WEIGHT CHARACTERIZATION OF THE BOUNDEDNESS FOR THE RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE DISCRETE TRANSFORM

ALEXANDER MESKHI^{1,2}, GHULAM MURTAZA³

ABSTRACT. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions on a weight sequence $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ governing the boundedness for the Riemann-Liouville discrete transform I_{α} from $l^p(\mathbb{N})$ to $l^q_{v_j}(\mathbb{N})$ (trace inequality), where $0 < \alpha < 1$. The derived conditions are of D. Adams or Maz'ya–Verbitsky (pointwise) type.

Key words: Riemann–Liouville discrete transform with product kernels, discrete Hardy operator, discrete potentials, weighted inequality, trace inequality.

AMS SUBJECT: Primary 26D15, 46E30. Secondary 47B38.

1. Introduction

Our aim in this paper is to characterize a weight sequence $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ for which the operator

$$\{I_{\alpha}\beta_k\}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\beta_k}{(n-k+1)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

maps boundedly from $l^p(\mathbb{N})$ to the weighted space $l^q_{v_j}(\mathbb{N})$, where $1 and <math>0 < \alpha < 1$. If p < q, then we derive necessary and sufficient condition of D. Adams [1] type, while in the diagonal case p = q we establish Maz'ya–Verbitsky [4] type criteria guaranteeing the trace inequality for I_{α} .

¹Department of Mathematical Analysis, I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia,

²Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University, 68-B New Muslim Town, Lahore, Pakistan. Email: meskhi@rmi.ge,

 $^{^3{\}mbox{Department}}$ of Applied Sciences, National Textile University, Faisalabad, Pakistan. Email: gmnizami@gmail.com.

Let $1 . Suppose that <math>\{v_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive numbers (weight sequence). Let $l_{v_k}^p(\mathbb{N})$ be the class of all sequences $\{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ for which

$$\|\beta_k\|_{l^p_{v_k}(\mathbb{N})} := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k|^p v_k\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

If $v_k \equiv 1$, then we denote $l_{v_k}^p(\mathbb{N})$ by $l^p(\mathbb{N})$.

Further, for an a.e. positive function (weight) v on $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$, we denote by $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ the class of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}_+ for which

$$||f||_{L_v^p(\mathbb{R}_+)} := \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

If $v \equiv 1$, then we denote $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Continuous analog of the operator I_{α} is the Riemann–Liouvlle transform defined on \mathbb{R}_{+} given by the formula

$$R_{\alpha}f(x) = \int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

The $L^p \to L^q_v$ characterization of R_α was studied in the papers [5], [3] (we refer also [7] and the monograph [2], Ch.1). The statements derived by these authors reed as follows:

Theorem A ([5], [7]). Let $1 , <math>1/p < \alpha < 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) R_{α} is bounded from $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$ into $L^{q}_{v}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$;

(ii)

$$B \equiv \sup_{t>0} \left(\int_t^\infty \frac{v(x)}{x^{(1-\alpha)q}} dx \right)^{1/q} t^{1/p'} < \infty;$$

(iii)
$$B_1 \equiv \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} v(x) dx \right)^{1/q} 2^{k(\alpha - 1/p)q} < \infty.$$

For the case $0 < \alpha < 1/p$, there are known the following statements:

Theorem B ([3]). Let $1 and let <math>0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{p}$. Then the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |R_{\alpha}f(x)|^p v(x) dx \le c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)|^p dx, \ f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+),$$

holds if and only if $W_{\alpha}v \in L^{p'}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and

$$W_{\alpha}[W_{\alpha}v]^{p'}(x) \le cW_{\alpha}v(x)$$
 a.e.,

where

$$W_{\alpha}g(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{g(\tau)}{(\tau - t)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau.$$

Theorem C ([2], p. 131.) Let $1 , <math>0 < \alpha < 1/p$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) There exists a positive constant c such that for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$||R_{\alpha}f||_{L_{v}^{q}(\mathbb{R}_{+})} \leq c||f||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}_{+})};$$

(ii)
$$\sup_{0 \le h \le a} (\nu[a; a+h))^{1/q} h^{\alpha-1/p} < \infty;$$

In the paper [3] the authors applied Theorem B to prove the existence of a positive solution for certain non–linear Volterra integral equation.

In the discrete case the following statement holds (see [6], [7]):

Theorem D. Let $1 \le p \le q < \infty$ and let $1/p < \alpha < 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) The operator I_{α} is bounded from $l^p(\mathbb{N})$ to $l^q_{v_i}(\mathbb{N})$;

(ii)
$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\sum_{m=k}^{\infty}\frac{v_m}{m^{(1-\alpha)q}}\right)^{1/q}k^{1/p'}<\infty;$$

(iii)
$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\sum_{m=2^k}^{2^{k+1}} v_m\right)^{1/q} 2^{k(\alpha p-1)} < \infty.$$

Our purpose in this paper is to derive the results similar to Theorems B and C in the discrete case and to derive criteria on a weight sequence $\{v_j\}_j$ guaranteeing the boundedness of I_{α} from $l^p(\mathbb{N})$ to $l^q_{v_k}(\mathbb{N})$ in the case when $0 < \alpha < 1/p$. As we shall see in this case conditions on $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are different to the criteria in Theorem D.

Throughout the paper the symbol \mathbb{N} means the set of natural numbers; $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$, where $1 ; <math>\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$; the characteristic sequence $\chi_{\{i: a \leq i \leq b\}}$ (a and b are positive integers) is defined in the usual way:

$$\chi_{\{i:a \le i \le b\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & a \le i \le b; \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

The operator formal adjoint to I'_{α} is given by the formula:

$$\{I'_{\alpha}\beta_k\}_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_k}{(k-n+1)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Finally we point out that constants (often different constants in the same series of inequalities) will generally be denoted by c.

2. The main results

Now we formulate the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. [Adams type characterization] Let $1 and <math>0 < \alpha < 1/p$. Then I_{α} is bounded from $l^p(\mathbb{N})$ to $l^q_{v_k}(\mathbb{N})$ if and only if

$$B := \sup_{m,j \in \mathbb{N}; j \le m} \left(\sum_{k=m}^{m+j} v_k \right)^{1/q} j^{\alpha - 1/p} < \infty.$$

Theorem 2.2. [Maz'ya-Verbitsky type characterization] Let $1 and let <math>0 < \alpha < 1/p$. Then the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(I_{\alpha} g_j \right)_i^p v_i \le c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i^p \tag{1}$$

holds for all non-negative sequences $\{g_i\}_i$ if and only if $\{I'_{\alpha}v_i\}_i < \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is a positive constant c such that

$$\left\{ I_{\alpha}'[I_{\alpha}'v_j]^{p'} \right\}_i \le c \left\{ I_{\alpha}'v_j \right\}_i \tag{2}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Proof of the main results

Let (X, \mathcal{U}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{B}, ν) be measure spaces with ν being σ - finite. Suppose that k(x, y) is a non–negative real–valued $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{B}$ – measurable function and that

$$Kf(y) = \int_{X} k(x,y)f(x)d\mu(x)$$

is the kernel operator.

Denote:

$$e_{\lambda}(x) := \{ y \in Y : k(x, y) > \lambda \}, \ e_{\lambda}(y) := \{ x \in X : k(x, y) > \lambda \},$$

where λ is a positive number:

$$M_r(\mu)(y) := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^r \mu(e_\lambda(y)); \quad M_s(\nu)(x) := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^s \nu(e_\lambda(x)),$$

where r and s are real numbers.

To prove Theorem 2.1 we use the following statement which is a corollary of part (ii) of Theorem A in [1].

Theorem E. Suppose that $1 , <math>\frac{s}{q} = \frac{r}{p} + 1 - r$, where r > 0. If $M_r(\mu)(y) \le A < \infty$ for all $y \in Y$; $M_s(\nu)(x) \le B < \infty$ for all $x \in X$, then the operator K is bounded from $L^p(X,\mu)$ to $L^q(Y,\nu)$, where $L^p(X,\mu)$ $L^q(Y,\nu)$ are Lebesgue spaces defined with respect to the measures μ and ν respectively.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Sufficiency. Suppose that $X = Y = \mathbb{N}$, μ is the counting measure on \mathbb{N} and that $d\nu(n) = v_n d\mu(n)$, where $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the weight sequence. In our case the kernel operator is given by

$$\{I_{\alpha}\{g_m\}\}_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k(m, n)g_m, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$k(m,n) = \chi_{\{m \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \le m \le n\}} (n-m+1)^{\alpha-1}.$$
Let $r = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ and let $\frac{s}{q} = \frac{r}{p} + 1 - r$. That is $s = \frac{q(\alpha-1/p)}{\alpha-1} > 0$. We have
$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_r(\mu)(n) = \sup_{\lambda \le 1, n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^r \mu\{m \in \mathbb{N}: m \le n; (n-m+1)^{\alpha-1} > \lambda\}$$

$$= \sup_{\lambda \ge 1, n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^{r(\alpha-1)} \mu\{m \in \mathbb{N}: m \le n; n-m+1 < \lambda\}$$

$$\le \sup_{k, n \in \mathbb{N}} k^{-1} \sum_{m = \max\{n-k, 1\}}^{n} 1 \le c.$$

Further,

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} M_s(\nu)(m) = \sup_{\lambda \le 1, m \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^s \nu \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : m \le n; (n - m + 1)^{\alpha - 1} > \lambda \}$$

$$= \sup_{\lambda \ge 1, m \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^{s(\alpha - 1)} \nu \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : m \le n; n - m + 1 < \lambda \}$$

$$\le \sup_{k, m \in \mathbb{N}} k^{s(\alpha - 1)} \sum_{n = m}^{m + k} v_n \le c \sup_{n \le j; n, j \in \mathbb{N}} S_{n,j},$$

where

$$S_{n,j} := (j - n + 1)^{q(\alpha - 1/p)} \sum_{m=n}^{j} v_m.$$

Further, let m, n be positive integers satisfying the condition $1 \le m \le n$. Then there exists a non-negative integer k_0 such that $2^{k_0} \le n - m + 1 \le 2^{k_0 + 1}$. Therefore by using the fact that $0 < \alpha < 1/p$, we obtain that

$$S_{m,n} = \left(\sum_{k=m}^{n} v_k\right) (n-m+1)^{(\alpha-1/p)q}$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=1}^{k_0+2} \left(\sum_{k=m+2^{l-1}}^{m+2^l} v_k\right) (2^{k_0+1})^{(\alpha-1/p)q}$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=1}^{k_0+2} \left(\sum_{k=m+2^{l-1}}^{m+2^l} v_k\right) 2^{l(\alpha-1/p)q} 2^{l(1/p-\alpha)q} (2^{k_0+1})^{(\alpha-1/p)q} \leq cB^q.$$

Weight Characterization of the Boundedness for the Riemann-Liouville Discrete Transform 39

Necessity: Let

$$\beta^{(m,j)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m - j < k \le m; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where m, j are positive integers such that $1 \le j \le m$. Then we have

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(\beta^{(m,j)})_k}{(n-k+1)^{1-\alpha}}\right)^q\right)^{1/q} \geq \left(\sum_{n=m}^{m+j} v_n \left(\sum_{k=m-j}^{m} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{1-\alpha}}\right)^q\right)^{1/q} \\
\geq c \left(\sum_{n=m}^{m+j} v_n\right)^{1/q} j^{\alpha}.$$

Therefore, by the boundedness of I_{α} we conclude that

$$\left(\sum_{n=m}^{m+j} v_n\right)^{1/q} j^{\alpha-1/p} \le c, \quad 1 \le j \le m.$$

To prove Theorem 2.2 we need to prove some auxiliary statements.

Proposition 3.1. Let $1 , and let <math>0 < \alpha < 1/p$. If I_{α} is bounded from $l^p(\mathbb{N})$ to $l^p_{v_i}(\mathbb{N})$ then there exist a positive constant c such that

$$\sum_{i=m}^{m+h} v_i \le c h^{1-\alpha p} \tag{3}$$

holds for all positive integers m and h.

Proof. First we suppose that $h \leq m$. For the sequence $g^{(m,h)} = \chi_{\{k: m-h < k \leq m\}}$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{\left(g^{(m,h)}\right)_j}{(i-j+1)^{1-\alpha}}\right)^p\right)^{1/p} \geq \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m+h} v_i \left(\sum_{j=m-h+1}^{m} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}\right)^p\right)^{1/p} \\
\geq c \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m+h} v_i\right)^{1/p} h^{\alpha}.$$

Therefore, by the boundedness of I_{α} we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=m}^{m+h} v_i\right)^{1/p} \le ch^{1/p-\alpha}.$$

Hence (3) holds for all positive integers m and h satisfying $h \leq m$. Now let m < h. Then there exist a positive integer k such that $2^k \leq h \leq 2^{k+1}$.

Therefore taking into account the condition $0 < \alpha < 1/p$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=m}^{m+h} v_i & \leq & \sum_{i=1}^k \Big(\sum_{j=m+2^{i-1}}^{m+2^i} v_j\Big) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^k \Big[\Big(\sum_{j=m+2^{i-1}}^{m+2^i} v_j\Big) 2^{i(1-\alpha p)} 2^{i(\alpha p-1)}\Big] \\ & \leq & c \sum_{i=1}^k 2^{i(1-\alpha p)} \leq c \, 2^{k(1-\alpha p)} \leq c \, h^{1-\alpha p}. \end{split}$$

Proof of necessity of Theorem 2.2. Let us first show that, from (1) it follows that $\{I'_{\alpha}v_j\}_k < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By the duality arguments (1) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(I_{\alpha}' g_j \right)_i^{p'} \le c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i^{p'} v_i^{1-p'}. \tag{4}$$

Let $v_i^{(1)} = v_i \chi_{\{i: m \le i < m+2h\}}$ and $v_i^{(2)} = v_i \chi_{\{i: 1 \le i < m \text{ or } i \ge m+2h\}}$, where m and h are positive integers.

Note that for $k \ge m + 2h - 1$ and $m \le i \le m + h$, we have $k - m + 1 \le 2(k - i + 1)$. Further, by using (3), we come to the estimates:

$$\{I'_{\alpha}v_{j}^{(2)}\}_{i} \leq \sum_{k=m+2h-1}^{\infty} v_{k}(k-i+1)^{\alpha-1}
\leq c \sum_{k=m+h}^{\infty} v_{k}(k-m+1)^{\alpha-1}
\leq c \sum_{k=m+h}^{\infty} v_{k}\left(\sum_{j=k-m+1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha-2}\right)
= c \sum_{j=h+1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha-2}\left(\sum_{k=m}^{j+m-1} v_{k}\right)
\leq c \sum_{j=h+1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha-2}.j^{1-\alpha p} < \infty.$$

Therefore $(I'_{\alpha}v_j^{(2)})_i < \infty$. The fact that $(I'_{\alpha}v_j^{(1)})_i < \infty$ is obvious. Thus, $(I'_{\alpha}v_j)_i < \infty$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ because m and h are taken arbitrarily. Now we prove that (1) yields (2). For this we need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there are positive constants $c_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ and $c_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ depending only on α such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the inequality

$$(I'_{\alpha}\beta_s)_m \le c_{\alpha}^{(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha-2} \Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+j-1} \beta_k\Big) \le c_{\alpha}^{(2)} (I'_{\alpha}\beta_s)_m$$

holds, where $\beta_m \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof follows easily if we observe that there are positive constants $b_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ and $b_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ independent of k and m such that

$$\sum_{j=k-m+1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha-2} \le b_{\alpha}^{(1)} (k-m+1)^{\alpha-1} \le b_{\alpha}^{(2)} \sum_{j=k-m+1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha-2}.$$

It remains to change the order of summation.

Corollary 3.3. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta_m \ge 0$. Then there are positive constants $c_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ and $c_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ depending only on α such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the inequality

$$\left\{ I_{\alpha}' [I_{\alpha}' \beta_{s}]^{p'} \right\}_{m} \le c_{\alpha}^{(1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha-2} \left(\sum_{k=m}^{m+j-1} \{ I_{\alpha}' \beta_{s} \}_{k}^{p'} \right) \le c_{\alpha}^{(2)} \left\{ I_{\alpha}' [I_{\alpha}' \beta_{s}]^{p'} \right\}_{m}$$

holds.

Let $\{v_i^{(1)}\}$ and $\{v_i^{(2)}\}$ be defined as above. Then by using (4) we have that

$$\sum_{i=m}^{m+h} \left(I'_{\alpha} v_j^{(1)} \right)_i^{p'} \le c \sum_{i=m}^{m+h} v_i.$$

Thus, by Corollary 3.3 we conclude that

$$\left\{ I_{\alpha}' [I_{\alpha}' v_k^{(1)}]^{p'} \right\}_i \le c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha-2} \left(\sum_{k=i}^{i+2(j-1)} v_k \right) \le c \left\{ I_{\alpha}' v_s \right\}_i.$$

For the estimate of $\left\{I'_{\alpha}[I'_{\alpha}v_k^{(2)}]^{p'}\right\}_i$, we need some auxiliary statements.

Lemma 3.4. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there is a positive constant c such that for all natural numbers m, k and j with $m \le k \le m + j - 1$, the inequality

$$\left\{ I_{\alpha}' v_s^{(2)} \right\}_k \le c \sum_{s=j}^{\infty} s^{\alpha - 2} \left(\sum_{t=m}^{m+s-1} v_t \right)$$

holds, where $v_s^{(2)} = v_s \chi_{\{s: 1 \le s < m \text{ or } s \ge m+2j\}}$.

Proof. Using the arguments of the proof of Lemma 3.2 and the fact that

$$\left(I_{\alpha}'v_{s}^{(2)}\right)_{k} = \sum_{s=m+2j}^{\infty} v_{s}(s-k+1)^{\alpha-1}$$

we have

$$\left(I_{\alpha}'v_{s}^{(2)}\right)_{k} \leq c \sum_{s=m+2j}^{\infty} v_{s}(s-m+1)^{\alpha-1}$$

$$\leq c \sum_{s=m+2j}^{\infty} v_{s} \sum_{t=s-m+1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-2}$$

$$\leq c \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-2} \left(\sum_{s=m+1}^{m+t-1} v_{s}\right).$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there is a positive constant c such that

$$\left\{ I_{\alpha}' [I_{\alpha}' v_i^{(2)}]^{p'} \right\}_m \le c \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha - 1} \left(\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} s^{\alpha - 2} \left(\sum_{j=m}^{m+s-1} v_j \right) \right)^{p'}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.4 in Corollary 3.3 we have that

$$\begin{split} \left\{ I_{\alpha}'[I_{\alpha}'v_{i}^{(2)}]^{p'} \right\}_{m} & \leq c \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-2} \Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+t-1} \{I_{\alpha}'v_{k}\}^{p'} \Big) \\ & \leq c \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-2} \sum_{k=m}^{m+t-1} \Big(\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-2} \sum_{\epsilon=m}^{m+s-1} v_{\epsilon} \Big)^{p'} \\ & \text{(the inner sum does not depend on k)} \\ & = c \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-2} \Big(\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-2} \sum_{\epsilon=m}^{m+s-1} v_{\epsilon} \Big)^{p'} \Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+t-1} 1 \Big) \\ & = c \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-2} \Big(\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-2} \sum_{\epsilon=m}^{m+s-1} v_{\epsilon} \Big)^{p'}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there is a positive constant c such that

$$\left\{ I_{\alpha}' [I_{\alpha}' v_i^{(2)}]^{p'} \right\}_m \le c \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} s^{\alpha - 2} \sum_{\epsilon = m}^{m+s+1} v_{\epsilon} \right)^{p'-1} \left(t^{\alpha - 2} \sum_{j=m}^{m+t+1} v_j \right).$$

Proof. We will deduce the discrete case to the continuous case. Let $v(x) = v_j$, $j \le x < j + 1$. Then $\int_j^{j+1} v(x) dx = v_j$. Hence, by using lemmas proved above, the Lebesgue differentiation theorem and integration by parts, we

Weight Characterization of the Boundedness for the Riemann-Liouville Discrete Transform 43

find that

$$\begin{split} \left\{I_{\alpha}'[I_{\alpha}'v_{i}^{(2)}]^{p'}\right\}_{m} &\leq c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{\alpha-1}\Big(\sum_{j=n}^{\infty}j^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+2j}v_{k}\Big)\Big)^{p'}\\ &\leq c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{n}^{n+1}x^{\alpha-1}\Big(\sum_{i=2n}^{\infty}\int_{i}^{i+1}y^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+y}v_{k}\Big)dy\Big)^{p'}dx\\ &\leq c\int_{1}^{\infty}x^{\alpha-1}\Big(\int_{x}^{\infty}y^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+y}v_{k}\Big)dy\Big)^{p'}dx\\ &= c\Big[\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\alpha}\Big(\int_{x}^{\infty}\cdots\Big)^{p'}\Big|_{1}^{\infty}+\int_{1}^{\infty}x^{\alpha}\Big(\int_{x}^{\infty}\cdots\Big)^{p'-1}x^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+x}v_{k}\Big)dx\Big]\\ &= c\Big[-\frac{1}{\alpha}\Big(\int_{1}^{\infty}\cdots\Big)^{p'}+\int_{1}^{\infty}x^{\alpha}\Big(\int_{x}^{\infty}\cdots\Big)^{p'-1}x^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+x}v_{k}\Big)dx\Big]\\ &\leq c\int_{1}^{\infty}x^{\alpha}\Big(\int_{x}^{\infty}\cdots\Big)^{p'-1}x^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+x}v_{k}\Big)dx\\ &= c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{n}^{n+1}x^{\alpha}\Big(\int_{x}^{\infty}\cdots\Big)^{p'-1}x^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+n+1}v_{k}\Big)dx\\ &\leq c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{\alpha}\Big(\int_{n}^{\infty}\cdots\Big)^{p'-1}n^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+n+1}v_{k}\Big)\\ &\leq c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{\alpha}\Big(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\int_{k}^{k+1}k^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{i=m}^{m+k+1}v_{i}\Big)dy\Big)^{p'-1}n^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+n+1}v_{k}\Big)\\ &= c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{\alpha}\Big(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\int_{k}^{k+1}k^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{i=m}^{m+k+1}v_{i}\Big)dy\Big)^{p'-1}n^{\alpha-2}\Big(\sum_{k=m}^{m+n+1}v_{k}\Big). \end{split}$$

Now necessity of Theorem 2.2 follows easily because we know that the trace inequality implies (see Proposition 3.1)

$$\sum_{k=m}^{m+j} v_k \le c \, j^{1-\alpha p},$$

where the positive constant c is independent of positive integers m and j. Indeed, by using this inequality in Lemma 3.6 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ I_{\alpha}' [I_{\alpha}' v_{j}^{(2)}]^{p'} \right\}_{m} & \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{\alpha - 2} (k+2)^{1-\alpha p} \right)^{p'-1} \left(n^{\alpha - 2} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n+1} v_{k} \right) \\
& \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha - 2} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n+1} v_{k} \\
& \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (3n)^{\alpha - 2} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n+1} v_{k} \\
& \leq c \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} [3(j-2)]^{\alpha - 2} \sum_{k=m}^{m+j-1} v_{k} \\
& \leq c \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} j^{\alpha - 2} \sum_{k=m}^{m+j-1} v_{k} \\
& \leq c \left\{ I_{\alpha}' v_{j} \right\}_{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used Lemma 3.2, in particular, the estimate from below.

Necessity of Theorem 2.2 is proved.

Now we prove *sufficiency* of Theorem 2.2. We will need some auxiliary statements.

Lemma 3.7. Let $1 and <math>0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all non-negative sequences $\{g_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and all $i\in\mathbb{N}$, the following inequality holds

$$\{I_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^p \le c\{I_{\alpha}[I_{\alpha}g_k]_j^{p-1}g_m\}_i,\tag{5}$$

Proof. First we assume that $\{V_{\alpha}g_j\}_i := \{I_{\alpha}[I_{\alpha}g_k]^{p-1}g_j\}_i$ and

$$\{V_{\alpha}g_i\}_i \le \{I_{\alpha}g_i\}_i^p;$$

otherwise (5) is obvious for c = 1. Now let us assume that 1 . Then we have

$$\{I_{\alpha}g_{k}\}_{i}^{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{j}\right)^{p-1} \\
\leq \sum_{k=1}^{i} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{j}\right)^{p-1} \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{i} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{k} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{j}\right)^{p-1} \\
\equiv I_{i}^{(1)} + I_{i}^{(2)}.$$

It is obvious that if $j \le k \le i$, then $k - j + 1 \le i - j + 1$. Consequently,

$$I_i^{(1)} \le \sum_{k=1}^i (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1} g_k \Big(\sum_{j=1}^k (k-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j \Big)^{p-1} = \{V_{\alpha} g_k\}_i.$$

Now we use Hölder's inequality with respect to the exponents $\frac{1}{p-1}$, $\frac{1}{2-p}$ and measure $d\mu(k) = (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_k d\mu_c(k)$ (here μ_c is the counting measure). We have

$$I_i^{(2)} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^i (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1} g_k\right)^{2-p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i \left(\sum_{j=k}^i (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j\right) (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1} g_k\right)^{p-1}$$

$$= \{I_{\alpha} g_k\}_i^{2-p} (J_i)^{p-1},$$

where

$$J_i \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{i} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j \right) (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1} g_k.$$

Using Fubini's theorem we find that

$$J_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} (i - j + 1)^{\alpha - 1} g_j \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} (i - k + 1)^{\alpha - 1} g_k \right).$$

Further, it is obvious that the following estimates

$$\sum_{k=1}^{J} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1} g_k \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{J} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1} g_k\right)^{p-1} \left\{ I_{\alpha} g_k \right\}_i^{2-p} \\ \leq \left\{ I_{\alpha} g_k \right\}_j^{p-1} \left\{ I_{\alpha} g_k \right\}_i^{2-p}$$

hold, where $j \leq i$. Taking into account the last estimate, we obtain

$$J_{i} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_{j} \{I_{\alpha} g_{k}\}_{j}^{p-1}\right) \{I_{\alpha} g_{k}\}_{i}^{2-p}$$

$$= \{V_{\alpha} g_{k}\}_{i} \{I_{\alpha} g_{k}\}_{i}^{2-p}.$$

Thus,

$$I_i^{(2)} \leq \{I_{\alpha}g_ki\}_i^{2-p}\{I_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{(2-p)(p-1)}\{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{p-1}$$

=
$$\{I_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{p(2-p)}\{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{p-1}.$$

Combining the estimate for $I^{(1)}$ and $I^{(2)}$ we derive

$$\{I_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^p \le \{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i + \{I_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{p(2-p)}\{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{p-1}.$$

As we have assumed that $\{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i \leq \{I_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^p$, we obtain

$$\{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i = \{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{2-p} \{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{p-1} \le \{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{p-1} \{I_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^{p(2-p)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{I_{\alpha}g_{k}\}_{i}^{p} &\leq \{V_{\alpha}g_{k}\}_{i}^{p-1}\{I_{\alpha}g_{k}\}_{i}^{p(2-p)} + \{V_{\alpha}g_{k}\}_{i}^{p-1}\{I_{\alpha}g_{k}\}_{i}^{p(2-p)} \\ &= 2\{V_{\alpha}g_{k}\}_{i}^{p-1}\{I_{\alpha}g_{k}\}_{i}^{p(2-p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the fact $(I_{\alpha}g_j)_i < \infty$ we find that

$$\{I_{\alpha}g_k\}_i^p \le 2^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i.$$

Now we deal with the case p > 2. Let us assume again that

$$\{V_{\alpha}g_j\}_i \le \{I_{\alpha}g_j\}_i^p.$$

Since p > 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{I_{\alpha}g_{k}\}_{i}^{p} &= \sum_{k=1}^{i} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{k} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{j}\Big)^{p-1} \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^{i} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{k} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{k} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{j}\Big)^{p-1} \\ &+ 2^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^{i} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{k} \Big(\sum_{j=k}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{j}\Big)^{p-1} \\ &=: 2^{p-1} I_{\cdot}^{(1)} + 2^{p-1} I_{\cdot}^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that if $j \leq k \leq i$, then $(i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} \leq (k-j+1)^{\alpha-1}$. Therefore like the case $1 we have that <math>I_i^{(1)} \leq \{V_{\alpha}g_k\}_i$.

Now we estimate $I_i^{(2)}$. We obtain

$$\left(\sum_{j=k}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j\right)^{p-1} = \left(\sum_{j=k}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j\right)^{p-2} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j\right) \\
\leq \left\{I_{\alpha} g_j\right\}_{i}^{p-2} \sum_{j=k}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j.$$

Using Fubini's theorem and the last estimate we have

$$I_{i}^{(2)} \leq \left\{ I_{\alpha}g_{j} \right\}_{i}^{p-2} \sum_{k=1}^{i} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{k} \sum_{j=k}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{j}$$

$$= \left\{ I_{\alpha}g_{j} \right\}_{i}^{p-2} \sum_{j=1}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (i-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{k}$$

$$\leq \left\{ I_{\alpha}g_{j} \right\}_{i}^{p-2} \sum_{j=1}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (j-k+1)^{\alpha-1}g_{k}.$$

Due to Hölder's inequality with respect to the exponents $\{p-1, \frac{p-1}{p-2}\}$ and the measure $d\mu(j) = (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}g_jd\mu_c(j)$ (μ_c is the counting measure) we derive

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j \sum_{k=1}^{j} (j-k+1)^{\alpha-1} g_k \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} (j-k+1)^{\alpha-1} g_k\right)^{p-1} (i-j+1)^{\alpha-1} g_j\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = \{I_{\alpha} g_j\}_i^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \{V_{\alpha} g_j\}_i^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Combining these estimates we obtain

$$\{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{p} \leq 2^{p-1}\{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i} + 2^{p-1}\{I_{\alpha}g_{j}i\}_{i}^{\frac{p(p-2)}{p-1}}\{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

By virtue of the inequality $\{V_{\alpha}g_i\}_i \leq \{I_{\alpha}g_j\}_i^p$ it follows that

$$\{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i} = \{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \leq \{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{p(p-2)}{p-1}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{p} &\leq 2^{p-1} \bigg(\{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{p(p-2)}{p-1}} + \{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{p(p-2)}{p-1}} \bigg) \\ &= 2^{p} \{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{\frac{p(p-2)}{p-1}}. \end{split}$$

Further, from the last estimate we conclude that

$$\{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{p} \leq 2^{p(p-1)}\{V_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i},$$

where 2 .

Lemma 3.8. Let $1 , <math>0 < \alpha < 1$ and v_i be a sequence of positive numbers on \mathbb{N} . Let there exist a constant c > 0 such that the inequality

$$||I_{\alpha}\{g_i\}||_{l_{v(1)}^p(\mathbb{N})} \le c_1 ||g_i||_{l^p(\mathbb{N})}, \quad \{v_s^{(1)}\}_i = \{I'_{\alpha}v_s\}_i^{p'}$$

holds for all sequences $g_i \in l^p(\mathbb{N})$. Then

$$||I_{\alpha}\{g_i\}||_{l^p_{v_i}(\mathbb{N})} \le c_2 ||g_i||_{l^p(\mathbb{N})}, \quad g_i \in l^p(\mathbb{N}),$$

where $c_2 = c_1^{1/p'} c^{1/p}$.

Proof. Let $g_i \geq 0$. Using Lemma 3.7, Fubini's theorem and Hölder's inequality we derive

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{I_{\alpha}g_{s}\}_{k}^{p}v_{k} \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{p-1}g_{i}(k-i+1)^{\alpha-1}v_{k}
= c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{p-1}g_{i}\{I'_{\alpha}v_{j}\}_{i}
\leq c \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{i}^{p}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{I_{\alpha}g_{j}\}_{i}^{p}v_{i}^{(1)}\right)^{1/p'}
= c \|g_{i}\|_{l^{p}(\mathbb{N})} \|I_{\alpha}g_{i}\|_{l^{p}(\mathbb{N})}^{p-1}
\leq c_{1}^{p-1}c \|g_{i}\|_{l^{p}(\mathbb{N})} \|g_{i}\|_{l^{p}(\mathbb{N})}^{p-1}
= c_{1}^{p-1}c \|g_{i}\|_{l^{p}(\mathbb{N})}$$

Hence,

$$||I_{\alpha}g_{j}||_{l_{v_{j}}^{p}(\mathbb{N})} \leq c_{1}^{1/p'}c^{1/p}||g_{j}||_{l^{p}(\mathbb{N})}.$$

Lemma 3.9. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 . Suppose that <math>\{I'_{\alpha}v_s\}_i < \infty$ and

$$\left\{ I_{\alpha}'[I_{\alpha}'v_s]^{p'} \right\}_i \le c \left\{ I_{\alpha}'v_i \right\}_i$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$||I_{\alpha}\{g_i\}||_{l^p_{v_i^{(1)}}(\mathbb{N})} \le c ||g_i||_{l^p(\mathbb{N})}, \quad g_i \in l^p(\mathbb{N}),$$
 (6)

where $\{v_s^{(1)}\}_i = \{I'_{\alpha}v_s\}_i^{p'}$.

Proof. Let $g_i \geq 0$ and let g_i be supported on the set $E_m := \{i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, where m is a natural number. Let $t_{i,j}^{(n)} = \chi_{\{j:1 \leq j \leq i\}} \min\{(i-j+1)^{\alpha-1}, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then using Lemma 3.7 (which is true also for the kernel $t_{i,j}^{(n)}$), Fubini's theorem and Hölder's inequality we obtain the following chain

of inequalities:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{i} t_{i,j}^{(n)} g_j \Big)^p v_i^{(1)} & \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{i} t_{i,j}^{(n)} \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{j} t_{j,k}^{(n)} g_k \Big)^{p-1} g_j \Big) v_i^{(1)} \\ & \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_j \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{j} t_{j,k}^{(n)} g_k \Big)^{p-1} \Big(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} t_{i,j}^{(n)} v_i^{(1)} \Big) \\ & \leq c \|g_i\|_{l^p(\mathbb{N})} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{j} t_{j,k}^{(n)} g_k \Big)^p \Big\{ I_{\alpha}' [I_{\alpha}' v_s]^{p'} \Big\}_j^{p'} \Big)^{1/p'} \\ & \leq c \|g_i\|_{l^p(\mathbb{N})} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{j} t_{j,k}^{(n)} g_k \Big)^p \Big\{ I_{\alpha}' v_s \Big\}_j^{p'} \Big)^{1/p'}. \end{split}$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{j} t_{j,k}^{(n)} g_k < \infty$ and $\{I'_{\alpha} v_s\}_j < \infty$ for all j, therefore we have that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} t_{i,j}^{(n)} g_j\right)^p v_i^{(1)}\right)^{1/p} \le c \|g_i\|_{l^p(\mathbb{N})}.$$

Passing now by m and n to $+\infty$ we derive (6).

Combining these lemmas we have also sufficiency of Theorem 2.2. Theorem 2.2 is completely proved.

Acknowledgement. The first author was partially supported by the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant (Project No. GNSF/ST09_23_3-100).

The part of this work is carried out at Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University, Lahore. The authors are thankful to the Higher Education Commission, Pakistan for the financial support.

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for carefully reading the manuscript and useful remarks.

References

- D. Adams. A trace inequality for generalized potentials. Studia Math. 48(1973), 99-105.
- [2] D. E. Edmunds, V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, *Bounded and compact integral operators*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2002.
- [3] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, On a trace inequality for one-sided potentials and applications to the solvability of nonlinear integral equations. Georgian Math. J. 8(2001), No. 3, 521–536.
- [4] V. G. Maz'ya and I. E. Verbitsky, Capacitary inequalities for fractional integrals, with applications to partial differential equations and Sobolev multipliers. Ark. Mat. 33(1995), 81–115.

- [5] A. Meskhi, Solution of some weight problems for the Riemann–Liouville and Weyl operators, *Georgian Math. J.* **5**(1998), No. 6, 565–574.
- [6] A. Meskhi, On the boundedness and compactness criteria for the Riemann–Liouville type discrete operator. *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* **126**(2001), 117–119.
- [7] D. V. Prokhorov, On the boundedness of a class of integral operators. *J. London Math. Soc.* **61**(2000), No.2, 617–628.