

ON THE POWER MEAN INEQUALITY OF THE HYPERBOLIC METRIC OF UNIT BALL

BARKAT ALI BHAYO

ABSTRACT. The hyperbolic distances from the origin are changed under the radial selfmapping $x \mapsto |x|^{1/K-1}x$, $K > 1$ of the unit ball. Here author gives the power mean inequality of the hyperbolic metric under the radial mapping.

Key words: Power Mean, inequalities, hyperbolic metric, distortion function.

AMS subject: 30F45.

1. INTRODUCTION

For the statement of the main results we introduce some notations and terminologies.

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the Power Mean M_p of order p of two positive numbers x and y is define by

$$M_p(x, y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x^p + y^p}{2} \right)^{1/p}, & p \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{xy} & p = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $a, b > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$\mathcal{A}_{a,b}(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{a-1}x & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \\ |x|^{b-1}x & \text{if } |x| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

see [4, (1.5)]. For brevity we write $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{1/K,K}$.

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Quaid-e-Awam University of Engineering Science & Technology, Nawabshah, Pakistan. Email: *bhayo.barkat@gmail.com*.

The hyperbolic metric $\rho(x, y)$ of the unit ball is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x, y) &= 2 \operatorname{artanh} \left(\frac{|x - y|}{\sqrt{|x - y|^2 + (1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2)}} \right) \\ &= 2 \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{|x - y|}{\sqrt{(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2)}} \right),\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{B}^n$, $n \geq 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [6, 8]).

A decreasing homeomorphism $\mu : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$\mu(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\mathcal{K}(r')}{\mathcal{K}(r)}, \quad \mathcal{K}(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - r^2x^2)}}, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

where $\mathcal{K}(r)$ is Legendre's complete elliptic integral of the first kind and $r' = \sqrt{1 - r^2}$.

The Hersch-Pfluger distortion function is an increasing homeomorphism $\varphi_K : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ defined by setting

$$\varphi_K(r) = \mu^{-1}(\mu(r)/K), \quad r \in (0, 1), \quad K > 0.$$

The main results of the paper are:

Theorem 1. For $K \geq 1$, $p \in [-2, 0]$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{B}^n$, we have

$$M_p(\rho(0, \mathcal{A}(|x|)), \rho(0, \mathcal{A}(|y|))) \geq \rho(0, \mathcal{A}(M_p(|x|, |y|))),$$

equality holds iff $x = y$.

Theorem 2. For $p \geq 1$, $K > 1$ and $x, y \in (0, \infty)$, the following relation holds

$$g_K(M_p(x, y)) \geq M_p(g_K(x), g_K(y)),$$

where $g_K(r) = \operatorname{artanh}(\varphi_K(\tanh(r)))$, equality holds iff $x = y$.

2. PROOFS

Let $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be continuous, where I is a subinterval of $(0, \infty)$. Let M and N be any two mean values. We say that f is MN -convex (concave) if

$$f(M(x, y)) \leq (\geq) N(f(x), f(y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Lemma 3. [2, Theorem 2.4(5)] Let $I = (0, b)$, $0 < b < \infty$, and let $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be continuous. Then f is GG -convex (concave) on I if and only if $\log(f(be^{-t}))$ is convex (concave) on $(0, \infty)$, where G is the Geometric Mean.

Lemma 4. (1) For $m \in (0, 2)$, the function

$$h_1(y) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \log(1 - y) - \frac{1 + m}{1 + y + m(1 - y)}$$

is increasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, \infty)$,

(2) for $K \geq 1$ the function

$$h_2(x) = \left(\frac{f_K(x)}{x} \right)^{-(1+m)} \frac{x^{1/K-1}}{K(1-x^{2/K})}$$

in increasing in $x \in (0, 1)$, where $f_K(x) = \log((1+x^{1/K})/(1-x^{1/K}))$.

(3) the function $h_3(t) = \log(f_K(e^{-t}))$ is convex in $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Differentiating w.r.t y we get

$$h_1'(y) = \frac{(1+m+y-my)^2 + 3(1-m^2)(1-y)}{3(1+m+y-my)^2(1-y)} > 0.$$

For (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_2'(x) &= \xi[(1+x^{2/K} + Km(1-x^{2/K}))f_K(x) - 2(1+m)x^{1/K}] \\ &= 2\xi[(1+x^{2/K} + Km(1-x^{2/K}))\operatorname{artanh}(x^{1/K}) - (1+m)x^{1/K}] \\ &> 2x^{1/K}\xi[(1+x^{2/K} + Km(1-x^{2/K}))(1 - \frac{1}{3}\log(1-x^{2/K})) - (1+m)] \\ &> 2x^{1/K}\xi[(1+x^{2/K} + m(1-x^{2/K}))(1 - \frac{1}{3}\log(1-x^{2/K})) - (1+m)], \end{aligned}$$

by using $\operatorname{artanh}(x) > 1 - \frac{1}{3}\log(1-x^{1/K})$ (see [5, Thm 1.2(2)]). Clearly $h_2'(x)$ is positive by part (1), where

$$\xi = \frac{x^{1/K+(m-1)}f_K(x)^{1-m}}{K(1-x^{2/K})f_K(x)^3}.$$

Finally we get,

$$h_3''(t) = \frac{2e^{-t/K}(1+e^{-2t/K})}{K^2(e^{-2t/K}-1)^2} > 0,$$

this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5. For $K \geq 1$, $p \in [-2, 0]$, and $r, s \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$M_p(f_K(r), f_K(s)) \geq f_K(M_p(r, s)),$$

where f_K is same as in Lemma 4, equality holds iff $r = s$.

Proof. The case $p = 0$ follows from Lemmas 4(3) and 3. For the case $p \in [-2, 0)$, let $0 < x < y < 1$, and $u = \left(\frac{x^p+y^p}{2}\right)^{1/p} < x$. We define

$$g(x) = f_K(u)^p - \frac{f_K(x) + f_K(y)^p}{2}.$$

Differentiating w.r.t x we get $du/dx = (1/2)(x/u)^{p-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= \frac{1}{2}p f_K(u)^{p-1} \frac{d}{dx} (f_K(u)) \left(\frac{x}{u}\right) - \frac{1}{2}p f_K(x)^{p-1} \frac{d}{dx} (f_K(x)) \\ &= p x^{p-1} \left[\left(\frac{f_K(u)}{u}\right)^{p-1} \frac{u^{1/K-1}}{K(1-u^{2/K})} - \left(\frac{f_K(x)}{x}\right)^{p-1} \frac{x^{1/K-1}}{K(1-x^{2/K})} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

which is positive by Lemma 4(2), hence g is increasing. This implies that $g(x) < g(y) = 0$, and this completes the proof. \square

1. Proof of Theorem 1.

The proof follows from the formula $\rho(0, r) = \log((1+r)/(1-r))$ and Lemma 5. \square

The proof of the following lemma follows from the definition of $\rho(x, y)$ and Theorem 1.

Corollary 6. *The following inequalities hold for $p \in [-2, 0]$ and $r, s \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right)^p + \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} \right)^p \\ & \geq 2 \operatorname{arsinh} \left(\frac{(r^p + s^p)^{1/p}}{\sqrt{2^{2/p} - (r^p + s^p)^{2/p}}} \right)^p, \\ & \operatorname{artanh} \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right)^p + \operatorname{artanh} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} \right)^p \\ & \geq 2 \operatorname{artanh} \left(\frac{(r^p + s^p)^{1/p}}{2} \right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

in both equality holds with $r = s$.

Corollary 7. *For $K \geq 1, p < 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(\mathcal{A}(|x|), \mathcal{A}(|y|)) &\geq \mathcal{A}(M_p(|x|, |y|)), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{B}^n, \\ M_p(\mathcal{A}(|x|), \mathcal{A}(|y|)) &\leq \mathcal{A}(M_p(|x|, |y|)), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{B}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Both inequalities reverse for $p > 0$, and equality holds iff $x = y$.

Proof. Let $0 < r < s < 1$ or $1 < r < s$, and $u = \left(\frac{r^p + s^p}{2}\right)^{1/p} < r$. We define

$$g_2(r) = \mathcal{A}(u)^p - \frac{\mathcal{A}(r)^p + \mathcal{A}(s)^p}{2}.$$

By differentiating with respect to r we get $du/dr = (r/u)^{p-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} g_2'(r) &= \frac{1}{2}p \mathcal{A}(u)^{p-1} \frac{d}{dr} (\mathcal{A}(u)) \left(\frac{r}{u}\right)^{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}p \mathcal{A}(r)^{p-1} \frac{d}{dr} (\mathcal{A}(r)) \\ &= \frac{p}{2} r^{p-1} (g_3(u) - g_3(r)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_3(z) = \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}(z)}{z} \right)^{p-1} \frac{d}{dr}(\mathcal{A}(z)).$$

Case 1. When $z \in (0, 1)$, then $g_3(z) = (1/K)(z^{1/K-1})^p$, which is increasing (decreasing) for $p < 0$ ($p > 0$), respectively. This implies that $g_2(r) < (>)g_2(s) = 0$, and the first inequality is obvious. Case 2. When $z > 1$, then $g_3(z) = K(z^{K-1})^p$, which is decreasing (increasing) for $p > 0$ ($p < 0$), respectively. This implies that $g_2(r) > (<)g_2(s) = 0$, and second inequality follows. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 8. [1, Theorem 10.12] *For $K > 1$, the function $g_K(r) = \operatorname{artanh}(\varphi_K(\tanh(x)))$ is strictly increasing and concave from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(0, \infty)$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Let $0 < x < y < 1$ or $1 < x < y$, and $w = \left(\frac{x^p + y^p}{2} \right)^{1/p} < x$. We define

$$g_4(x) = g_K(w)^p - \frac{g_K(x)^p + g_K(y)^p}{2}.$$

By differentiating w.r.t x we get $dw/dx = (x/w)^{p-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} g_4'(x) &= \frac{1}{2}p g_K(w)^{p-1} \frac{d}{dx}(g_K(w)) \left(\frac{x}{w} \right)^{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}p g_K(x)^{p-1} \frac{d}{dx}(g_K(x)) \\ &= \frac{p}{2} x^{p-1} (g_5(w) - g_5(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_5(z) = \left(\frac{g_K(z)}{z} \right)^{p-1} \frac{d}{dx}(g_K(z)).$$

The function g_5 is decreasing by Lemma 8 and [1, Theorem 1.25]. This implies that $g_4(x) \geq g_4(y) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Author wishes to acknowledge the referee for his/her valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy, M. Vuorinen: *Conformal invariants, inequalities and quasiconformal maps*. J. Wiley, 1997, 505 pp.
- [2] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy, M. Vuorinen: *Generalized convexity and inequalities*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 335 (2007), 1294–1308.

- [3] B. A. Bhayo, M. Vuorinen: *On Mori's theorem for quasiconformal maps in the n -space*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 11, 5703–5719.
- [4] B. A. Bhayo, M. Vuorinen: *Norm inequalities for vector functions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 380 (2011) 768–781, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.02.029, 14 pp.,
- [5] B. A. Bhayo, M. Vuorinen: *Inequalities for eigenfunctions of the p -Laplacian*. January 2011, 23 pp. arXiv math.CA 1101.3911.
- [6] L. Keen, N. Lakic: *Hyperbolic geometry from a local viewpoint*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 68. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [7] H. Ruskeepää: *Mathematica[®] Navigator*. 3rd ed. Academic Press, 2009.
- [8] M. Vuorinen: *Conformal geometry and quasiregular mappings*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1319, Springer, Berlin, 1988.