



Isomorphism Theorems in Generalized d -algebras

Muhammad Anwar Chaudhry^a, Muhammad Imran Qureshi^b, Asfand Fahad^{c,*}, Muhammad Sajjad Bashir^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, Institute of Southern Punjab, Multan, Pakistan.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Vehari Campus, Vehari, Pakistan.

^cCenter for Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan.

Abstract

We introduce the generalized d -algebras, generalized d -ideals (d^* -ideals, $d^\#$ -ideals, $d^{\mathcal{S}}$ -ideals) and other related notions. We also prove some properties about d -ideal, $d^\#$ -ideal and results related to quotient generalized d -algebra. Through these constructions, we prove the first, second and the third isomorphism theorems for the generalized d -algebras. These developments contribute to the theory of the BCI/BCK/BCH and the generalized BCH-algebras.

Keywords: Generalized d -algebras, Isomorphism theorems, BCH-algebras, $d^\#$ -ideals.
2010 MSC: 06F35, 03G25.

1. Introduction

The notions of the BCK-algebras and the BCI-algebras were given in [12] and [13] among which the prior is the proper subclass of the latter. Several mathematicians studied multiple aspects of these algebras, for example BCI-algebras [25], BCK-algebras and ideals in BCK-algebras [19, 20], ideals, relevant theory and filters in BCH-algebras [8, 24].

The notion of a BCH-algebras was characterized by Hu et. al. in 1983 ([11]). The notion of BCH-algebras is a generalized notion of BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras. Chaudhry [9], Dudek et. al. [10] and many other researchers worked on this class.

Neggers et. al. [22] gave the idea of a d -algebra. The class of d -algebras is a generalized class of BCK-algebras. The authors in [22], worked on the relations between BCK-algebras and d -algebras. Several notions/aspepts of d -algebras such as, ideal theory based on N -structures [2], fuzzy ideals [17], d -fuzzy ideals [21], d -algebra ideals [23], deformation in d /BCK-algebras [26] and BCK-neighborhood systems in d -algebras [27] have been studied extensively. Moreover, some other types related to d -algebras such as

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: chaudhry@isp.edu.pk (Muhammad Anwar Chaudhry), imranqureshi18@gmail.com (Muhammad Imran Qureshi), asfandfahad1@yahoo.com (Asfand Fahad), sajjadhashmi77@gmail.com (Muhammad Sajjad Bashir)

companion d -algebras [4], L-up and mirror d -algebras [5] and d -algebras of d -transitive d^* -algebra [18] have also been introduced and investigated. The theory of ideal of d -algebras was given by Neggers, et. al. ([23]). They gave the notions of a d -subalgebra, a d^* -ideal, a d -ideal and a $d^\#$ -ideal and studied their connection.

In 2013, Abdullah et. al. [1] gave the idea of a semi d -ideal of a d -algebra, and also many relations between semi d -ideals and d -ideals in d -algebras are examined in [3].

The notion of a generalized d -algebra was firstly introduced by Chaudhry et. al. [7] and some elementary aspects of generalized d -algebras were discussed. The properties of these algebras have been not discovered extensively, yet.

The main objective of this paper is to inspect some ideals in generalized d -algebras and $d^\#$ -ideals and prove some isomorphism theorems in these algebras.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this article $X = (X, *, 0)$ will be a non-empty set with a binary operation "*" and a distinguished element $0 \in X$. K. Iseki and Y.Imai gave the notion of a BCK-algebras in 1966.

Definition 2.1. [13, 15] X is called a BCK-algebra if

1. $((\varrho * v) * (\varrho * n)) * (n * v) = 0$,
2. $(\varrho * (\varrho * v)) * v = 0$,
3. $\varrho * \varrho = 0$,
4. $0 * \varrho = 0$,
5. $\varrho * v = 0$ and $v * \varrho = 0$ imply $\varrho = v$, for all $\varrho, v, n \in X$.

In a BCK-algebra X , the following hold [23]:

- I $(\varrho * v) * \varrho = 0$,
- II $((\varrho * n) * (v * n)) * (\varrho * v) = 0$, for all $\varrho, v, n \in X$.

BCI-algebra was proposed in 1966, by K. Iseki, a generalization of a BCK-algebra [12].

Definition 2.2. [16] X is called a BCI-algebra if it satisfies 1, 2, 3, 5 in definition 2.1 and

- (6) $\varrho * 0 = 0$ implies $\varrho = 0$.

Every BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra but the converse is not true [16]. In 1983, the concept of a BCH-algebra was presented by Q. P. Hu and X. Li [11]. They proved that BCI-algebras's class is a proper subclass of the BCH-algebras's class. Some basic properties of the BCH-algebras can be seen in [9] and their decomposition has been presented in [10].

Definition 2.3. [9] X is called a BCH-algebra if $\forall \varrho, v, n \in X$, it satisfies

- (3) $\varrho * \varrho = 0$,
- (5) $\varrho * v = 0$ and $v * \varrho = 0$ imply $\varrho = v$,
- (7) $(\varrho * v) * n = (\varrho * n) * v$.

Definition 2.4. [11] Let X be a BCK/BCI/BCH-algebra. A relation \leq is defined on X by: $\varrho \leq v$ if and only if $\varrho * v = 0$.

Every BCI/BCK-algebra, with respect to this relation \leq is partially ordered (see [15]).

Definition 2.5. [14] Let X be a BCK/BCI/BCH-algebra. $\phi \neq I \subseteq X$ is a BCK/BCI/BCH-ideal of X if

- (I) $0 \in I$
 (II) $\varrho \in I$ and $v * \varrho \in I$, implies $v \in X$.

Definition 2.6. [14] Let X be a BCK/BCI/BCH-algebra and $\phi \neq I \subseteq X$. I is a closed ideal of X if

- (I) $0 * \varrho \in I$, for all $\varrho \in I$,
 (II) $\varrho \in I$ and $v * \varrho \in I$ imply $v \in I$, $v, \varrho \in X$.

Lemma 2.7. [14] Let I be a BCK/BCI/BCH-ideal of a BCK-algebra X . If $\varrho \in I$ and $v * \varrho = 0$ then $v \in I$.

2.1. d -algebra Notions

In 1999, Neggers et al. gave the notion of a d -algebra [22].

Definition 2.8. [22] X is called a d -algebra if $\forall v, \varrho \in X$, it satisfies

- (I) $\varrho * \varrho = 0$,
 (II) $0 * \varrho = 0$,
 (III) $\varrho * v = 0$ and $v * \varrho = 0$ imply $\varrho = v$.

Every BCK-algebra is a d -algebra. But converse is not true. (see [22]).

Let X be a d -algebra. We specify the relation \leq on X by: $\varrho \leq v$ if and only if $\varrho * v = 0$.

Definition 2.9. [22] A d -algebra X is d -transitive if $\varrho * n = 0$ & $n * v = 0$ imply $\varrho * v = 0$, for all $\varrho, v, n \in X$.

2.2. Some special Subsets and Ideals in d -algebra

Definition 2.10. [6] Let X be a d -algebra. $\phi \neq S \subseteq X$ is called a sub algebra of X if $\forall \varrho, v \in S, \varrho * v \in S$.

Definition 2.11. [23] Let X be a d -algebra and $\phi \neq I \subseteq X$ is a d -ideal of X if

- (D₁) $\varrho * v \in I$ and $v \in I$ imply $\varrho \in I$.
 (D₂) $\varrho \in I$ and $v \in X \Rightarrow \varrho * v \in I$, i.e., $I * X \subseteq I$.

It is not necessary that d -subalgebra is also d -ideal, see [23].

Lemma 2.12. Suppose I is a d -ideal in a d -algebra X , then $0 \in I$.

Proof. Since I is a non-empty set, so $\exists \varrho \in I$. Also $I \subseteq X$, so $\varrho \in X$. Thus $0 = \varrho * \varrho \in I$ by using (D₂). \square

It is known that every d -ideal of a d -algebra is a BCK-ideal, but converse is not true.

Proposition 2.13. Suppose I is a d -ideal of a d -algebra X . If $\varrho \in I$ and $v * \varrho = 0$, then $v \in I$.

Proof. Assume that $\varrho \in I$ and $v * \varrho = 0$. By Lemma 2.12 and (D₁), we have $v \in I$. \square

Definition 2.14. A d -ideal of a d -algebra X is called a d^* -ideal of X , if for arbitrary $\varrho, v, n \in X$,

- (D₃) $\varrho * n \in I$, whenever $\varrho * v \in I$ and $v * n \in I$.

We note that every d^* -ideal is a d -ideal, but converse is not true. (see [23]).

Definition 2.15. A d -algebra X is called a d^* -algebra if it satisfies

- $(\varrho * v) * \varrho = 0 \forall \varrho, v \in X$.

Definition 2.16. A subset $I \neq \phi$ of a d -algebra X is called a $d^\#$ -ideal of X if it is a d^* -ideal and it satisfies

- (D₄) $\varrho * v \in I$ and $v * \varrho \in I$ imply $(\varrho * n) * (v * n) \in I$ and $(n * \varrho) * (n * v) \in I \forall \varrho, v, n \in X$.

Every $d^\#$ -ideal in a d -algebra is a d^* -ideal, but converse is not true, (see [23]).

Definition 2.17. ([22]) A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a d -homomorphism if

- $f(\varrho * v) = f(\varrho) * f(v), \forall \varrho, v \in X$.

Note that $f(0) = f(0 * 0) = f(0) * f(0) = 0$.

3. Generalized d -algebras Notions

In this section, few notions of generalized d -algebras are given. The concepts and some results about the generalized d -subalgebras and generalized d -ideal are proved to set the pathway towards the concept of quotient generalized d -algebras.

Definition 3.1. (Generalized d -algebra)

X is called generalized d -algebra if it satisfying these axioms:

- (I) $\varrho * \varrho = 0$
- (II) $(0 * \varrho) * \varrho = 0$
- (III) $\varrho * v = 0$ and $v * \varrho = 0$ implies $\varrho = v$ for all $\varrho, v \in X$.

Remark 3.2. Every BCK/ d -algebra is a generalized d -algebra because the condition $0 * \varrho = 0$ implies $(0 * \varrho) * \varrho = 0 * \varrho = 0$. Moreover. In general the converse is not true.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{0, \varrho, v\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by:

*	0	ϱ	v
0	0	0	v
ϱ	ϱ	0	ϱ
v	v	v	0

Then $(X, *, 0)$ is a generalized d -algebra, but it is not a BCK-algebra, as

$$(\varrho * (\varrho * v)) * v = (\varrho * \varrho) * v = 0 * v = v \neq 0.$$

Further, this is not a d -algebra because $0 * v = v \neq 0$.

We note that the class of d -algebras and the class of BCK-algebras contained in the class of generalized d -algebras. Thus, our results of this paper are valid for d -algebras as well as for BCK-algebras.

We remark that the relation \leq , definitions of transitive generalized d -algebras and generalized d -subalgebra are the same as the definitions of corresponding notions in d -algebras.

Remark 3.4. If X is a generalized d -algebra, then we define a relation \leq on X as $\varrho \leq v$ if and only if $\varrho * v = 0, \forall \varrho, v \in X$.

Definition 3.5. (Transitive generalized d -algebra)

A generalized d -algebra X is a transitive generalized d -algebra if $\varrho * n = 0$ and $n * v = 0$ imply $\varrho * v = 0$.

Definition 3.6. (Generalized d -subalgebra)

Let X be a generalized d -algebra. $\phi \neq S \subseteq X$ is called a generalized d -subalgebra of X if for all $\varrho, v \in S$, we have $\varrho * v \in S$.

Definition 3.7. (Generalized d -ideal)

Let X be a generalized d -algebra and $\phi \neq I \subseteq X$. I is said to be a generalized d -ideal of X if it satisfies:

- (GD₁) $\varrho * v \in I$ and $v \in I \Rightarrow \varrho \in I$.
- (GD₂) $\varrho \in I$ and $v \in X$ imply $(\varrho * v) * v \in I$.

Every generalized d -ideal is a generalized d -subalgebra. Suppose $\varrho, v \in I \subseteq X$, then $v \in X$. Thus by (GD₂), $(\varrho * v) * v \in I$ and by (GD₁), $\varrho * v \in I$.

Example 3.8. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a generalized d -algebra and "*" is a binary operation defined on X as:

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	c
a	a	0	c	a
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	c	c	0

Then $I = \{0, c\}$ is a generalized d -ideal of X .

It can be noted that every generalized d -subalgebra is not necessary a generalized d -ideal.

Theorem 3.9. Every generalized d -ideal of a generalized d -algebra X is a BCK-ideal of X .

Proof. Let X is a generalized d -algebra. Let I a generalized d -ideal of X .

Since $I \neq \phi$. So there exists an $\rho \in I$. Further, $\rho \in X$ so by (GD_2) , $(\rho * \rho) * \rho = 0 * \rho \in I$. Now (GD_1) gives $0 \in I$.

Now suppose $\rho * v, v \in I$ then by (GD_1) , we have $\rho \in I$. Thus, I is a BCK-ideal. □

Proposition 3.10. Suppose I is a generalized d -ideal of a generalized d -algebra X . Then $0 * \rho \in I$ for all $\rho \in I$.

Proof. Since $I \neq \phi$, there exists an $\rho \in I$. Since $\rho \in X$, so by (GD_2) , $(\rho * \rho) * \rho = 0 * \rho \in I$. □

Definition 3.11. A generalized d -ideal I of a generalized d -algebra X is called a d^* -ideal of X , if for arbitrary $\rho, v, n \in X$.

$$(GD_3) \quad \rho * n \in I \text{ whenever } \rho * v \in I \text{ and } v * n \in I.$$

In generalized d -algebra, it is not necessary that generalized d -ideal is also generalized d^* -ideal.

Definition 3.12. A generalized d -algebra X is called a d^* -algebra if $((\rho * v) * \rho) * \rho = 0$ for all $\rho, v \in X$.

Definition 3.13. If a d^* -ideal I of a generalized d -algebra X satisfies

$$(GD_4) \quad \rho * v \in I \text{ and } v * \rho \in I \text{ imply } (\rho * n) * (v * n) \in I \text{ and } (n * \rho) * (n * v) \in I \text{ for all } \rho, v, n \in X, \text{ then } I \text{ is to be a } d^\# \text{-ideal in } X.$$

In generalized $d^\#$ -algebra, every d^* -ideal is $d^\#$ -ideal but converse is not true in general.

4. Quotient Generalized d -algebras

The concept of a quotient generalized d -algebra is introduced in this section and also obtain some results.

Definition 4.1. (d -morphism)

Let X and Y be generalized d -algebras. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a d -morphism if $f(\rho * v) = f(\rho) * f(v) \forall \rho, v \in X$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a d -morphism then $f(0) = f(\varrho * \varrho) = f(\varrho) * f(\varrho)$. So $f(0_X) = 0_Y$.
 Let I be a $d^\#$ -ideal in generalized d -algebra $(X, *, 0_X)$. For any $\varrho, v \in X$, we define $\varrho \sim v$ if and only if $\varrho * v \in I$ and $v * \varrho \in I$. We claim that \sim is an equivalence relation on X . Since $0 \in I$, we have $\varrho * \varrho = 0 \in I$, implies $\varrho \sim \varrho$ for any $\varrho \in X$. That is, \sim is reflexive.

Let $\varrho \sim v$ and $v \sim n$. Then $\varrho * v, v * \varrho \in I$ and $v * n, n * v \in I$. By (GD_3) , $\varrho * n, n * \varrho \in I$ and hence $\varrho \sim n$. Thus \sim is transitive. The symmetry of \sim is obvious. Thus \sim is equivalence relation on X .

To show \sim is a congruence, we suppose $\varrho, v, p, q \in X$ and let $\varrho \sim v$ and $p \sim q$. Then $\varrho * v, v * \varrho, p * q, q * p \in I$. Since I is a $d^\#$ -ideal, so $(\varrho * p) * (v * p) \in I$ and $(v * p) * (v * q) \in I$. Hence $(\varrho * p) * (v * q) \in I$. Similarly $(v * q) * (\varrho * q) \in I$ and $(\varrho * q) * (\varrho * p) \in I$, imply $(v * q) * (\varrho * p) \in I$. Hence $\varrho * p \sim v * q$. So \sim is a congruence relation on X .

The congruence class containing ϱ is denoted by $[\varrho]_I$ or C_ϱ^I . That is $[\varrho]_I = \{v \in X : \varrho \sim v\}$. We know that $\varrho \sim v$ if and only if $[\varrho]_I = [v]_I$. Collection of all equivalence classes of X is denoted by X/I , that is, $X/I = \{[\varrho]_I : \varrho \in X\}$ or $\{C_\varrho^I : \varrho \in I\}$.

Definition 4.2. A transitive generalized d -algebra X is called a generalized d^s -algebra if it satisfies $x * 0 = x$.

Definition 4.3. Let X and X' be two generalized d -algebras. Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a d -morphism. The set $ker(f) = \{\varrho : \varrho \in X \text{ and } f(\varrho) = 0\}$ is the Kernel of the d -morphism f . Also the set $Im(f) = \{v : v \in X' \text{ and } v = f(\varrho) \text{ for some } \varrho \in X\}$ is called image of f .

Theorem 4.4. Let X and X' be generalized d -algebra and generalized d^s -algebra respectively. Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a generalized d -morphism, then $ker(f)$ is a generalized d -ideal in X .

Proof. Since $f(0) = 0$, so $0 \in ker(f)$. Hence $ker(f)$ is non-empty.

Let $\varrho * v, v \in ker(f)$, so $f(\varrho * v) = 0 = f(v)$. This implies

$$0 = f(\varrho * v) = f(\varrho) * f(v) = f(\varrho) * 0 = f(\varrho)$$

Thus $f(\varrho) = 0$, so $\varrho \in ker(f)$. So clearly $\varrho * v, v \in ker(f) \Rightarrow \varrho \in ker(f)$. Thus (GD_1) is satisfied. Now, let $\varrho \in ker(f)$ and $v \in X$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} f((\varrho * v) * v) &= f(\varrho * v) * f(v) \\ &= (f(\varrho) * f(v)) * f(v) \\ &= (0 * f(v)) * f(v) \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{Since } X' \text{ is a generalized } d \text{ - algebra}) \end{aligned}$$

This implies $(\varrho * v) * v \in ker(f)$.

Clearly $\varrho \in ker(f)$ and $v \in X$ imply that $(\varrho * v) * v \in ker(f)$. Hence (GD_2) is satisfied, so $ker(f)$ is an generalized ideal of X . □

Proposition 4.5. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a d -morphism from a generalized d -algebra X into a generalized d^s -algebra Y . Then $ker(f)$ is a $d^\#$ -ideal of X .

Proof. Let $\varrho * v, v \in ker(f)$. Then by Theorem 4.4, $ker(f)$ satisfies (GD_1) and (GD_2) .

Now, if $\varrho * v, v * n \in ker(f)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varrho) * f(v) &= f(v) * f(n) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since Y is transitive generalized d -algebra, we obtain. $f(\varrho) * f(n) = 0$ and hence $\varrho * n \in ker(f)$, which proves (GD_3) . Let $\varrho * v, v * \varrho \in ker(f)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varrho) * f(v) &= f(v) * f(\varrho) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since Y is a generalized $d^{\#}$ -algebra, so we obtain $f(\varrho) = f(v)$.

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} f((\varrho * n) * (v * n)) &= f(\varrho * n) * f(v * n) \\ &= (f(\varrho) * f(n)) * (f(v) * f(n)) \\ &= (f(\varrho) * f(n)) * (f(\varrho) * f(n)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\varrho * n) * (v * n) \in \ker(f)$. Similarly $(n * \varrho) * (n * v) \in \ker(f)$, which proves (GD_4) . Hence $\ker(f)$ is a $d^{\#}$ -ideal. □

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose I is an $d^{\#}$ -ideal of a generalized d -algebra X . Then $[0]_I = I$*

Proof. Suppose $\varrho \in I$. By Proposition 3.10, $0 * \varrho \in I$. Also $0 \in I$. For $\varrho, 0 \in I$ implies $\varrho * 0 \in I$ (Since every generalized $d^{\#}$ -ideal is subalgebra). So $\varrho \in [0]_I$, that is $I \subseteq [0]_I$. Let $v \in [0]_I$. So $v \sim 0$. Thus $v * 0 \in I$. Since $0 \in I$, so $v \in I$. Hence $[0]_I \subseteq I$. Thus $[0]_I = I$ □

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose X is a generalized d -algebra and I be d^* -ideal in X . If $[\varrho]_I * [v]_I = [\varrho * v]_I$ ($\varrho, v \in X$), then $(X/I, *, [0]_I)$ is a generalized d -algebra, namely quotient generalized d -algebra.*

Proof. As \sim is a congruence relation on X , $\varrho * v \sim \varrho' * v'$ for any $\varrho \sim \varrho', v \sim v'$. Hence $[\varrho]_I * [v]_I = [\varrho * v]_I$ is well defined. Let $[\varrho]_I, [v]_I \in X/I$. Then

- (i) $[\varrho]_I * [\varrho]_I = [\varrho * \varrho]_I = [0]_I$.
- (ii) Let $[\varrho * v]_I = [0]_I = [v * \varrho]_I$. Then $\varrho * v, v * \varrho \in I$. Thus $\varrho \sim v$ and hence $[\varrho]_I = [v]_I$.
- (iii) $([0]_I * [\varrho]_I) * [\varrho]_I = ([0 * \varrho]_I * [\varrho]_I) = [(0 * \varrho) * \varrho]_I = [0]_I$.

Hence $(X/I, *, [0]_I)$ is a generalized d -algebra. □

5. Isomorphism Theorem in Generalized d -algebras

Three isomorphism theorems for generalized d -algebras are proved in this section.

Theorem 5.1. (First Isomorphism Theorem of generalized d -algebra)

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a d -morphism from a generalized d -algebra X onto a generalized $d^{\#}$ -algebra Y , then $X/\ker(f) \cong Y$.

Proof. We define $\mu : X/\ker(f) \rightarrow Y$ by $\mu([\varrho]_{\ker(f)}) = f(\varrho)$.

We now show that (1) μ is well defined and (2) μ an isomorphism.

- (1) If $[\varrho]_{\ker(f)} = [v]_{\ker(f)}$ then $\varrho * v, v * \varrho \in \ker(f)$, and so $f(\varrho) * f(v) = 0 = f(v) * f(\varrho)$. Since Y is a $d^{\#}$ -algebra, we have $f(\varrho) = f(v)$. That is, $\mu([\varrho]_{\ker(f)}) = \mu([v]_{\ker(f)})$. This shows that μ is well defined.
- (2) To prove that μ is an isomorphism, we will show (i) μ is a d -morphism, (ii) is onto, (iii) μ is one-one.

- (i) Let $[\varrho]_{\ker(f)}, [v]_{\ker(f)} \in X/\ker(f)$. Then $\mu([\varrho]_{\ker(f)} * [v]_{\ker(f)}) = \mu([\varrho * v]_{\ker(f)}) = f(\varrho * v) = f(\varrho) * f(v) = \mu([\varrho]_{\ker(f)}) * \mu([v]_{\ker(f)})$. Thus μ is a d -morphism.
- (ii) For any $v \in Y$, there is an $\varrho \in X$ such that $v = f(\varrho)$ because f is onto. Hence $\mu([\varrho]_{\ker(f)}) = f(\varrho) = v$, which means that μ is onto.
- (iii) If $\mu([\varrho]_{\ker(f)}) = \mu([v]_{\ker(f)})$, So $f(\varrho) = f(v)$, which gives $f(\varrho * v) = f(\varrho) * f(v) = 0$ and $f(v * \varrho) = f(v) * f(\varrho) = 0$. Hence $\varrho * v \in \ker(f)$ and $(v * \varrho) \in \ker(f)$. So $\varrho \sim v$. Hence $[\varrho]_{\ker(f)} = [v]_{\ker(f)}$. Hence μ is one-one.

Thus we have $X/\ker(f) \cong Y$. □

Theorem 5.2. (Second Isomorphism Theorem of generalized d -algebra)

Let H, K be $d^\#$ -ideals of a generalized d^s -algebra X . Let $Y = \cup_{k \in K} C_k^H$. Then Y is a subalgebra of X containing H and K , $H \cap K$ is a d^* -ideal in K and $Y/H \cong K/(H \cap K)$.

Proof. First we show that Y is a subalgebra of X . Let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. Then $\exists k_1, k_2 \in K$ such that $y_1 \in C_{k_1}^H$ and $y_2 \in C_{k_2}^H$. Hence $y_1 \sim k_1$ and $y_2 \sim k_2$ since \sim is a congruence, so $y_1 * y_2 \sim k_1 * k_2$. Thus $y_1 * y_2 \in C_{k_1 * k_2}^H \subseteq \cup_{k \in K} C_k^H$. Hence Y is a subalgebra of X and obviously is a generalized d^s -algebra. Now let $k \in K$. Since $k \sim k$, so $k \in C_k^H \subseteq Y$. Thus $K \subseteq Y$. Since $0 \in K$, so $C_0^H = H$. Thus $H \subseteq \cup_{k \in K} C_k^H = Y$.

We now show that $H \cap K$ is a $d^\#$ -ideal in K . Obviously $0 \in K$ and $0 \in H$, so $0 \in H \cap K$, let $\sigma \in H \cap K$. Since H and K are d^* -ideals, so $0 * \sigma \in H, 0 * \sigma \in K$. Hence $0 * \sigma \in H \cap K$. Let $\varsigma \in K, \varsigma * \sigma \in H \cap K, \sigma \in H \cap K$. Since H is a d^* -ideal, so $\varsigma \in H$. Thus $\varsigma \in H \cap K$.

Let $\sigma * \varsigma, \varsigma * z \in H \cap K$, so $\sigma * \varsigma, \varsigma * z \in H$ and $\sigma * \varsigma, \varsigma * z \in K$. Since H and K are d^* -ideals, so using $\sigma * \varsigma \in H$ and $\varsigma * z \in K$, we have $\sigma * z \in H \cap K$.

Now let $\sigma * \varsigma, \varsigma * \sigma \in H \cap K$, so $\sigma * \varsigma, \varsigma * \sigma \in H$ and $\sigma * \varsigma, \varsigma * \sigma \in K$. Since H and K are d^* -ideals so $(\sigma * z) * (\varsigma * z), (z * \sigma) * (z * \varsigma) \in H$ and $(\sigma * z) * (\varsigma * z), (z * \sigma) * (z * \varsigma) \in K$, which gives $H \cap K$ is a d^* -ideal of K . So $K/(H \cap K)$ is well defined generalized d^s -algebra.

Further H is a d^* -ideal of X , so obviously H is d^* -ideal of Y . Hence Y/H is well defined. We now define a mapping $\phi : K \rightarrow Y/H$ by $\phi(k) = C_k^H \in \cup_{k \in K} C_k^H = Y \forall k \in K$. We first show that ϕ is well-defined. Let $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $k_1 = k_2$. Then $k_1 * k_2 = 0$ and $k_2 * k_1 = 0$. That is $k_1 * k_2 \in H, k_2 * k_1 \in H$. So $k_1 \sim k_2$. Thus $C_{k_1}^H = C_{k_2}^H$. So $\phi(k_1) = \phi(k_2)$. Hence ϕ is well-defined.

Let $k_1, k_2 \in K$. So

$$\phi(k_1 + k_2) = C_{k_1+k_2}^H = C_{k_1}^H * C_{k_2}^H = \phi(k_1) * \phi(k_2).$$

Thus ϕ is a generalized d -algebra morphism. Let $C_\varsigma^H \in Y/H = \cup_{k \in K} C_k^H$. So there exists $k \in K$ such that $\varsigma \in C_k^H$. Thus $C_\varsigma^H = C_k^H$. Now $\phi(k) = C_k^H = C_\varsigma^H$. Hence ϕ is onto.

We now show that $\ker(\phi) = H \cap K$. Let $\sigma \in H \cap K$. So $\sigma \in H$ and $\sigma \in K$. Now $\phi(\sigma) = C_\sigma^H = H = C_0^H$. So $\sigma \in \ker(\phi)$. Thus $H \cap K \subseteq \ker(\phi)$. Let $\sigma \in \ker(\phi) \subseteq K$. So $\sigma \in K$ and $\phi(\sigma) = C_0^H$. Also $\phi(\sigma) = C_\sigma^H$. Thus $C_0^H = C_\sigma^H$, which gives $\sigma \in H$. So $\sigma \in H \cap K$. Thus $\ker(\phi) \subseteq H \cap K$. Thus we get $\ker(\phi) = H \cap K$. So by Theorem 5.1, we get that $K/\ker(\phi) \cong Y/H$, that is, $Y/H \cong K/(H \cap K)$. \square

Theorem 5.3. (Third Isomorphism Theorem of generalized d -algebras)

Let X be a generalized d^s -algebra. Let H and K be $d^\#$ -ideals of X such that $H \subseteq K$. Then $X/H / K/H \cong X/K$.

Proof. Since H and K are $d^\#$ -ideals of X , so X/H and X/K are well defined d^s -algebras. Let $C_k^H \in K/H$. So $k \in K \subseteq X$. Thus $k \in X$. Hence $C_k^H \in X/H$. So $K/H \subseteq X/H$.

Now we show that K/H is a $d^\#$ -ideal of X/H . Since K is a d^* -ideal of X , so $0 \in K$. Thus $C_0^H = H \in K/H$. Let $C_k^H \in K/H$, so $k \in K$. Since K is a d^* -ideal of X , so $0 * k \in K$. Hence $C_{0*k}^H \in K/H$. Thus $C_0^H * C_k^H \in K/H$.

Let $C_\varsigma^H * C_\sigma^H \in K/H, C_\sigma^H \in K/H$. So $C_{\varsigma*\sigma}^H \in K/H, C_\sigma^H \in K/H$, so $\varsigma * \sigma \in K, \sigma \in K$. Since K is a d^* -ideal, so $\varsigma \in K$. Hence $C_\varsigma^H \in K/H$.

Let $C_\sigma^H * C_\varsigma^H \in K/H$ and $C_\varsigma^H * C_z^H \in K/H$. That is $C_{\sigma*\varsigma}^H \in K/H$ and $C_{\varsigma*z}^H \in K/H$, so $\sigma * \varsigma \in K$ and $\varsigma * z \in K$. Since K is a d^* -ideal, so $\sigma * z \in K$. Thus $C_{\sigma*z}^H \in K/H$. Hence $C_\sigma^H * C_z^H \in K/H$.

Now let $C_\sigma^H * C_\varsigma^H \in K/H$ and $C_\varsigma^H * C_\sigma^H \in K/H$. That is, $C_{\sigma*\varsigma}^H \in K/H$ and $C_{\varsigma*\sigma}^H \in K/H$. Thus $\sigma * \varsigma \in K$ and $\varsigma * \sigma \in K$. Since K is a $d^\#$ -ideal of X , so $(\sigma * z) * (\varsigma * z) \in K$ and $(z * \sigma) * (z * \varsigma) \in K$ for $\sigma, \varsigma, z \in X$. Hence $C_{(\sigma*z)*(\varsigma*z)}^H \in K/H$ and $C_{(z*\sigma)*(z*\varsigma)}^H \in K/H$, which gives $C_{\sigma*z}^H * C_{\varsigma*z}^H \in K/H$ and $C_{z*\sigma}^H * C_{z*\varsigma}^H \in K/H$. Thus $(C_\sigma^H * C_z^H) * (C_\varsigma^H * C_z^H) \in K/H$ and $(C_z^H * C_\sigma^H) * (C_z^H * C_\varsigma^H) \in K/H$ for all $C_\sigma^H, C_\varsigma^H, C_z^H \in X/H$. Thus K/H is a $d^\#$ -ideal of X/H . Hence $X/H / K/H$ is a well defined generalized $d^\#$ -algebra.

Now we define a mapping $\phi : X/H \rightarrow X/K$ by $\phi(C_\sigma^H) = C_\sigma^K$ for all $\sigma \in X$. Let $C_\sigma^H = C_\sigma^H$. Then $\sigma \sim \sigma'$ in H . Thus $\sigma * \sigma', \sigma' * \sigma \in H$. Since $H \subseteq K$, so $\sigma * \sigma', \sigma' * \sigma \in K$. Thus $\sigma \sim \sigma'$ in K . Hence $C_\sigma^K = C_{\sigma'}^K$.

That is, $\phi(C_\sigma^H) = \phi(C_{\sigma'}^H)$. Hence ϕ is well defined. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(C_\sigma^H * C_\varsigma^H) &= \phi(C_{\sigma*\varsigma}^H) \\ &= C_{\sigma*\varsigma}^K \\ &= C_\sigma^K * C_\varsigma^K \\ &= \phi(C_\sigma^H) = \phi(C_\varsigma^H).\end{aligned}$$

Let $C_\sigma^K \in X/K$, so $\sigma \in X$. Thus $C_\sigma^H \in X/H$ and $\phi(C_\sigma^H) = C_\sigma^K$. So ϕ is onto.

Now, we show that $K/H = \ker(\phi)$. Let $C_\sigma^H \in \ker(\phi)$. So $\phi(C_\sigma^H) = C_0^K$, that is, $C_\sigma^K = C_0^K$. Thus $\sigma \sim 0$ in K . So $0 * \sigma, \sigma * 0 \in K$. Hence $\sigma \in K$. Thus $C_\sigma^H \in K/H$. So $\ker(\phi) \subseteq K/H$.

Let $C_k^H \in K/H$. So $k \in K$. Further

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(C_k^H) &= C_k^K \\ &= K \quad (\text{because } k \in K) \\ &= C_0^K\end{aligned}$$

Hence $C_k^H \in \ker(\phi)$, so $K/H \subseteq \ker(\phi)$. Thus $\ker(\phi) = K/H$.

So by Theorem 5.1 we get

$X/H / \ker(\phi) \cong X/K$. That is, $X/H / K/H \cong X/K$. □

6. Conclusion

The concept of d -algebras is one of the very interesting topic among the study of algebraic structures, which has attracted many mathematicians. In this article, we have studied the structures of Generalized d -algebra, Transitive Generalized d -algebra, Generalized d -subalgebra, Generalized d -ideal and Quotient Generalized d -algebra. Some algebraic properties of these concepts are proved. In the last section, by defining d -morphism, first, second and third isomorphism theorems for Generalized d -algebra are proved.

Data Availability Statement: No additional data set has been used to support the study.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding Statement: No specific funding has been received for the processing of this manuscript.

References

- [1] Abdullah, H. K., & Hassan, A. K. (2013). Semi d -ideal in d -algebra. *Journal of Kerbala University*, 11(3), 192-197.
- [2] Ahn, S. S. & Han, G. H. (2011). Ideal theory of d -algebras based on N -structures. *J. Appl. Math. Inform.*, 29(5-6), 1489-1500.
- [3] Akram, M., & Dar, K. H. (2005). On fuzzy d -algebras. *Journal of Mathematics*, 37, 61-76.
- [4] Allen, P. J., Kim, H. S., & Neggers, J. (2007). Companion d -algebras. *Math. Slovaca*, 57(2), 93-106.
- [5] Allen, P. J., Kim, H. S., & Neggers, J. (2004). L-up and mirror d -algebras. *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, 59(3), 605-612.
- [6] Chaudhry, M. A., & Ali, F. (2012). Multipliers in d -algebras. *World Applied Science Journal*, 18(11), 1649-1653.
- [7] Chaudhry, M. A., Fahad, A., Rao, Y., Qureshi, M. I. & Gulzar, S. (2020). Branchwise solid generalized BCH-algebras. *AIMS Mathematics*, 5(3), 2424-2432.
- [8] Chaudhry, M. A., & Fakhar-ud-din, H. (1996). Ideals and Filters in BCH-algebra. *Math. Japonica*, 44(1), 101-112.
- [9] Chaudhry, M. A. (1991). On BCH-algebras. *Math. Japonica*, 36(4), 665-676.
- [10] Dudek, W. A., & Thomys, J. (1990). On decompositions of BCH-algebras. *Math. Japon.*, 35, 1131-1138.
- [11] Hu, Q. P., & Li, X. (1985). On proper BCH-algebras. *Math. Japon.*, 30, 659-661.
- [12] Iseki, K. (1966). An algebra related with a Propositional Calculus. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 42, 26-29.
- [13] Imai, Y., & Iseki, K. (1966). On Axiom systems of Propositional Calculi. *XIV, Proc. Japan Acad.*, 2, 19-22.
- [14] Iseki, K., & Tanaka, S. (1976). An ideal theory of BCK-algebra. *Math Japonica*, 211, 352-366.
- [15] Iseki, K. & Tanaka, S. (1975). An introduction to BCK-algebras. *Math. Japonica*, 23, 1-26.
- [16] Iseki, K. (1980). On BCI-algebra. *Math. Seminar Nots*, 33, 125-130.
- [17] Jun, Y. B., Neggers, J., & Kim, H. S. (1999). Fuzzy d -ideals of d -algebras. *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 8(1), 123-130.
- [18] Lee, Y. C., & Kim, H. S. (1999). On d -subalgebras of d -transitive d^* -algebras. *Math. Slovaca*, 49(1), 27-33.
- [19] Meng, J., & Jun, Y. B. (1994). BCK-algebra. *Kyng Moon Sa Co.*

- [20] Meng, J. (1994). On ideal in BCK-algebras. *Math. Japon.*, 40(1), 143-154.
- [21] Neggers, J., Dvurecenskij, A., & Kim, H. S. (2000). On d-fuzzy functions in d-algebras. *Found. Phys.*, 30(10), 889-894.
- [22] Neggers, J., & Kim, H. S. (1999). On d-algebras. *Math. Slovaca*, 49, 19-26.
- [23] Neggers, J., Jun, Y. B., & Kim, H. S. (1999). On d-ideals in d-algebras. *Math. Slovaca*, 49, 243-251.
- [24] Saeid, A. B., Namdar, A., & Borzooei, R. A. (2009). Ideal Theory of BCH-algebras. *World Applied Science Journal*, 7(11), 1446-1455.
- [25] Yisheng, H. (2006). BCI-algebras. *Science Press, Beijing*.
- [26] Allen, P. J., Kim, H. S., & Neggers, J. (2011). Deformations of d/BCK-algebras. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 48, 315324.
- [27] Kim, H. S., Neggers, J., & Ahn, S. S. (2021). Construction of BCK-neighborhood systems in a d-algebra. *AIMS Mathematics*, 6(9), 94229435.