ON MULTIPLICATION GROUP OF AN AG-GROUP

M. SHAH¹, A. ALI², I. AHMAD³ AND V. SORGE⁴

ABSTRACT. We are investigating the multiplication group of a special class of quasigroup called AG-group. We prove some interesting results such as: The multiplication group of an AG-group of order n is a non-abelian group of order 2n and its left section is an abelian group of order n. The inner mapping group of an AG-group of any order is a cyclic group of order 2n.

Key words: multiplication group, inner mapping group, translations. AMS SUBJECT: Primary 14H50, 14H20, 32S15.

1. Introduction

A groupoid G is an AG-group if (i) (xy)z = (zy)x for all $x, y, z \in G$, (ii) There exists left identity $e \in G$ (that is ex = x for all $x \in G$), (iii) For all $x \in G$ there exists $x^{-1} \in G$ such that $x^{-1}x = xx^{-1} = e$. x and x^{-1} are called inverses of each other. AG-group is a subclass of cancellative AG-groupoids [9]. Some basic properties of AG-groups have been derived in [8].

AG-group is a generalization of abelian group and is a special quasigroup. AG-groups have been counted computationally in [11] and algebraically in [10]. The counting of AG-groups up to order 6 can also be found in [1]. AG-groups have been studied as a generalization of abelian group as well as a special case of quasigroups in [10]. The present paper studies the multiplication group and inner mapping group of an AG-group and thus is related to both aspects of AG-group.

Email: mshahmaths@gmail.com, Email: dr_asif_ali @hotmail.com

³DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MALAKAND, DIR.

Email: iahmaad@hotmail.com

⁴SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM, UK. Email: V.Sorge@cs.bham.ac.uk.

 $^{^{1,2}\}mbox{DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QUAID-I-AZAM UNIVERSTY, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN.}$

Multiplication group and inner mapping group of a loop have been investigated in a number of papers for example [2, 13, 5, 6, 7]. This has always been remained the most interesting topic of group theorists in loop theory. Multiplication group of quasigroup has also been considered in quite a few papers for example [12, 3]. Quasigroup does not have inner mapping group because it does not have an identity element unless it is not a loop. An AG-group though not a loop but has a left identity and thus has a multiplication group as well as an inner mapping group. We will prove here some interesting results about the multiplication group and inner mapping group of an AG-group that do not hold in case of a loop. For example for an AG-group G of order G the G is an abelian group of order G. Its multiplication group is a nonabelian group of order G regardless of its order. The following lemma of [8] will be used to prove various results.

Lemma 1. Let G be an AG-group G. Let $a, b, c, d \in G$ and e is the left identity in G. Then the following conditions hold in G.

```
(i) (ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd) medial law;
```

- (ii) ab = cd. This implies that ba = dc;
- (iii) $a \cdot bc = b \cdot ac$;
- (iv) (ab)(cd) = (db)(ca) paramedial law;
- (v) (ab)(cd) = (dc)(ba);
- (vi) ab = cd. This implies that $d^{-1}b = ca^{-1}$;
- (vii) If e the right identity in G then it becomes left identity in G, i.e, ae = a. This implies that ea = a;

Let G be an AG-group and $a \in G$ be an arbitrary element. The mapping $L_a: G \to G$ defined by $L_a(x) = ax$ is called left translation on G and the mapping $R_a: G \to G$ defined by $R_a(x) = xa$ is called right translation on G.

Our first result discusses the relations between a left translation and a right translation.

Lemma 2. Let G be an AG-group. Let $a, b \in G$ and e is left identity in G. Then

```
(i) L_a R_b = R_{ab}.

(ii) R_a R_b = L_{ab}.
```

(iii)
$$L_a L_b = R_{(ae)} R_b$$
.

(iv)
$$L_a L_b = L_{(ae)b} = L_{(be)a}$$
.

- $(v) R_a L_b = R_{(ae)b}.$
- (vi) $L_a L_b = L_b L_a$.
- (vii) $R_a L_b = R_b L_a$.

Proof. (i)
$$L_a R_b(x) = L_a(xb) = a(xb) = x(ab) = R_{ab}(x)$$
. $\Rightarrow L_a R_b = R_{ab}$.

- (ii) $R_a R_b(x) = R_a(xb) = (xb)a = (ab)x = L_{ab}(x). \Rightarrow R_a R_b = L_{ab}.$
- (iii) $L_a L_b(x) = L_a(bx) = a(bx) = (ea)(bx) = (xb)(ae) = R_{(ae)}(xb) = R_{(ae)}R_b(x)$. This implies that $L_a L_b = R_{(ae)}R_b$.
- (iv) By (ii) and (iii) and left invertive law.
- (v) $R_aL_b(x) = R_a(bx) = (bx)a = (bx)(ea) = (ae)(xb) = L_{ae}(xb) = L_{ae}R_b(x)$. This implies that $R_aL_b = L_{ae}R_b$. This implies that $R_aL_b = R_{(ae)b}$ by (i).
- (vi) $L_a L_b = L_{(be)a}$ by (iv). This implies that $L_a L_b = L_b L_a$ again by (iv).
- (vii) $R_a L_b = R_{(ae)b}$ by (v). This implies that $R_a L_b = R_{(be)a}$ by left invertive law. This implies that $R_a L_b = R_b L_a$ again by (v).

Remark 1. From Lemma 2 we note that if G is an AG-group, then the left translation L_a and the right translation R_a behave like an even permutation and an odd permutation respectively, that is;

$$L_a L_a = L_a, R_a R_a = L_a, L_a R_a = R_a, R_a L_a = R_a.$$

Next we recall the following definition.

Definition 1. Let G be an AG-group. Then the set $L_S = \{L_a : L_a(x) = ax \text{ for all } x \in G\}$ is called **left section** of G and the set $R_S = \{R_a : R_a(x) = xa \text{ for all } x \in G\}$ is called **right** section of G.

We remark that left section of a loop is not a group but left section of an AG-group does form a group as the following theorem claims.

Theorem 3. Let G be an AG-group of order n. Then L_S is an abelian group of order n.

Proof. By definition $L_S = \{L_a : L_a(x) = ax \text{ for all } x \in G\}$. Let $L_a, L_b \in L_S$ for some $a, b \in G$. Then by Lemma 2 Part(iv), we have $L_a L_b = L_{(ae)b} \in L_S \Rightarrow L_S$ is an AG-groupiod. $L_e L_a = L_{(ee)a} = L_a$ and $L_a L_e = L_{(ae)e} = L_{(ee)a} = L_a$. Therefore, L_e is the identity in L_S .

Let $L_a, L_b, L_c \in L_S$. Consider $(L_a L_b) L_c = L_{(ae)b} L_c = L_{[\{(ae)b\}e]c} = L_{(ce)((ae)b)} = L_{(ce)((be)a)} = L_{(ae)((be)c)} = L_a L_{(be)c} = L_a (L_b L_c)$. Let $L_a \in L_S \Rightarrow a \in G \Rightarrow a^{-1} \in G \Rightarrow a^{-1}e \in G$. Let $a^{-1}e = b$ then $L_b \in L_S$.

Now $L_aL_b=L_{(ae)b}=L_{(ae)(a^{-1}e)}=L_e=L_bL_a\Rightarrow L_b$ is the inverse of L_a . Thus L_S is a group. Since from Lemma 2, we have $L_aL_b=L_bL_a$. Therefore L_S is an abelian group.

We illustrate the above result by an example.

Example 1. An AG-group of order 3:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} \cdot & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ \end{array}$$

The Multiplication group of the AG-group given in Example 1 is isomorphic to S_3 , the symmetric group of degree 3 as the following example shows.

Example 2. Multiplication group of the AG-group given in Example 1.

Here $L_S = \{L_0, L_1, L_2\}$ which is an abelian group as the following table shows:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} \cdot & L_0 & L_1 & L_2 \\ \hline L_0 & L_0 & L_1 & L_2 \\ L_1 & L_1 & L_2 & L_0 \\ L_2 & L_2 & L_0 & L_1 \\ \end{array}$$

But $R_S = \{R_0, R_1, R_2\}$ does not form an AG-group as the following table shows:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \cdot & R_0 & R_1 & R_2 \\ \hline R_0 & L_0 & L_1 & L_2 \\ R_1 & L_2 & L_0 & L_1 \\ R_2 & L_1 & L_2 & L_0 \\ \end{array}$$

Remark 2. Right section does not form even an AG-groupoid.

Definition 2. Let G be an AG-group. The set $\langle L_a, R_a : a \in G \rangle$ forms a group which is called multiplication group of the AG-group G and is denoted by M(G) i.e $M(G) = \langle L_a, R_a : a \in G \rangle$.

Lemma 2 guarantees that for an AG-group G, $M(G) = \langle L_a, R_a : a \in G \rangle = \{L_a, R_a : a \in G\}$

Theorem 4. Let G be an AG-group of order n. The set $\{L_a, R_a : a \in G\}$ forms a non-abelian group of order 2n which is called multiplication group of the AG-group G and is denoted by M(G) i.e $M(G) = \{L_a, R_a : a \in G\}$.

Proof. From Lemma 2, it is clear that M(G) is closed. L_e plays the role of identity as $L_aL_e = L_eL_a = L_a$ and $R_aL_e = R_{(ae)e} = R_{(ee)a} = R_a = R_{ea} = L_eR_a$. By Theorem 3, $L_a \in M(G)$ has an inverse $L_{a^{-1}} \in M(G)$. Let $R_a \in M(G) \Rightarrow a \in G \Rightarrow a^{-1} \in G \Rightarrow R_{a^{-1}} \in M(G)$ and $R_aR_{a^{-1}} = L_{aa^{-1}} = L_e = L_{a^{-1}a} = R_{a^{-1}}R_a$. Therefore $R_{a^{-1}}$ of R_a is in M(G). Associativity in M(G) follows from the associativity of mappings. Thus M(G) is a group. Note that M(G) is non-abelian because $R_aR_b \neq R_bR_a$.

To make things a bit more clear, we consider the following example.

Example 3. An AG-group of order 4.

Its multiplication group is:

Example 4. Multiplication group of the AG-group in Example 3.

	L_0	L_1	L_2	L_3	R_0	R_1	R_2	R_3
L_0	L_0	L_1	L_2	L_3	R_0	R_1	R_2	R_3
L_1	L_1	L_2	L_3	L_0	R_3	R_0	R_1	R_2
L_2	L_2	L_3	L_0	L_1	R_2	R_3	R_0	R_1
L_3	L_3	L_0	L_1	L_2	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_0
R_0	$egin{array}{c} L_0 \\ L_1 \\ L_2 \\ L_3 \\ R_0 \\ R_1 \\ R_1 \\ R_2 \\ R_3 \\ R_4 \\ R_4 \\ R_5 \\ R_5 \\ R_5 \\ R_6 \\ R_5 \\ $	R_1	R_2	R_3	L_0	L_1	L_2	L_3
R_1	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_0	L_3	L_0	L_1	L_2
R_2	R_2	R_3	R_0	R_1	L_1	L_2	L_3	L_0
	R_3							

From Example 3 we observe that: (i) The multiplication group of an AG-group is not necessarily dihedral. For example, $(L_1 \cdot R_3)^2 = R_2^2 = L_3 \neq L_0$. So here M(G) is not D_4 . From Examples 1 and 3 we observe that: (ii) The left sections in both the examples are C_3 and C_4 respectively.

Theorem 5. Let G be an AG-group. Let a be an element of G distinct from e. Then a is self-inverse $\iff R_a^{-1} = R_a$ is self-inverse.

Proof. Suppose a is self-inverse. Since $R_a(x) = xa$, then R_a is of order 2, as $R_a(R_a(x)) = (xa)a = (xa)a^{-1} = x$ this implies $R_a^2 = L_e$ this further implies $R_a^{-1} = R_a$.

Conversely let $R_a^2 = L_e$ then $R_a^2(x) = L_e(x)$ for all $x \in G$. This implies that (xa)a = ex = x. Now by left invertive law, $a^2x = x$. This by right cancellation implies $a^2 = e$ or $a^{-1} = a$.

Remark 3. R_a cannot fix all the elements of AG-group G. For if we suppose that R_a fixes all the elements. That is; $R_a(x) = x$ for all $x \in G$. This implies xa = x for all $x \in G$. Hence a is the right identity and thus G is abelian.

Theorem 6. The inner mapping group of every AG-group G is $Inn(G) = \{L_0, R_0\} \cong C_2$.

Proof. As $R_a(0) = 0a = a$. This implies that only R_0 maps 0 on 0. On the other hand $L_0(0) = 0$ and no other L_a can map 0 on 0. Because let $L_a(0) = 0$ where $a \neq 0$. Then a0 = 0. This implies $R_0(a) = 0$. But $R_0(0) = 0$. This implies that R_0 is not a permutation which is a contradiction. Hence $Inn(G) = \{L_0, R_0\} \equiv C_2$. The following table verifies the claim.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \cdot & L_0 & R_0 \\ \hline L_0 & L_0 & R_0 \\ R_0 & R_0 & L_0 \\ \end{array}$$

Hence the proof.

Again the following are some quick observations:

- (i) The Inn(G) is not necessarily normal in M(G) for example consider the multiplication group of the AG-group given in 3. Here $L_1\{L_0, R_0\} = \{L_1, R_3\} \neq \{L_1, R_1\} = \{L_0, R_0\} L_1$.
- (ii) For every AG-group G, L_S being of index 2 is normal in M(G) and hence $M(G)/L_S \equiv C_2$.
- (iii) For every AG-group G, left multiplication group of G coincides with L_S and right multiplication group of G coincides with M(G).

A non-associative quasigroup can be left distributive as well as right distributive but a non-associative AG-group can neither be left distributive nor right distributive as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 7. Every left distributive AG-group and every right distributive AG-group is abelian group.

Proof. Let G be a left distributive AG-group. Then for all $a, b, c \in G$, we have

$$a(bc) = (ab)(ac)$$

= $(aa)(bc)$ by Lemma 1 Part(i)

which implies that a = aa by right cancellation.

This further implies that G is an abelian group. The second part is similar. A non-associative quasigroup can be left distributive as well as right distributive but a non-associative AG-group can neither be left distributive nor right distributive as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 8. If G is an AG-group then the M(G) cannot be the group of automorphisms of L.

Proof. Assume that the M(G) is the group of automorphisms of G. It means that every element of M(G) is an automorphism of G. Since $L_a, R_a \in M(G)$ for all $a \in G$. Thus L_a and R_a are both automorphisms of G. So we can write

$$(xy)L_a = (x)L_a \cdot (y)L_a :: L_a \text{ is homomorphism}$$

 $\Rightarrow a(xy) = (ax)(ay) \text{ for all } x, y \in G$
 $\Rightarrow G \text{ is left distributive}$

Similarly,

$$(xy)R_a = (x)R_a \cdot (y)R_a :: R_a \text{ is homomorphism}$$

 $\Rightarrow (xy)a = (xa)(ya) \text{ for all } x, y \in G$
 $\Rightarrow G \text{ is right distributive.}$

Thus G is distributive which is a contradiction to Theorem 7. Hence our supposition is wrong and thus M(G) of an AG-group G cannot be the group of automorphisms of G.

Theorem 9. Let G be an AG-group and M(G) its multiplication group. Let $x, y \in G$ and e be the identity element in G. Then

(i)
$$R_x^{-1} = R_{x^{-1}};$$

(ii) $L_x^{-1} = L_{x^{-1}e}.$

Proof. (i) Since G satisfies right inverse property. Therefore,

$$(yx)x^{-1} = y$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{x^{-1}}R_x(y) = y = L_e(y), \forall x, y \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{x^{-1}}R_x = L_e$$

$$\Rightarrow R_x^{-1} = R_{x^{-1}}.$$

(ii) By Lemma 2 Part (iv)

$$L_x L_{x^{-1}e} = L_{(xe)(x^{-1}e)} = L_{(xx^{-1})e} = L_e$$

 $\Rightarrow L_x^{-1} = L_{x^{-1}e}.$

Future Work: We have proved that an AG-group G of order n has its multiplication group as a nonabelian group of order 2n and its L_S is an abelian group of order n. It is now an interesting question which nonabelian group can occur as a multiplication group of an AG-group G and which cannot and which abelian group can occur as its left section and which cannot. Similar work has been done for loops for example see [4].

References

- [1] A. Distler, M. Shah, V. Sorge: *Enumeration of AG-groupoids*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2011, Volume 6824/2011, 1 14.
- [2] A. Drápal: Multiplication groups of finite loops that fix at most two points, Journal of Algebra, Volume 235, Issue 1, January 2001, Pages 154 175.
- [3] A. Drápal, On multiplication groups of relatively free quasigroups isotopic to Abelian groups, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 3-2005, 55(1), 61 86.
- [4] A. Vasanan, The group PSL(2,q) is not the multiplication group of a loop, Communications in Algebra, 22(4):1177-1195,1994.
- [5] M. Niemenmaa: Finite loops with nilpotent inner mapping groups are centrally nilpotent, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society (2009), 79:109 114.
- [6] M. Niemenmaa: On loops which have dihedral 2-groups as inner mapping groups, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society (1995), 52: 153 160.
- [7] M. Niemenmaa: On finite loops whose inner mapping groups are abelian, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society (2002), 65: 477 484.
- [8] M. Shah, A. Ali: Some structural properties of AG-groups, International Mathematical Forum, Vol. 6, 2011, no. 34, 1661 – 1667.
- [9] M. Shah, T. Shah, A. Ali: On the cancellativity of AG-groupoids, International Mathematical Forum, 6(44): 2187 2194, 2011.
- [10] M. Shah: A theoretical and computational study of AG-groups, PhD thesis, Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad, 2012.
- [11] M. Shah, C. Gretton, V. Sorge: Enumerating AG-groups with an introduction to Samarandache AG-groups, International Mathematical Forum, Vol.6, 2011, no.62, 3079 – 3086.
- [12] T. Ihringer: On multiplication groups of quasigroups, Europ. J. Combinatorics (1984)5, 137 – 141.
- [13] T. Kepka: M. Niemenmaa, On loops with cyclic inner mapping groups, Archiv der Mathematik, 1993 Springer, Volume 60, Number 3, 233 236, DOI: 10.1007/BF01198806.