| + (1 - \alpha'_{n})\|x_{n} - p\| + \gamma'_{n}\|v_{n} - x_{n}\| \\ \leq &\alpha'_{n} \|z_{n} - p\| + (1 - \alpha'_{n})\|x_{n} - p\| + \gamma'_{n}\|v_{n} - x_{n}\| \\ \leq &\alpha'_{n}(\|x_{n} - p\| + \gamma''_{n}r) + (1 - \alpha'_{n})\|x_{n} - p\| + \gamma''_{n}\|v_{n} - x_{n}\| \\ \leq &\|x_{n} - p\| + \gamma''_{n}r + \gamma'_{n}r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

(2.10)
$$\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \|\alpha'_n(T_2z_n - p + \gamma'_n(v_n - x_n)) + (1 - \alpha'_n)(x_n - p + \gamma'_n(v_n - x_n))\| \le c.$$

Formulas (2.9) and (2.10) yield
(2.11) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\alpha'_n(T_2z_n - p + \gamma'_n(v_n - x_n)) + (1 - \alpha'_n)(x_n - p + \gamma'_n(v_n - x_n))\| = c.$

Notice that

$$||T_2 z_n - p + \gamma'_n (v_n - x_n)|| \le ||T_2 z_n - p|| + \gamma'_n ||v_n - x_n|| \le ||z_n - p|| + \gamma'_n r.$$

Taking limsup on both the sides in the above inequality and using (2.4), we have

(2.12)
$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \|T_2 z_n - p + \gamma'_n (v_n - x_n)\| \le c.$$

The inequalities

$$||x_n - p + \gamma'_n (v_n - x_n)|| \le ||x_n - p|| + \gamma'_n ||v_n - x_n|| \le ||x_n - p|| + \gamma'_n r,$$

yield

(2.13)
$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p + \gamma'_n (v_n - x_n)\| \le c.$$

126

Applying Lemma 1.1, it follows from (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) that (2.14) $\lim_{x \to \infty} ||T_2 x - x|| = 0$

(2.14)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_2 z_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

The inequalities

$$||x_n - p|| \le ||T_2 z_n - x_n|| + ||T_2 z_n - p||$$

$$\le ||T_2 z_n - x_n|| + ||z_n - p||,$$

yield

$$c \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} ||z_n - p|| \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} ||z_n - p|| \leq c.$$

That is,

(2.15)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|z_n - p\| = c.$$

Using the same method, we have (2, 16)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\alpha_n''(T_3 x_n - p + \gamma_n''(w_n - x_n)) + (1 - \alpha_n'')(x_n - p + \gamma_n''(w_n - x_n)) - p\| = c.$$

The inequalities

$$||T_3x_n - p + \gamma_n''(w_n - x_n)|| \le ||T_3x_n - p|| + \gamma_n''||w_n - x_n|| \le ||x_n - p|| + \gamma_n''r,$$

yield

(2.17)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|T_3 x_n - p + \gamma_n''(w_n - x_n)\| \le c.$$

Again,

$$||x_n - p + \gamma''_n(w_n - x_n)|| \le ||x_n - p|| + \gamma''_n||w_n - x_n||$$

$$\le ||x_n - p|| + \gamma''_n r.$$

Therefore, we obtain

(2.18)
$$\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - p + \gamma_n''(w_n - x_n)|| \le c.$$

Formulas (2.16), (17) and (2.18) yield

(2.19)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_3 x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Next we prove

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_2 x_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_1 x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

We note

$$||T_1x_n - x_n|| \le ||x_n - T_1y_n|| + ||T_1y_n - T_1x_n||$$

$$\le ||x_n - T_1y_n|| + ||y_n - x_n||$$

$$= ||x_n - T_1y_n|| + ||P(\alpha'_n T_2z_n + \beta'_n x_n + \gamma'_n v_n) - Px_n||$$

$$\le ||x_n - T_1y_n|| + ||\alpha'_n T_2z_n + \beta'_n x_n + \gamma'_n v_n - x_n||$$

$$\le ||x_n - T_1y_n|| + ||T_2z_n - x_n|| + \gamma'_n r.$$

It follows from (2.8), (2.14) and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma'_n < \infty$ that (2.20) $||T_1 x_n - x_n|| \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2 x_n - x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - T_2 z_n\| + \|T_2 z_n - T_2 x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - T_2 z_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_n - T_2 z_n\| + \|P(\alpha_n'' T_3 x_n + \beta_n'' x_n + \gamma_n'' r) - P x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - T_2 z_n\| + \|\alpha_n'' T_3 x_n + \beta_n'' x_n + \gamma_n'' r - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - T_2 z_n\| + \|T_3 x_n - x_n\| + \gamma_n'' r. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.14), (2.18) and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n'' < \infty$ that

$$||T_2x_n - x_n|| \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_3 x_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_2 x_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_1 x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E satisfying *Opial's* condition. Suppose T_1, T_2 and $T_3 : K \to E$ be nonexpansive mappings. Let $\{x_n\}$ be defined by (1.3), where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\alpha'_n\}, \{\beta'_n\}, \{\alpha''_n\}, \{\beta''_n\}$ and $\{\gamma''_n\}$ be real sequences in [0, 1] such that $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = \alpha'_n + \beta'_n + \gamma'_n = \alpha''_n + \beta''_n + \gamma''_n = 1$ and $\epsilon \leq \alpha_n, \alpha'_n, \alpha''_n \leq 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \in N$ and some $\epsilon > 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to some common fixed point of T_1, T_2 and T_3 .

Proof. For any $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \cap F(T_3)$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - p||$ exists. We now prove that $\{x_n\}$ has a unique weak subsequential limit in F(T). Firstly, let p_1 and p_2 be weak limits of subsequences $\{x_{n_k}\}$ and $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$, respectively. By Lemmas 1.2 and 2.2, we know that $p \in F(T)$. Secondly, assume $p_1 \neq p_2$, then by Opial's condition, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p_1\| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|x_{n_k} - p_1\| < \lim_{k \to \infty} \|x_{n_k} - p_2\| = \lim_{j \to \infty} \|x_{n_j} - p_2\|$$
$$< \lim_{k \to \infty} \|x_{n_k} - p_1\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p_1\|,$$

which is a contradiction, hence $p_1 = p_2$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to some common fixed point of T_1, T_2 and T_3 . The proof is completed.

Next, we shall prove a strong convergence theorem.

Theorem 2.2 Let *E* be a uniformly convex Banach space and *K* a nonempty convex closed subset which is also a nonexpansive retract of *E*. Let T_1, T_2 and $T_3 : K \to E$ be nonexpansive mappings with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, where F(T) denotes the

128

set of all common fixed points of T_1, T_2 and T_3 . Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\alpha'_n\}, \{\beta'_n\}, \{\gamma'_n\}, \{\alpha''_n\}, \{\beta''_n\}$ and $\{\gamma''_n\}$ be as taken in Lemma 2.1, starting from arbitrary $x_1 \in K$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by the recursion (1.3). Suppose T_1, T_2 and T_3 satisfies condition (A'). Then x_n converges strongly to some common fixed point of T_1, T_2 and T_3 .

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - p||$ exists for all $p \in F(T)$. Let it be c for some $c \ge 0$. If c = 0, there is nothing to prove. Suppose c > 0. By Lemma 2.2,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_3 x_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_2 x_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_1 x_n - x_n\| = 0$$

and (2.3) gives that

$$\inf_{p \in F} \|x_{n+1} - p\| \le \inf_{p \in F} \|x_n - p\| + (\gamma''_n + \gamma'_n + \gamma_n)M.$$

That is,

$$d(x_{n+1}, F) \le d(x_n, F) + (\gamma_n'' + \gamma_n' + \gamma_n)M$$

gives that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F)$ exists by virtue of Lemma 1.3. Now by condition (A'), $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(d(x_n, F)) = 0$. Since f is a nondecreasing function and f(0) = 0, therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$. Now we can take a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of x_n and sequence $y_j \subset F$ such that $||x_{n_j} - y_j|| < 2^{-j}$. Then following the arguments from [11], we get that $\{y_j\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in F(T) and so it converges. Let $y_j \to y$. Since F(T) is closed, therefore $y \in F(T)$ and then $x_{n_j} \to y$. As $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - p||$ exists, $x_n \to y \in F(T)$ thereby completing the proof.

References

- F. E. Browder, Nonlinear operators and nonlinear evolution in Banach spaces, Proceedings of the Symposium on Pure Mathematics, vol. 18, Pro. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1976.
- [2] S. Ishikawa, Fixed points by a new iteration method, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 44 (1974) 147-150.
- [3] S. Ishikawa, Fixed points and iteration of a nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1976) 377-401.
- [4] M. Maiti, M. K. Gosh, Approximating fixed points by Ishikawa iterates, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 40 (1989) 113-117.
- [5] W. R. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953) 506-510.
- [6] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of successive appproximations for nonexpansive mappings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967) 591-597.
- [7] S. Reich, Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 67 (1979) 274-276.
- [8] J. Schu, Weak and strong convergence to fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 43 (1991) 153-159.
- H. F. Senter, W. G. Doston, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mapping, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (2)(1974)375-380.

- [10] N. Shahzad, Approximating fixed points of non-self nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 61 (2005) 1031-1039.
- [11] K. K. Tan, H. K. Xu, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawaiteration process, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 178 (1993) 301-308.
- [12] L. C. Zeng, A note on approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 26 (1998) 245-250.