



First $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ -relative Cohomology of $Vec(\mathbb{R})$ acting on the space of bilinear bidifferential operators

Okba Basdouri^a

^aUniversity of Sfax, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, 3000 Sfax, Tunisia.

Abstract

We consider the $Vec(\mathbb{R})$ -module structure on the spaces of bilinear bidifferential operators acting on the spaces of weighted densities. We compute the differential relative 1-cohomology of the vector fields Lie algebra $Vec(\mathbb{R})$ with coefficients in space $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}$ of bilinear bidifferential operators acting on weighted densities, vanishing on the Lie Algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2)$.

Keywords: Relative Cohomology, Lie subalgebra, weighted densities, bidifferential operators. Symbols.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B56, 53D55, 58H15.

1. Introduction

Let $Vec(\mathbb{R})$ be the Lie algebra of vector fields on \mathbb{R} . Denote by $\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \{gdx^\lambda \mid g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$ the space of weighted densities of weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

The space \mathcal{F}_λ is a $Vec(\mathbb{R})$ -module for the action defined by

$$L_{h \frac{d}{dx}}^\lambda(gdx^\lambda) = (hg' + \lambda h'g)dx^\lambda.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda},\mu}$, where $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, the space of n -ary differential operators:

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}}_{n \otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mu,$$

for any $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. The Lie algebra $Vec(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the space

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda},\mu} := \text{Hom}_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}, \mathcal{F}_\mu)$$

of these differential operators by:

$$X_h.A = L_{X_h}^\mu \circ A - A \circ L_{X_h}^{\bar{\lambda}}, \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author

Email address: okbabasdouri1@yahoo.fr (Okba Basdouri)

where $L_{X_h}^{\bar{\lambda}}$ is the Lie derivative on $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ defined by the Leibnitz rule:

$$L_{X_h}^{\bar{\lambda}}(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n dx^{\lambda_n}) = L_{X_h}^{\lambda_1}(f_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n dx^{\lambda_n} + \cdots + f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{X_h}^{\lambda_n}(f_n dx^{\lambda_n}).$$

Thus the space of differential operators is a $Vec(\mathbb{R})$ -module. If we restrict ourselves to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ which is isomorphic to the Lie subalgebra of $Vec(\mathbb{R})$ spanned by

$$\{X_1, X_x, X_{x^2}\}.$$

Definition 1.1. *The affine subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}(1)$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and the nilradical $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ are*

$$\mathfrak{a}(1) := \{X_1, X_x\}, \quad \mathfrak{so}(2, \mathbb{R}) := \{X_1\}.$$

The vector field $\frac{d}{dx}$ spans a commutative Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(2)$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a Lie algebra and let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be 2 \mathcal{L} -modules. It is well-known that nontrivial extensions of \mathcal{L} -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \cdot \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

are classified by the first cohomology group $H^1(\mathcal{L}, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}))$ (see, e.g., [11]). Any 1-cocycle Δ generates a new action on $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ as follows: for all $X \in \mathcal{L}$ and for all $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$, we define $X \odot (f, g) := (X \odot f + \Delta(g), X \odot g)$.

According to Nijenhuis-Richardson [13, 14], the space $H^1(\mathcal{L}; \text{End}(\mathcal{M}))$ classifies the infinitesimal deformations of a \mathcal{L} -module \mathcal{M} and the obstructions to integrability of a given infinitesimal deformation of \mathcal{M} are elements of $H^2(\mathcal{L}; \text{End}(\mathcal{M}))$ while the spaces $H^1(\mathcal{L}; L(\otimes_s^n \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}))$ appear naturally in the problem of normalization of nonlinear representations of \mathcal{L} in \mathcal{M} . For the space of tensor densities of weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, \mathcal{F}_λ , viewed as a module over the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields $Vec(\mathbb{R})$, the classification of nontrivial extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mu \rightarrow \cdot \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

leads Feigin and Fuks in [12] to compute the cohomology group $H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\mu))$. Later, Ovsienko and Bouarroudj in [9] have computed the corresponding relative cohomology group with respect to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, namely

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2); \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\mu)).$$

Later, Bouarroudj in [8] has computed the corresponding relative cohomology group with respect to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, namely

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2); \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{F}_\mu)).$$

In [4], I. Basdouri et al. have computed

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{a}(1); \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{F}_\mu)).$$

In this paper, we will compute the first differential relative cohomology group respect to $\mathfrak{so}(2)$, namely $H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \mu})$, where, $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \mu}$ is the space of bilinear bidifferential operators from $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}$ to \mathcal{F}_μ , which gives the classification of non-trivial $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ -relative extensions $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}$ by \mathcal{F}_μ defined by 1-cocycles.

2. Definitions and Notations

In this section, we recall the main definitions and facts related to the geometry of the space \mathbb{R} . We also recall some fundamental concepts from cohomology theory (see, e.g., [5, 1, 2, 10, 7, 11]).

2.1. Cohomology theory

Let us first recall some fundamental concepts from cohomology theory (see, e.g., [8, 6]). Let \mathcal{L} be a Lie algebra acting on a space \mathcal{F} and let \mathfrak{k} be a subalgebra of \mathcal{L} . (If \mathfrak{k} is omitted it is assumed to be $\{0\}$.) The space of \mathfrak{k} -relative n -cochains of \mathcal{L} with values in \mathcal{F} is the \mathcal{L} -module

$$C^n(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F}) := \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\Lambda^n(\mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{k}); \mathcal{F}).$$

The coboundary operator $\delta_n : C^n(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F})$ is a \mathcal{L} -map satisfying $\delta^2 = 0$. The kernel of δ_n , denoted $Z^n(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F})$, is the space of \mathfrak{k} -relative n -cocycles, among them, the elements in the range of δ_{n-1} are called \mathfrak{k} -relative n -coboundaries. We denote $B^n(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F})$ the space of n -coboundaries.

By definition, the n^{th} \mathfrak{k} -relative cohomology space is the quotient space

$$H^n(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F}) = Z^n(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F})/B^n(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F}).$$

We will only need the formula of δ_n (which will be simply denoted δ) in degrees 0 and 1: for $h \in C^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{k}}$, $\delta h(f) := f \cdot h$, where

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{k}} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid h \cdot f = 0 \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{k}\},$$

and for $\Delta \in C^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{k}; \mathcal{F})$,

$$\delta(\Delta)(f_1, f_2) := f_1 \cdot \Delta(f_2) - f_2 \cdot \Delta(f_1) - \Delta([f_1, f_2]) \text{ for any } f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}. \tag{2.1}$$

2.2. $\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R})$ -module structures on the of bilinear bidifferential operators

Each bilinear bidifferential operator B on \mathbb{R} gives thus rise to a morphism from $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}$ to \mathcal{F}_{μ} , for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, by $f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes f_2 dx^{\lambda_2} \mapsto B(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes f_2 dx^{\lambda_2}) dx^{\mu}$. The Lie algebra $\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the space $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu} := \text{Hom}_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu})$ of these bidifferential operators by:

$$X.B = L_X^{\mu} \circ B - B \circ L_X^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $L_{X_h}^{\bar{\lambda}}$ is the Lie derivative on $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}$ defined by the Leibnitz rule:

$$L_X^{\bar{\lambda}}(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes f_2 dx^{\lambda_2}) = L_X^{\lambda_1}(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1}) \otimes f_2 dx^{\lambda_2} + f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes L_X^{\lambda_2}(f_2 dx^{\lambda_2}).$$

Thus the space of bidifferential operators is a $\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R})$ -module.

3. $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ -relative Cohomology of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda}; \mu}$

The main result in the paper, we compute the differentiable, $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ -relative cohomology of the Lie algebra $\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R})$ with coefficients in the space of bilinear differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda}; \mu}$. Namely, we consider only cochains that are given by differentiable maps.

Theorem 3.1. (i) If $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$, then

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda}; \mu}) = \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } \bar{\lambda}.$$

(ii) If $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 1$, then

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda}; \mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^3 & \text{if } \bar{\lambda} = (0, 0), \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 2$, then

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^2 & \text{if } \bar{\lambda} \in \{(0, 0), (0, -1), (0, \lambda_2), (\lambda, 0), (\lambda, -1 - \lambda)\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iv) If $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 3$, then

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^3 & \text{if } \bar{\lambda} \in \{(0, 0), (-2, 0), (0, -2), (-\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3})\}, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(v) If $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 4$, then

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{for all } \bar{\lambda}.$$

(vi) If $\mu - \bar{\lambda} = 5$, then

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^3 & \text{if } \bar{\lambda} \in \{(0, 0), (0, -4), (-4, 0)\}, \\ \mathbb{R}^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(vii) If $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 6$, then

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^3 & \text{if } \bar{\lambda} \in \{(-\frac{5+\sqrt{19}}{2}, 0), (0, -\frac{5+\sqrt{19}}{2})\}, \\ \mathbb{R}^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(viii) If $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 7$, then

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^2 & \text{if } \bar{\lambda} \in \{(-\frac{5-\sqrt{19}}{2}, -\frac{5-\sqrt{19}}{2}), (-\frac{5+\sqrt{19}}{2}, -\frac{5+\sqrt{19}}{2}), \\ & (0, \lambda_2), (\lambda, 0), (\lambda, -6 - \lambda), (\sqrt{19} - 1, -\frac{\sqrt{19}-5}{2}), \\ & (-\frac{\sqrt{19}-5}{2}, \sqrt{19} - 1), (-\sqrt{19} - 1, \frac{\sqrt{19}-5}{2}), \\ & (\frac{\sqrt{19}-5}{2}, -\sqrt{19} - 1)\}, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ix) If $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \geq 8$ but with $\bar{\lambda}$ generic then

$$H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = 0.$$

Remark 3.2. ([4, 8, 7],[12], [15])

1. We notice that $H^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda_2,\mu}) \subseteq H^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{a}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda_2,\mu}) \subseteq H^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda_2,\mu}) \subseteq H^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda_2,\mu})$.
2. The values $-\frac{2}{3}$ can be deduced from the work of Grozman.
3. The values $\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2}$ and $\sqrt{19}$ from the work of Feigin-Fuchs.

For $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we pose $k = \mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and we are interested in the space $T_k^{\bar{\lambda}}$ of the bilinear bidifferential operators who are $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ -invariant. The elements of T_{λ}^{μ} are described as follows:

Theorem 3.3. (a) If $k \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $T_\lambda^\mu = \{0\}$.
 (b) There exist $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ -invariant bilinear bidifferential operators

$$T_k^\lambda : \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+k}$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_k^\lambda : \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+k}, \\ \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 &\longmapsto \sum_{i_1+i_2=k} \varepsilon_{i_1,i_2} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \phi_2^{(i_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where ε_{i_1,i_2} are constants.

Proof. Let T be an bilinear bidifferential operator

$$\begin{aligned} T : \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mu, \\ (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2) &\longmapsto \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i_1+i_2=k} \varepsilon_{i_1,i_2} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \phi_2^{(i_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where ε_{i_1,i_2} are, a priori, functions. T is $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ -invariant if and only if for all $X \in \mathfrak{so}(2)$: $X.T = 0$ if and only if $L_X^{\lambda,\mu}(T)(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2) = 0$, for all $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \times \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}$.

The invariance with respect to X_1 is reflected in:

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i_1+i_2=k} \varepsilon'_{i_1,i_2} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \phi_2^{(i_2)} = 0,$$

hence, ε_{i_1,i_2} is a constant $\forall i_1, i_2$.

4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

To proof Theorem 3.1, first we will investigate the dimension of the space of operators that satisfy the 1-cocycle condition: $Z^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$. Second we will study all trivial 1-cocycles, namely, operators of the form $X.(T)$, where T is a bilinear operator. As our 1-cocycles vanish on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2)$, it follows that the operator \mathcal{B} coincides with the space of bilinear differential operators $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ -invariant. We will determine the dimension of $B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$, and finally by taking into account the above and depending on λ_1 and λ_2 , the dimension of the cohomology group $H^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})$ will be equal to $\dim(Z^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu})) - \dim(B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}))$. We need also the following Lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. [8] Every 1-cocycle on $\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R})$ with values in $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ is differentiable.

Lemma 4.2. Any 1-cocycle vanishing on the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ of $\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R})$ is $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ -invariant.

Proof. By a straightforward computation.

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 4.1, any 1-cocycle on $\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R})$ should retains the following general form:

$$c(X)(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1}, f_2 dx^{\lambda_2}) = \sum_{i+j+l=k+1} c_{i,j,l} X^{(i)} f_1^{(j)} f_2^{(l)} dx^\mu$$

where $c_{i,j,l}$ are constants. By Lemma 4.2, the fact that this 1-cocycle vanishes on $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ implies that

$$c_{0,j,l} X = 0.$$

The 1-cocycle condition reads as follows: for all $X, Y \in \text{Vec}(\mathbb{R})$, $f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1}$ and $f_2 dx^{\lambda_2} \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}$

$$L_X^{\bar{\lambda};\mu} c(Y)(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1}, f_2 dx^{\lambda_2}) - L_Y^{\bar{\lambda};\mu} c(X)(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1}, f_2 dx^{\lambda_2}) - c([X, Y])(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1}, f_2 dx^{\lambda_2}) = 0.$$

In the following, we give the expressions of $c(X, f_1, f_2)$ instead of $c(X)(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1}, f_2 dx^{\lambda_2})$.

(i) The case when $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$: the equation 2.1 shows that only one 1-cocycle spans the cohomology group of Theorem 3.1; it is given by

$$c(X, f_1, f_2) = c_{1,0,0} X' f_1 f_2.$$

A direct computation proves that the 1-cocycle is not trivial

$$\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0.$$

(ii) The case when $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 1$: any 1-cocycle on $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}) \mathfrak{so}(2)$ -relative should retain the following general form:

$$c(X, f_1, f_2) = c_{1,1,0} X' f_1' f_2 + c_{1,0,1} X' f_1 f_2' + c_{2,0,0} X'' f_1 f_2.$$

Again, by a straightforward computation, the above 1-cocycle condition reads

$$\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad c_{1,1,0} \lambda_1 + c_{1,0,1} \lambda_2 = 0.$$

Let us study now the triviality of this 1-cocycle. A straightforward computation shows that

$$X.T_1^{\bar{\lambda}} = -(\varepsilon_{1,0} \lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,1} \lambda_2) X'' f_1 f_2.$$

1. If $\bar{\lambda} = (0, 0)$, then

$$\dim Z_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = 3$$

$$\dim B_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = 0.$$

2. If $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 \neq 0$, then $c_{1,1,0} = 0$

$$\dim Z_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = 2$$

$$\dim B_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = 1, \text{ generated by}$$

$$B = -\varepsilon_{0,1} \lambda_2 X'' f_1 f_2.$$

The results hold true for $(\lambda_1 \neq 0 \text{ together with } \lambda_2 = 0)$ and $\bar{\lambda} \neq (0, 0)$.

(iii) The case when $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 2$

Any 1-cocycle should retain the following general form:

$$c(X, f_1, f_2) = \sum_{i+j+k=3, i \geq 1} c_{i,j,k} X^{(i)} f_1^{(j)} f_2^{(k)} dx^\mu$$

The 1-cocycle condition is equivalent to the following system:

$$c_{1,2,0}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,1} \lambda_2 = 0,$$

$$c_{1,2,0} \lambda_1 + c_{1,0,2} \lambda_2 = 0,$$

$$c_{1,1,1} \lambda_1 + c_{1,0,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) = 0.$$

Let us study the triviality of this 1-cocycle. a straightforward computation shows that

$$L_X T_2^{\bar{\lambda}} = -(\varepsilon_{2,0}(1 + 2\lambda) + \varepsilon_{1,1} \lambda_2) X'' f_1' f_2 - (\varepsilon_{1,1} \lambda + \varepsilon_{0,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2)) X'' f_1 f_2' - (\varepsilon_{2,0} \lambda + a_{0,2} \lambda_2) X''' f_1 f_2.$$

1. If $\bar{\lambda} = (0, 0)$, then $c_{1,2,0} = c_{1,0,2} = 0$

$$\dim Z_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = 4$$

$\dim B_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = 2$, generated by

$$B_1 = X'' f_1' f_2,$$

$$B_2 = X'' f_1 f_2'.$$

2. If $\bar{\lambda} = (0, -1)$, then $c_{1,2,0} = c_{1,0,2}$ and $c_{1,0,2} = 0$,

$$\dim Z_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = 4$$

$\dim B_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2); \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu}) = 2$, generated by

$$B_1 = X'' f_1' f_2,$$

$$B_2 = (X'' f_1 f_2' + X''' f_1 f_2).$$

The results hold true for $(\lambda_1 \neq 0$ together with $\lambda_2 = 0)$, $(\lambda_1 = 0$ together with $\lambda_2 \neq 0)$ and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\lambda_1, -1 - \lambda_1)$.

3. for other cases for λ_1 and λ_2 , then $c_{1,2,0} = c_{1,1,1} = c_{1,0,2} = 0$.

Thus, the 1-cocycle is trivial.

(iv) The case when $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 3$

Any 1-cocycle should retain the following general form:

$$c(X, f_1, f_2) = \sum_{i+j+k=4, i \geq 1} c_{i,j,k} X^{(i)} f_1^{(j)} f_2^{(k)} dx^\mu$$

The 1-cocycle condition is equivalent to the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,0,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2) + c_{1,1,2}\lambda_1 &= 0, & c_{1,0,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2) + c_{1,2,1}\lambda_1 &= 0, \\ c_{1,0,3}\lambda_2 + c_{1,3,0}\lambda_1 &= 0, & c_{1,1,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) + c_{1,2,1}(1 + 2\lambda_1) &= 0, \\ c_{1,1,2}\lambda_2 + c_{1,3,0}(1 + 3\lambda_1) &= 0, & c_{1,2,1}\lambda_2 + c_{1,3,0}(3 + 3\lambda_1) &= 0, \\ c_{2,0,2}\lambda_2 + c_{2,2,0}\lambda_1 &= 0, & c_{3,0,1}\lambda_2 + c_{3,1,0}\lambda_1 + 2c_{4,0,0} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The system is equivalent and consequently the space $Z^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\lambda_2,\mu})$ is 6-dimensional.

Let us study the triviality of this 1-cocycle. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_X T_3^{\bar{\lambda}} &= - (\varepsilon_{0,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2) + \varepsilon_{1,2}\lambda_1) X'' f_1 f_2'' - (\varepsilon_{1,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) + \varepsilon_{2,1}(1 + 2\lambda_1)) X'' f_1' f_2' \\ &- (\varepsilon_{2,1}\lambda_2 + \varepsilon_{3,0}(3 + 3\lambda_1)) X'' f_1'' f_2 - (\varepsilon_{1,2}\lambda_2 + \varepsilon_{3,0}(1 + 3\lambda_1)) X''' f_1' f_2 \\ &- (\varepsilon_{0,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2) + \varepsilon_{2,1}\lambda_1) X''' f_1 f_2' - (\varepsilon_{0,3}\lambda_2 + \varepsilon_{3,0}\lambda_1) X^{(4)} f_1 f_2 \end{aligned}$$

1. Now we will characterize all values of λ and λ_2 for which $B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu})$ is three-dimensional. A easy computation proves that these values are $(0,0)$, $(\frac{-2}{3}, \frac{-2}{3})$, $(0,-2)$ and $(-2,0)$. Hence, the cohomology group is three-dimensional.

2. If λ and λ_2 are not like above, then the space $B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda};\mu})$ is 5-dimensional Hence, the cohomology group is one-dimensional.

(v) The case when $\mu - \lambda - \lambda_2 = 4$

Any 1-cocycle should retains the following general form:

$$c(X, f_1, f_2) = \sum_{i+j+k=5, i \geq 1} c_{i,j,k} X^{(i)} f_1^{(j)} f_2^{(k)} dx^\mu$$

The 1-cocycle condition is equivalent to the following system

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 c_{2,3,0} - \lambda_1 c_{4,1,0} + \lambda_2 c_{2,0,3} - \lambda_2 c_{4,0,1} = 5 c_{5,0,0} \\ \lambda_1 c_{2,2,1} - \lambda_1 c_{3,1,1} + (1 + 3 \lambda_2) c_{2,0,3} - (1 + 2 \lambda_2) c_{3,0,2} \\ (1 + 3 \lambda_1) c_{2,3,0} - (1 + 2 \lambda_1) c_{3,2,0} + \lambda_2 c_{2,1,2} - \lambda_2 c_{3,1,1} = 2 c_{4,1,0} \end{cases}$$

The space of solutions of the system above is 7-dimensional.

Let us study the triviality of this 1-cocycle. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_X T_4^{\bar{\lambda}} = & - (\varepsilon_{4,0}(6 + 4\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{3,1}\lambda_2) X'' f_1''' f_2 - (\varepsilon_{3,1}(3 + 3\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{2,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2)) X'' f_1'' f_2' \\ & - (\varepsilon_{2,2}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{1,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2)) X'' f_1' f_2'' - (\varepsilon_{1,3}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,4}(6 + 4\lambda_2)) X'' f_1 f_2''' \\ & - (\varepsilon_{4,0}(4 + 6\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{2,2}\lambda_2) X''' f_1' f_2 - (\varepsilon_{3,1}(1 + 3\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{1,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2)) X''' f_1' f_2' \\ & - (\varepsilon_{2,2}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,4}(4 + 6\lambda_2)) X''' f_1 f_2'' - (\varepsilon_{4,0}(1 + 4\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{1,3}\lambda_2) X^{(4)} f_1' f_2 \\ & - (\varepsilon_{3,1}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2)) X^{(4)} f_1 f_2' - (\varepsilon_{4,0}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,4}\lambda_2) X^{(4)} f_1 f_2 \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation proves that, for all values of $\bar{\lambda}$ the space $B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mu})$ is 5-dimensional. Hence, the cohomology group is two-dimensional.

(vi) The case when $\mu - \lambda_2 - \lambda_2 = 5$

Any 1-cocycle should retains the following general form:

$$c(X, f_1, f_2) = \sum_{i+j+k=6, i \geq 1} c_{i,j,k} X^{(i)} f_1^{(j)} f_2^{(k)} dx^\mu$$

A straightforward computation shows that the above 1-cocycle condition reads:

The 1-cocycle condition is equivalent to the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,5,0}(10 + 5\lambda_1) + c_{1,4,1}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,5,0}(10 + 10\lambda_1) + c_{1,3,2}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\ c_{1,5,0}(5 + 10\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,3}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,5,0}(1 + 5\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,4}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\ c_{1,5,0}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,5}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,4,1}(6 + 4\lambda_1) + c_{1,3,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) &= 0, \\ c_{1,4,1}(4 + 6\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,4,1}(1 + 4\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2) &= 0, \\ c_{1,4,1}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,5}(1 + 5\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,3,2}(3 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2) &= 0, \\ c_{1,3,2}(1 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,4}(4 + 6\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,3,2}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,5}(5 + 10\lambda_2) &= 0, \\ c_{1,2,3}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,4}(6 + 4\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,2,3}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,5}(10 + 10\lambda_2) &= 0, \\ c_{1,1,4}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,5}(10 + 5\lambda_2) &= 0, & & \\ c_{2,4,0}(4 + 6\lambda_1) + c_{2,2,2}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{2,4,0}(1 + 4\lambda) + c_{2,1,3}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\ c_{2,4,0}\lambda_1 + c_{2,0,4}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{2,3,1}(1 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{2,1,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2) &= 0, \\ c_{2,3,1}\lambda_1 + c_{2,0,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{2,3,1}\lambda_1 + c_{2,0,4}(4 + 6\lambda_2) &= 0, \\ (c_{4,2,0} - c_{3,3,0})\lambda_1 + (c_{4,0,2} - c_{3,0,3})\lambda_2 + 5c_{6,0,0} &= 0, & c_{3,3,0}(3 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{3,2,1}\lambda_2 + 2c_{4,2,0} &= 0, \\ c_{3,2,1}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + c_{3,1,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) + 2c_{4,1,1} &= 0, & c_{3,1,2}\lambda_1 + c_{3,0,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2) + 2c_{4,0,2} &= 0, \\ c_{4,2,0}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + c_{4,1,1}\lambda_2 + 5c_{5,1,0} &= 0, & & \\ c_{4,1,1}\lambda_1 + c_{4,0,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) + 5c_{5,0,1} &= 0, & c_{5,1,0}\lambda_1 + c_{5,0,1}\lambda_2 + 9c_{6,0,0} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The space of solutions of the system above is 9-dimensional for $\bar{\lambda} \in \{(0, -4), (0, 0), (-4, 0)\}$, and 8-dimensional otherwise. According to these values, let us study the space $B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu})$.

A direct computation proves that Let us study the triviality of this 1-cocycle. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_X T_5^{\bar{\lambda}} = & - (\varepsilon_{5,0}(10 + 5\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{4,1}\lambda_2)Y''f_1^{(4)}f_2 - (\varepsilon_{4,1}(6 + 4\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{3,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2))X''f_1'''f_2' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{3,2}(3 + 3\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{2,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2))X''f_1'f_2'' - (\varepsilon_{2,3}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{1,4}(6 + 4\lambda_2))X''f_1'f_2''' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{1,4}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,5}(10 + 5\lambda_2))X''f_1f_2^{(4)} - (\varepsilon_{5,0}(10 + 10\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{3,2}\lambda_2)X'''f_1'''f_2 \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{4,1}(4 + 6\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{2,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2))Y'''f_1''f_2' - (\varepsilon_{3,2}(1 + 3\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{1,4}(4 + 6\lambda_2))X'''f_1'f_2''' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{2,3}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,5}(10 + 10\lambda_2))X'''f_1f_2''' - (\varepsilon_{5,0}(5 + 10\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{2,3}\lambda_2)X^{(4)}f''g \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{4,1}(1 + 4\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{1,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2))X^{(4)}f_1'f_2' - (\varepsilon_{3,2}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,5}(5 + 10\lambda_2))X^{(4)}f_1f_2'' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{5,0}(1 + 5\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{1,4}\lambda_2)Y^{(5)}f_1'f_2 - (\varepsilon_{4,1}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,5}(1 + 5\lambda_2))X^{(5)}f_1f_2' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{5,0}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,5}\lambda_2)X^{(6)}f_1f_2
 \end{aligned}$$

For all values of $\bar{\lambda}$ the space $B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mu})$ is 6-dimensional; generated by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_1(X, f_1, f_2) = & \lambda_2 X^{(6)}f_1f_2 + (1 + 5\lambda_2) X^{(5)}f_1f_2' + (5 + 10\lambda_2) X^{(4)}f_1f_2^{(2)} \\
 & + (10 + 10\lambda_2) X^{(3)}f_1f_2^{(3)} + (10 + 5\lambda_2) X^{(2)}\phi\psi^{(4)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_2(X, f_1, f_2) = & \lambda_2 X^{(5)}f_1'f_2 + (1 + 4\lambda_2) X^{(4)}f_1'f_2i' + (4 + 6\lambda_2) X^{(3)}f_1'f_2^{(2)} \\
 & + (6 + 4\lambda_2) X^{(2)}f_1'f_2^{(3)} + \lambda_1 X^{(2)}f_1f_2^{(4)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_3(X, f_1, f_2) = & \lambda_1 X^{(6)}f_1f_2 + (1 + 5\lambda_1) X^{(5)}f_1'f_2 + (5 + 10\lambda_1) X^{(4)}f_1^{(2)}f_2 \\
 & + (10 + 10\lambda_1) X^{(3)}f_1^{(3)}f_2 + (10 + 5\lambda_1) X^{(2)}f_1^{(4)}\psi.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_4(X, f_1, \psi) = & \lambda X^{(4)}f_1f_2^{(2)} + (1 + 3\lambda_1) X^{(3)}f_1'f_2^{(2)} + (1 + 2\lambda_2) X^{(2)}f_1^{(3)}f_2' \\
 & + (3 + 3\lambda_1) X^{(2)}f_1^{(2)}f_2^{(2)} + \lambda_2 X^{(3)}f_1^{(3)}f_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_5(X, f_1, f_2) = & \lambda_1 X^{(5)}f_1f_2' + (1 + 4\lambda_1) X^{(4)}f_1'f_2' + (4 + 6\lambda_1) X^{(3)}f_1^{(2)}f_2' \\
 & + (6 + 4\lambda_1) X^{(2)}f_1^{(3)}f_2' + \lambda_2 X^{(2)}\phi^{(4)}\psi.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_6(X, \phi, f_2) = & \lambda_2 X^{(4)}f_1^{(2)}f_2 + (1 + 3\lambda_2) X^{(3)}f_1^{(2)}f_2' + (3 + 3\lambda_2) X^{(2)}f_1^{(2)}f_2^{(2)} \\
 & + (1 + 2\lambda_1) X^{(2)}f_1'f_2^{(3)} + \lambda_1 X^{(3)}f_1f_2^{(3)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the cohomology group is three-dimensional for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (0, -4), (0, 0)$ and $(-4, 0)$, and two-dimensional otherwise.

(vii) The case when $\mu - \lambda - \lambda_2 = 6$

Any 1-cocycle should retain the following general form:

$$c(X, f_1, f_2) = \sum_{i+j+k=7, i \geq 1} c_{i,j,k} X^{(i)} f_1^{(j)} f_2^{(k)} dx^\mu$$

A straightforward computation shows that the above 1-cocycle condition reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{1,6,0}(15 + 6\lambda_1) + c_{1,5,1}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,6,0}(20 + 15\lambda_1) + c_{1,4,2}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\
 c_{1,6,0}(15 + 20\lambda_1) + c_{1,3,3}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,6,0}(6 + 15\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,4}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\
 c_{1,6,0}(1 + 6\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,5}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,6,0}\lambda + c_{1,0,6}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\
 c_{1,5,1}(10 + 5\lambda_1) + c_{1,4,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,5,1}(10 + 10\lambda_1) + c_{1,3,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,5,1}(5 + 10\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,5,1}(1 + 5\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,5}(1 + 5\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,5,1}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,6}(1 + 6\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,4,2}(6 + 4\lambda_1) + c_{1,3,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,4,2}(4 + 6\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,4}(4 + 6\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,4,2}(1 + 4\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,5}(5 + 10\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,4,2}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,6}(6 + 15\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,3,3}(3 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,4}(6 + 4\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,3,3}(1 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,5}(10 + 10\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,3,3}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,6}(15 + 20\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,2,4}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,5}(10 + 5\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,2,4}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,6}(20 + 15\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,1,5}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,6}(15 + 6\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{2,5,0}(10 + 10\lambda_1) + c_{2,3,2}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\
 c_{2,5,0}(5 + 10\lambda_1) + c_{2,2,3}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{2,5,0}(1 + 5\lambda_1) + c_{2,1,4}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\
 c_{2,5,0}\lambda_1 + c_{2,0,5}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{2,4,1}(4 + 6\lambda_1) + c_{2,2,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{2,4,1}(1 + 4\lambda_1) + c_{2,1,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{2,4,1}\lambda_1 + c_{2,0,5}(1 + 5\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{2,3,2}(1 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{2,1,4}(4 + 6\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{2,3,2}\lambda_1 + c_{2,0,5}(5 + 10\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{2,2,3}\lambda_1 + c_{2,0,5}(10 + 10\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{3,4,0}(6 + 4\lambda_1) + c_{3,3,1}\lambda_2 + 2c_{4,3,0} &= 0, \\
 c_{3,3,1}(3 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{3,2,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) + 2c_{4,2,1} &= 0, & c_{6,1,0}\lambda_1 + c_{6,0,1}\lambda_2 + 14c_{7,0,0} &= 0, \\
 c_{3,2,2}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + c_{3,1,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2) + 2c_{4,1,2} &= 0, & c_{3,1,3}\lambda_1 + c_{3,0,4}(6 + 4\lambda_2) + 2c_{4,0,3} &= 0, \\
 c_{4,3,0}(1 + 3\lambda_1) - c_{3,4,0}(1 + 4\lambda_1) + (c_{4,1,2} - c_{3,1,3})\lambda_2 & & c_{4,3,0}(3 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{4,2,1}\lambda_2 + 5c_{4,0,3} &= 0, \\
 + 5c_{6,1,0} &= 0, & c_{4,1,2}\lambda + c_{4,0,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2) + 5c_{5,0,2} &= 0, \\
 (c_{3,3,1} - c_{4,2,1})\lambda_1 - c_{3,0,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2) + c_{4,0,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2) & & c_{5,2,0}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + c_{5,1,1}\lambda_2 + 9c_{6,1,0} &= 0, \\
 + 5c_{6,0,1} &= 0, & c_{5,1,1}\lambda_1 + c_{5,0,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) + 9c_{6,0,1} &= 0. \\
 c_{4,2,1}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + c_{4,1,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) + 5c_{5,1,1} &= 0, \\
 c_{5,2,0}\lambda_1 + c_{5,0,2}\lambda_2 - c_{3,4,0}\lambda_1 - c_{3,0,4}\lambda_2 + 14c_{7,0,0} &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

The space of solutions of the system above is 10-dimensional for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, 0), (0, \frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}), (\frac{-5 - \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5 + \sqrt{19}}{2}), (\frac{-5 + \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5 - \sqrt{19}}{2})$ and 9-dimensional otherwise. Let us study the space $B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$.

Let us study the triviality of this 1-cocycle. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_X T_6^{\bar{\lambda}} = & - (\varepsilon_{6,0}(15 + 6\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{5,1}\lambda_2)X''f_1^{(5)}f_2 - (\varepsilon_{5,1}(10 + 5\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{4,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2))X''f_1^{(4)}f_2' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{4,2}(6 + 4\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{3,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2))X''f_1'''f_2'' - (\varepsilon_{3,3}(3 + 3\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{2,4}(6 + 4\lambda_2))X''f_1''f_2''' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{2,4}(6 + 4\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{1,5}(10 + 5\lambda_2))X''f_1'f_2^{(4)} - (\varepsilon_{1,5}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,6}(15 + 6\lambda_2))X''f_1f_2^{(5)} \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{6,0}(20 + 15\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{4,2}\lambda_2)X'''f_1^{(4)}f_2 - (\varepsilon_{5,1}(10 + 10\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{3,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2))X'''f_1'''f_2' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{4,2}(4 + 6\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{2,4}(4 + 6\lambda_2))X'''f_1''f_2'' - (\varepsilon_{3,3}(1 + 3\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{1,5}(10 + 10\lambda_2))X'''f_1'f_2''' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{2,4}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,6}(20 + 15\lambda_2))X'''f_1f_2^{(4)} - (\varepsilon_{3,3}(15 + 20\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{6,0}\lambda_2)X^{(4)}f_1'''f_2 \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{5,1}(5 + 10\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{2,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2))X^{(4)}f_1''f_2' - (\varepsilon_{1,5}(1 + 4\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{4,2}(5 + 10\lambda_2))X^{(4)}f_1'f_2'' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{3,3}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,6}(15 + 20\lambda_2))X^{(4)}f_1f_2''' - (\varepsilon_{2,4}(6 + 15\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{6,0}\lambda_2)X^{(5)}f_1''f_2 \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{1,5}(1 + 5\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{5,1}(1 + 5\lambda_2))X^{(5)}f_1'f_2' - (\varepsilon_{4,2}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,6}(6 + 15\lambda_2))X^{(5)}f_1f_2'' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{1,5}(1 + 6\lambda_1) + \varepsilon_{6,0}\lambda_2)X^{(6)}f_1'f_2 - (\varepsilon_{5,1}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,6}(1 + 6\lambda_2))Y^{(6)}f_1f_2' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{6,0}\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_{0,6}\lambda_2)X^{(7)}f_1f_2
 \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation shows that, for all values of λ_1 and λ_2 the space $B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu})$ is 7-dimensional. Hence, the cohomology group is three-dimensional for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, 0), (0, \frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}), (\frac{-5 - \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5 + \sqrt{19}}{2}), (\frac{-5 + \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5 - \sqrt{19}}{2})$ and two-dimensional otherwise.

(viii) The case when $\mu - \lambda - \lambda_2 = 7$

Any 1-cocycle should retain the following general form:

$$c(X, f_1, f_2) = \sum_{i+j+k=8, i \geq 1} c_{i,j,k} X^{(i)} f_1^{(j)} f_2^{(k)} dx^\mu$$

A straightforward computation shows that the above 1-cocycle condition reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{1,7,0}(21 + 7\lambda_1) + c_{1,6,1}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,7,0}(35 + 21\lambda_1) + c_{1,5,2}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\
 c_{1,7,0}(35 + 35\lambda_1) + c_{1,4,3}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,7,0}(21 + 35\lambda_1) + c_{1,3,4}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\
 c_{1,7,0}(7 + 21\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,5}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,7,0}(1 + 7\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,6}\lambda_2 &= 0, \\
 c_{1,7,0}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,7}\lambda_2 &= 0, & c_{1,6,1}(15 + 6\lambda_1) + c_{1,5,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,6,1}(20 + 15\lambda_1) + c_{1,4,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,6,1}(15 + 20\lambda_1) + c_{1,3,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,6,1}(6 + 15\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,5}(1 + 5\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,6,1}(1 + 6\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,6}(1 + 6\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,6,1}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,7}(1 + 7\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,5,2}(10 + 5\lambda_1) + c_{1,4,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,5,2}(10 + 10\lambda_1) + c_{1,3,4}(4 + 6\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,5,2}(5 + 10\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,5}(5 + 10\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,5,2}(1 + 5\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,6}(6 + 15\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,5,2}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,7}(7 + 21\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,4,3}(6 + 4\lambda_1) + c_{1,3,4}(6 + 4\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,4,3}(4 + 6\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,5}(10 + 10\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,4,3}(1 + 4\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,6}(15 + 20\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,4,3}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,7}(21 + 35\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,3,4}(3 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{1,2,5}(10 + 5\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,3,4}(1 + 3\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,6}(20 + 15\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,3,4}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,7}(35 + 35\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,2,5}(1 + 2\lambda_1) + c_{1,1,6}(15 + 6\lambda_2) &= 0, \\
 c_{1,2,5}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,7}(35 + 21\lambda_2) &= 0, & c_{1,1,6}\lambda_1 + c_{1,0,7}(21 + 7\lambda_2) &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

The space of solutions of the 1-cocycle condition is 10-dimensional for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \{(0, \lambda_2), (\lambda, 0), (-\lambda_2 - 6, \lambda_2), (\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2}, -1+\sqrt{19}), (\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2}, -1-\sqrt{19}), (\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2}), (\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2}), (-1-\sqrt{19}, \frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2}), (-1+\sqrt{19}, \frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2})\}$ and 9-dimensional otherwise.

Let us study the triviality of this 1-cocycle. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_X T_7^{\bar{\lambda}} = & - (\varepsilon_{7,0}(21 + 7\lambda) + a_{6,1}\lambda_2)X''f^{(6)}g - (\varepsilon_{6,1}(15 + 6\lambda) + \varepsilon_{5,2}(1 + 2\lambda_2))_1f_2X''f_1^{(5)}f'_2 \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{5,2}(10 + 5\lambda) + \varepsilon_{4,3}(3 + 3\lambda_2))X''f_1^{(4)}f_2'' - (\varepsilon_{4,3}(6 + 4\lambda) + \varepsilon_{3,4}(6 + 4\lambda_2))_1f_2X''f_1'''f_2''' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{3,4}(3 + 3\lambda) + \varepsilon_{2,5}(10 + 5\lambda_2))X''f_1''f_2^{(4)} - (\varepsilon_{2,5}(1 + 2\lambda) + \varepsilon_{1,6}(15 + 6\lambda_2))_1f_2X''f_1'f_2^{(5)} \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{1,6}\lambda + \varepsilon_{0,7}(21 + 7\lambda_2))X''f_1f_2^{(6)} - (\varepsilon_{7,0}(35 + 21\lambda) + \varepsilon_{5,2}\lambda_2)Y'''f_1^{(5)}f_2 \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{6,1}(20 + 15\lambda) + \varepsilon_{4,3}(1 + 3\lambda_2))X'''f_1^{(4)}f_2' - (\varepsilon_{5,2}(10 + 10\lambda) + \varepsilon_{3,4}(4 + 6\lambda_2))X'''f_1'''f_2'' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{4,3}(4 + 6\lambda) + \varepsilon_{2,5}(10 + 10\lambda_2))X'''f_1''f_2''' - (\varepsilon_{3,4}(1 + 3\lambda) + \varepsilon_{1,6}(20 + 15\lambda_2))X'''f_1'f_2^{(4)} \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{2,5}\lambda + \varepsilon_{0,7}(35 + 21\lambda_2))X'''f_1f_2^{(5)} - (\varepsilon_{7,0}(35 + 35\lambda) + \varepsilon_{4,3}\lambda_2)X^{(4)}f(4)_1f_2 \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{6,1}(15 + 20\lambda) + \varepsilon_{3,4}(1 + 4\lambda_2))X^{(4)}f_1'''f_2' - (\varepsilon_{5,2}(5 + 10\lambda) + \varepsilon_{2,5}(5 + 10\lambda_2))X^{(4)}f_1''f_2'' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{4,3}(1 + 4\lambda) + \varepsilon_{1,6}(15 + 20\lambda_2))X^{(4)}f_1'f_2''' - (\varepsilon_{3,4}\lambda + \varepsilon_{0,7}(35 + 35\lambda_2))X^{(4)}f_1f_2^{(4)} \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{7,0}(21 + 35\lambda) + \varepsilon_{3,4}\lambda_2)X^{(5)}f_1'''f_2 - (\varepsilon_{6,1}(6 + 15\lambda) + \varepsilon_{2,5}(1 + 5\lambda_2))X^{(5)}f_1''f_2' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{5,2}(1 + 5\lambda) + \varepsilon_{1,6}(6 + 15\lambda_2))X^{(5)}f_1'f_2''' - (\varepsilon_{4,3}\lambda + \varepsilon_{0,7}(21 + 35\lambda_2))X^{(5)}f_1f_2''' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{7,0}(7 + 21\lambda) + \varepsilon_{2,5}\lambda_2)X^{(6)}f_1''f_2 - (\varepsilon_{6,1}(1 + 6\lambda) + \varepsilon_{1,6}(1 + 6\lambda_2))X^{(6)}f_1'f_2' \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{5,2}\lambda + \varepsilon_{0,7}(7 + 21\lambda_2))X^{(6)}f_1f_2'' - (\varepsilon_{7,0}(1 + 7\lambda) + \varepsilon_{1,6}\lambda_2)X^{(7)}f_1'f_2 \\
 & - (\varepsilon_{6,1}(1 + 7\lambda) + \varepsilon_{0,7}\lambda_2)X^{(7)}f_1f_2' - (\varepsilon_{7,0}\lambda + \varepsilon_{0,7}\lambda_2)X^{(8)}f_1f_2
 \end{aligned}$$

This equation is equivalent, for all values of λ_1 and λ_2 the space $B^1(\text{Vec}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu})$ is 8-dimensional.

(ix) The case when $k \geq 8$

For $k = 8$, the number of variables generating any 1-cocycle is much smaller than the number of equations coming out from the 1-cocycle condition, for generic $\bar{\lambda}$. This cohomology class is indeed trivial because the expression $X.T_k^{\bar{\lambda}}$ is also a 1-cocycle.

References

- [1] I. Basdouri, S. Benabdelhafidh, A. Saghrouni, S. Silvestrov, *Cohomology and deformations of crossed homomorphisms on Lie conformal algebras*. October 2025 Advanced Studies Euro-Tbilisi Mathematical Journal 18(3).
- [2] I. Basdouri, M. Ben Nasr, S.Chouaibi, H. Mechi, *Construction of supermodular forms using differential operators from a given supermodular form*, Journal of Geometry and Physics (August 2019), 146(1):103488.
- [3] I. Basdouri, S. Hammami, O. Messaoud, *Second cohomology space of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ acting on the space of bilinear bidifferential operators*. Journal of Revista de la Union Matematica Argentina (2020).

- [4] I. Basdouri et al *First relative cohomology of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the affine real line relative to affine vector fields with coefficients in bilinear differential operators on weighted densities*. Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, April 2022. Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society.
- [5] K. Basdouri et al. *The Binary $\mathfrak{aff}(n|1)$ -Invariant Differential Operators On Weighted Densities On The Superspace $\mathbb{R}^{1|n}$ And $\mathfrak{aff}(n|1)$ -Relative Cohomology*. Journal of Geometry and Physics, Volume 123, (January 2018)Pages 235-245.
- [6] O. Basdouri et al *On the isomorphism of non-abelian extensions of n -Lie algebras*. Journal of Geometry and Physics Volume 173, March 2022, 104427.
- [7] I. Bartouli et al. *Cohomology of acting on the space of bilinear differential operators*. Journal of Geometry and Physics Volume 138, April 2019, Pages 317-330.
- [8] S. Bouarroudj, *Cohomology of the vector fields Lie algebras on $\mathbb{R}P^1$ acting on bilinear differential operators*, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, Vol. 2, No. 1 (2005) 23–40.
- [9] S. Bouarroudj, V. Ovsienko, *Three cocycles on $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ generalizing the Schwarzian derivative*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1998, No.1, 25–39.
- [10] S. Chouaibi, A. Zbidi, *Cohen brackets on supermodular forms*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 146(1) (2019), DOI: 10.1016/j.geomphys.2019.07.004.
- [11] D. B. Fuchs, *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, **Plenum Publ. New York**, 1986.
- [12] B. L. Feigin, D. B. Fuchs, *Homology of Lie algebras on vector fields on the line*, Func. Anal. App. 14 (1980), 201-212.
- [13] A. Nijenhuis, R. Richardson, *Cohomology and Deformations of Algebraic Structures*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1964) 406-411.
- [14] A. Nijenhuis, R. Richardson, *Cohomology and Deformations in Graded Lie Algebras*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966) 1-29.
- [15] P. Ya. Grozman, *Classification of bilinear invariant operators over tensor fields*, Functional Anal. App. 14:2 (1980), 127-128.