



# Solving Split Equality Fixed Point of Nonexpansive Semigroup and split equality minimization Problems in real Hilbert Space

Hammed Anuoluwapo Abass<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, Ojen Kumar Narain<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.

<sup>b</sup>DSI-NRF Center of Excellence in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences (CoE-MaSS).

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgato Health Science University, P.O. Box 94, Pretoria 0204, South Africa.

---

## Abstract

In this article, we study the split equality problem involving nonexpansive semigroup and convex minimization problem. Using a Halpern iterative algorithm, we establish a strong convergence result for approximating a common solution of the aforementioned problems. The iterative algorithm introduced in this paper is designed in such a way that it does not require the knowledge of the operator norm. We display a numerical example to show the relevance of our result. Our result complements and extends some related results in literature.

**Keywords:** Split equality minimization problem; semigroup nonexpansive; iterative scheme; Fixed point problem.

**2010 MSC:** 47H06, 47H09, 47J05, 47J25.

---

## 1. Introduction

The Minimization Problem (MP) is one of the most important problems in optimization theory and non-linear analysis. The MP is defined as follows: find  $x \in H$  such that

$$\psi(x) := \min_{y \in H} \psi(y), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\psi : H \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is a proper and convex function. We denote by  $\operatorname{argmin}_{y \in H} \psi(y)$  the set of all minimizers of  $\psi$  on  $H$ .

---

\*H. A. Abass

Email addresses: [AbassH@ukzn.ac.za](mailto:AbassH@ukzn.ac.za), [hammedabass548@gmail.com](mailto:hammedabass548@gmail.com) (Hammed Anuoluwapo Abass), [naraino@ukzn.ac.za](mailto:naraino@ukzn.ac.za) (Ojen Kumar Narain)

Numerous problems in signal processing and imaging, statistical learning and data mining or computer vision can be formulated as optimization problem that consists of a sum of convex functions which may not be necessarily differentiable, possibly composed with linear operators and that in turn can be transformed to Split Minimization Problem (SMP), see for example [1, 6].

Let  $H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$  be real Hilbert spaces and  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_3, B : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$  be bounded linear operators. Let  $C$  and  $Q$  be nonempty, closed and convex subsets of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively, the Split Equality Problem (SEP) introduced by Moudafi [13] is to find

$$x^* \in C, y^* \in Q \text{ such that } Ax^* = By^*. \quad (1.2)$$

A point  $x \in C$  is called a fixed point of a single-valued mapping  $T$  if  $x = Tx$ . We denote by  $F(T)$ , the set of all fixed points of  $T$ .

In [15], Moudafi introduced the following Split Equality Fixed Point Problem (SEFPP): Let  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $S : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  be nonlinear operators such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $C = F(T)$  and  $Q = F(S)$  in (1.2), then the SEFPP is to find:

$$x^* \in F(T) \text{ and } y^* \in F(S) \text{ such that } Ax^* = By^*. \quad (1.3)$$

Since the inception of SEFPP (1.3), many authors working in this direction have used SEFPP (1.3) to solve different optimization problems (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 14, 16, 19, 18, 22] and the references therein).

Let  $C$  and  $Q$  be nonempty closed and convex subset of real Hilbert spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively,  $\psi : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  and  $\varphi : H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be two proper and lower semi-continuous convex functions and  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  a bounded linear operator. The SMP is to find

$$\begin{aligned} x^* \in C \text{ such that } x^* &= \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in C} \psi(x); \text{ and} \\ y^* &= Ax^* \in Q \text{ solves } y^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in Q} \varphi(z). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

It is well-known that

$$x \in \operatorname{argmin} \psi \iff J_\mu^\psi(x) := \operatorname{argmin}_u \left\{ \psi(u) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|u - x\|^2 \right\}.$$

The fixed point set of proximity mapping is precisely the set of minimizers of  $\psi$ . Based on (1.4), the Split Equality Minimization Problem (SEMP) is to find

$$x^* \in \operatorname{argmin} \psi, y^* \in \operatorname{argmin} \varphi \text{ such that } Ax^* = By^*, \quad (1.5)$$

hence  $(x^*, y^*)$  solves

$$\min_{x,y} \left\{ \psi(x) + \varphi(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - By\|^2 \right\},$$

an optimization problem with weak coupling in the objective function as well as

$$\min_{x,y} \{ \psi(x) + \varphi(y), Ax = By \}.$$

The metric projection  $P_C$  is a map defined on  $H$  onto  $C$  which assigns to each  $x \in H$ , the unique point in  $C$ , denoted by  $P_C x$  such that

$$\|x - P_C x\| = \inf \{ \|x - y\| : y \in C \}.$$

It is well known that  $P_C x$  is characterized by the inequality  $\langle x - P_C x, z - P_C x \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in C$  and  $P_C$  is a firmly nonexpansive mapping.

Motivated by the works of authors mentioned above, we introduce an iterative algorithm that does not require the knowledge of operator norm to approximate a common solution of split equality minimization problem and split equality fixed point problem of nonexpansive semigroup in real Hilbert space. We also prove a strong convergence result for approximating a common solution of the aforementioned problems.

## 2. Preliminaries

We state some known and useful results which will be needed in the proof of our main theorem. In the sequel, we denote strong and weak convergence by " $\rightarrow$ " and " $\rightharpoonup$ ", respectively.

One-parameter family mapping  $S = \{T(s) : 0 \leq s < \infty\}$  from  $H$  into itself is said to be a nonexpansive semigroup (see [8]), if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $T(0)x = x$ , for all  $x \in H$ ;
- (ii)  $T(s + t) = T(s)T(t)$ , for all  $s, t \geq 0$ ;
- (iii) For each  $x \in H$ , the mapping  $T(\cdot)x$  is continuous.
- (iv)  $\|T(s)x - T(s)y\| \leq \|x - y\|$ , for all  $x, y \in H$  and  $s \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [9] *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space, then*

$$2\langle x, y \rangle = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 = \|x + y\|^2 - \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [20] *Let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$  and  $S : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping. Then  $I - T$  is demiclosed at 0 (i.e., if  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $x \in C$  and  $\{x_n - Tx_n\}$  converges strongly to 0, then  $x = Tx$ ).*

**Lemma 2.3.** [9] *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, then  $\forall x, y \in H$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have*

- (i)  $\|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y\|^2 = \alpha\|x\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|y\|^2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha)\|x - y\|^2$ .
- (ii)  $\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [8] *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space and  $\{T(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$  be a nonexpansive semigroup on  $H$ . Then, for every  $h \geq 0$*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x \in C \left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(s)x ds - T(h) \left( \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(s)x ds \right) \right\| = 0.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** [12] *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space and  $f : H \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function. Then, for all  $x, y \in H$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , we have*

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} \|J_\lambda x - y\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|x - y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|x - J_\lambda x\|^2 + f(J_\lambda x) \leq f(y).$$

**Lemma 2.6.** [16] *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space and  $f : H \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function. Then, for all  $0 < \lambda \leq \mu$  and  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\|J_\lambda x - x\| \leq \|J_\mu x - x\|.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** [21] *Assume  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative real sequence such that*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \sigma_n)a_n + \sigma_n\delta_n, \quad n > 0,$$

where  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a real sequence such that

- (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n = \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n\delta_n| < \infty$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

### 3. Main Result

In this section, we state and prove our main result. We denote by  $J_\mu^f$ , the resolvent of MP.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$  be real Hilbert spaces,  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_3$  and  $B : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$  be bounded linear operators. Let  $\psi : H_1 \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty], \varphi : H_2 \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be two proper, convex and lower semi-continuous functions and  $\{T(s) : 0 \leq s < \infty\}, \{R(m) : 0 \leq m < \infty\}$  be two-parameters nonexpansive semigroups on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively. Suppose  $\Gamma := \{p \in F(T(s)) \cap \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in H_1} \psi(y), q \in F(R(m)) \cap \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in H_2} \varphi(y) \text{ and } Ap = Bq\} \neq \emptyset$  and the step size sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is chosen in such a way that for some  $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\gamma_n \in \left( \epsilon, \frac{2\|Aw_n - Bz_n\|^2}{\|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 + \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2} - \epsilon \right), \quad n \in \Omega.$$

Otherwise  $\gamma_n = \gamma$  ( $\gamma$  being any nonnegative value), where the set of indexes  $\Omega = \{n : Aw_n - Bz_n \neq 0\}$ . Let  $u, x_1 \in H_1$  and  $v, y_1 \in H_2$  be arbitrary and the sequence  $(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\})$  be generated iteratively by

$$\begin{cases} w_n = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n u; \\ z_n = (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_n v; \\ \begin{cases} u_n = J_{\rho_n}^\psi(w_n - \gamma_n A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)); \\ v_n = J_{\mu_n}^\varphi(z_n + \gamma_n B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)); \end{cases} \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)u_n + \beta_n \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds; \\ y_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)v_n + \beta_n \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm; \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$  are sequences in  $(0, 1)$ ,  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  are adjoints of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Let  $0 < \rho \leq \rho_n, 0 < \mu \leq \mu_n$  and  $\{t_n\}, \{r_n\}$  be sequences in  $[0, \infty)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $(p, q) \in \Gamma$ ,  $a_n = w_n - \gamma_n A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)$  and  $b_n = z_n + \gamma_n B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)$  then from (3.1) and Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\|^2 &= \|J_{\rho_n}^\psi a_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|a_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \|w_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n^2 \|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 - 2\gamma_n \langle w_n - p, A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n) \rangle \\ &= \|w_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n^2 \|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 - 2\gamma_n \langle Aw_n - Ap, Aw_n - Bz_n \rangle \\ &= \|w_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n^2 \|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 - \gamma_n \|Aw_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma_n \|Aw_n - Bz_n\|^2 + \gamma_n \|Bz_n - Ap\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

By similar steps as in (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n - q\|^2 &\leq \|b_n - q\|^2 \\ &= \|z_n - q\|^2 + \gamma_n^2 \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 - \gamma_n \|Bz_n - Bq\|^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma_n \|Aw_n - Bz_n\|^2 + \gamma_n \|Aw_n - Bq\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Adding (3.2) and (3.3), using the fact that  $Ap = Bq$  and noting the assumption on  $\gamma_n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|v_n - q\|^2 &\leq \|a_n - p\|^2 + \|b_n - q\|^2 \\ &\leq \|w_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - q\|^2 - \gamma_n [2\|Aw_n - Bz_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma_n (\|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 + \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2)] \\ &\leq \|w_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - q\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

From (3.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n u - p\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - p) + \alpha_n(u - p)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n\|u - p\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Using the same approach in (3.5), we have that

$$\|z_n - q\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|y_n - q\|^2 + \alpha_n\|v - q\|^2. \tag{3.6}$$

Adding (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\|w_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - q\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n)[\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2] + \alpha_n[\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2]. \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.1) and Lemma 2.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - q\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_n)u_n + \beta_n \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - p\|^2 + \|(1 - \beta_n)v_n + \beta_n \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - q\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_n)(u_n - p) + \beta_n \left( \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)p ds \right)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \|(1 - \beta_n)(v_n - q) + \beta_n \left( \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)q dm \right)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)p ds \right\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_n)\|v_n - q\|^2 + \beta_n \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)q dm \right\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n \right\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|u_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_n)\|v_n - q\|^2 + \beta_n\|v_n - q\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|v_n - q\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \left[ \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n \right\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n \right\|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|v_n - q\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Using (3.1), (3.7) and (3.8), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - q\|^2 &\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|v_n - q\|^2 \\ &\leq \|w_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - q\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)[\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2] + \alpha_n[\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2] \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2, \|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2\} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_1 - p\|^2 + \|y_1 - q\|^2, \|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\{\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2\} + \{\|y_{n+1} - q\|^2\}$  is bounded. Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are bounded. Consequently,  $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}, \{w_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds\}$  and  $\{\frac{1}{r_n} R(m)v_n dm\}$  are also bounded.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that Lemma 3.1 holds and let  $0 < \rho \leq \rho_n, 0 < \mu \leq \mu_n$  and  $\{s_n\}, \{r_n\}$  be sequences in  $[0, \infty)$  with conditions:*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ .

Then  $(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\})$  converges strongly to  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \Gamma$ .

From (3.1), (3.2) and (3.7), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - q\|^2 &\leq \|w_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - q\|^2 - \gamma_n [2\|Aw_n - Bz_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma_n (\|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n) + \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2)] \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) [\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2] + \alpha_n [\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2] \\ &\quad - \gamma_n [2\|Aw_n - Bz_n\|^2 - \gamma_n (\|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n) + \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Case 1: Assume that  $\{\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2\}$  is monotone decreasing, then  $\{\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2\}$  is convergent, thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - q\|^2) - (\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2)] = 0$ .

From (3.9), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_n^2 (\|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n) + \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) [\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2] [\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - q\|^2] + \alpha_n [\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From condition (i) of Theorem 3.2 and the condition

$$\gamma_n \in \left( \epsilon, \frac{2\|Aw_n - Bz_n\|^2}{\|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 + \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2} - \epsilon \right), \quad n \in \Omega.$$

We conclude that

$$(\|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 + \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $Aw_n - Bz_n = 0$ , if  $n \in \Omega$ , therefore we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - x_n\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - x_n) + \alpha_n(u - x_n)\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|u - x_n\| \end{aligned}$$

From condition (ii) of Theorem 3.2, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - y_n\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(y_n - y_n) + \alpha_n(v - y_n)\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|v - y_n\| \end{aligned}$$

From condition (i) of (3.2), we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - y_n\| = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.1), (3.4) and (3.7), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - q\|^2 &\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|v_n - q\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \left[ \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n \right\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n \right\|^2 \right] \\
 &\leq \|w_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - q\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \left[ \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n \right\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n \right\|^2 \right] \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)[\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2] + \alpha_n[\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2] \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \left[ \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n \right\|^2 \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \left[ \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n \right\|^2 \right] \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)[\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2] - [\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - q\|^2] + \alpha_n[\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2].
 \end{aligned}$$

From condition (i) of Theorem 3.2, we have that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n \right\|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n \right\|^2 = \left\| \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n \right\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

From Lemma 2.5, we have that

$$\frac{1}{2\rho_n} \|u_n - p\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\rho_n} \|a_n - p\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\rho_n} \|a_n - u_n\|^2 \leq \psi(y) - \psi(u_n).$$

Since  $\psi(p) \leq \psi(u_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|a_n - p\|^2 - \|a_n - u_n\|^2. \tag{3.16}$$

Similarly, from (2.5), we have that

$$\frac{1}{2\mu_n} \|v_n - q\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_n} \|b_n - q\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_n} \|b_n - v_n\|^2 \leq g(y) - g(v_n).$$

Since  $g(q) \leq g(v_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\|v_n - q\|^2 \leq \|b_n - q\|^2 - \|b_n - v_n\|^2. \tag{3.17}$$

By adding (3.16) and (3.17), we get

$$\|u_n - p\|^2 + \|v_n - q\|^2 \leq \|a_n - p\|^2 + \|b_n - q\|^2 - [\|a_n - u_n\|^2 + \|b_n - v_n\|^2]. \tag{3.18}$$

On substituting (3.18) into (3.8), and applying (3.4) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - q\|^2 &\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|v_n - q\|^2 - [\|a_n - u_n\|^2 + \|b_n - v_n\|^2] \\ &\leq \|a_n - p\|^2 + \|b_n - q\|^2 - [\|a_n - u_n\|^2 + \|b_n - v_n\|^2] \\ &\leq \|w_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - q\|^2 - [\|a_n - u_n\|^2 + \|b_n - v_n\|^2] \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)[\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2] + \alpha_n[\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2] \\ &\quad - [\|a_n - u_n\|^2 + \|b_n - v_n\|^2], \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - a_n\|^2 + \|v_n - b_n\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)[\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2] - [\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - q\|^2] \\ &\quad + \alpha_n[\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - a_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - b_n\| = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

Using the definition of  $a_n, b_n$  and applying (3.11), we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n - w_n\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n^2 \|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n - z_n\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n^2 \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.21) and (3.22), we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - w_n\| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - z_n\|. \tag{3.23}$$

Also, from (3.12), (3.13) and (3.23), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - x_n\| = \|v_n - y_n\| = 0. \tag{3.24}$$

From (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - u_n\| &= \|(1 - \beta_n)u_n + \beta_n \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - u_n\| + \beta_n \|\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.15), we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - u_n\| = 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly, from (3.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - v_n\| &= \|(1 - \beta_n)v_n + \beta_n \frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|v_n - v_n\| + \beta_n \|\frac{1}{r_n} \int_0^{r_n} R(m)v_n dm - v_n\|. \end{aligned}$$



Thus, from (3.15), we have obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{n+1} - v_n\| = 0. \tag{3.26}$$

From (3.1), we have that

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - u_n\| + \|u_n - x_n\|.$$

Thus, from (3.20) and (3.25), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Also, from (3.1), we obtain

$$\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \leq \|y_{n+1} - v_n\| + \|v_n - y_n\|$$

Thus, from (3.20) and (3.26), we obtain

$$\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - T(u)u_n\| &\leq \|u_n - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds\| + \|\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - T(u)\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds\| \\ &\quad + \|T(u)\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - T(u)u_n\| \\ &\leq 2\|u_n - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds\| + \|\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - T(u)\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.15) and Lemma 2.4 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - T(u)u_n\| = 0. \tag{3.29}$$

Similarly, using the same approach as in (3.29), we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - T(v)v_n\| = 0. \tag{3.30}$$

Since  $\rho_n > \rho > 0$ , we have from Lemma 2.6 and (3.20) that

$$\|J_\rho a_n - a_n\| \leq \|J_{\rho_n} a_n - a_n\|.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_\rho a_n - a_n\| = 0. \tag{3.31}$$

Similarly, from Lemma 2.6 and (3.20), we have that

$$\|J_\mu b_n - b_n\| \leq \|J_{\mu_n} b_n - b_n\|.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_\mu b_n - b_n\| = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  which converges weakly to  $\bar{x}$ . It follows from (3.12) and (3.20) that the subsequences  $\{u_{n_j}\}$  and  $\{w_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$  converges weakly to  $\bar{x}$ .

Similarly, since  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, there exists a subsequence  $\{y_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{y_n\}$  which converges weakly to  $\bar{y}$ . From (3.13) and (3.20), we have that subsequences  $\{v_{n_j}\}, \{z_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{v_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  also converges weakly to  $\bar{y}$ . Hence, from the nonexpansiveness of  $J_\rho$ , it follows from the demiclosedness principle (Lemma 2.2) and (3.31) that  $\bar{x} \in F(J_\rho^\psi)$ . Following the same approach and using (3.32), we have that  $\bar{y} \in F(J_\mu^\varphi)$ . Using (3.29), (3.30) and Lemma 2.2, we have that  $\bar{x} \in F(T(s))$   $\bar{y} \in F(R(m))$ .

Next, we show that  $A\bar{x} = B\bar{y}$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded linear operators, we have  $Aw_n \rightharpoonup A\bar{x}$  and  $Bz_n \rightharpoonup B\bar{y}$ .

Using the condition on  $\{\gamma_n\}$  and (3.9), we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Aw_n - Bz_n\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.33}$$

By weakly semi continuity of the norm, we have

$$\|A\bar{x} - B\bar{y}\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Aw_n - Bz_n\| = 0. \tag{3.34}$$

Thus,

$$A\bar{x} = B\bar{y}. \tag{3.35}$$

Now, since  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  converges weakly to  $\bar{x}$ , we obtain by the property of  $P_\Gamma$  that Next, we show that  $(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\})$  converges strongly to  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ .

Now, since  $(\{x_{n_j}\}, \{y_{n_j}\})$  converges weakly to  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , we obtain by the property of  $P_\Gamma$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - p, x_n - p \rangle + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - q, y_n - q \rangle &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - p, x_{n_j} - p \rangle + \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle v - q, y_{n_j} - q \rangle \\ &= \langle u - p, \bar{x} - p \rangle + \langle v - q, \bar{y} - q \rangle \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

From (3.4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|y_{n+1} - \bar{y}\|^2 &\leq \|w_n - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|z_n - \bar{y}\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|x_n - \bar{x}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|u - \bar{x}\|^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_n \langle x_n - \bar{x}, u - \bar{x} \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|y_n - \bar{y}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|v - \bar{y}\|^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n)\alpha_n \langle y_n - \bar{y}, v - \bar{y} \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)[\|x_n - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|y_n - \bar{y}\|^2] + \alpha_n[\alpha_n \|u - \bar{x}\|^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n)\langle x_n - \bar{x}, u - \bar{x} \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \|v - \bar{y}\|^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n)\langle y_n - \bar{y}, v - \bar{y} \rangle]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Applying Lemma 2.7, (3.36) and condition (i) of Theorem (3.2), we have that  $(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\})$  converges strongly to  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ .

Case 2: Assume that  $\{\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2\}$  is not monotone decreasing. Suppose  $\Upsilon_n := \|x_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - q\|^2$  and let  $\tau : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a mapping defined for all  $n \geq n_0$  (for some large  $n_0$ ) by

$$\tau(n) := \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \leq n, \Upsilon_k \leq \Upsilon_{k+1}\}.$$

Obviously,  $\tau$  is a non-decreasing sequence such that  $\tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\Upsilon_{\tau(n)} \leq \Upsilon_{\tau(n)+1}, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

From (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_n^2 [\|A^*(Aw_{\tau(n)} - Bz_{\tau(n)})\|^2 + \|B^*(Aw_{\tau(n)} - Bz_{\tau(n)})\|^2] \\ &\leq \|x_{\tau(n)} - p\|^2 + \|y_{\tau(n)} - q\|^2 \\ &\quad - [\|x_{\tau(n)+1} - p\|^2 + \|y_{\tau(n)+1} - q\|^2] + \alpha_{\tau(n)} [\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2] \\ &\leq \alpha_{\tau(n)} [\|u - p\|^2 + \|v - q\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\gamma_{\tau(n)}^2 [\|A^*(Aw_{\tau(n)} - Bz_{\tau(n)})\|^2 + \|B^*(Aw_{\tau(n)} - Bz_{\tau(n)})\|^2] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the condition on  $\{\gamma_{\tau(n)}\}$ , we have

$$[\|A^*(Aw_{\tau(n)} - Bz_{\tau(n)})\|^2 + \|B^*(Aw_{\tau(n)} - Bz_{\tau(n)})\|^2] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that  $Aw_{\tau(n)} - Bz_{\tau(n)} = 0$ , if  $\tau(n) \notin \Omega$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^*(Aw_{\tau(n)} - Bz_{\tau(n)})\|^2 = 0, \tag{3.38}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B^*(Aw_{\tau(n)} - Bz_{\tau(n)})\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.39}$$

Now for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we have from (3.37) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq [\|x_{\tau(n)+1} - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|y_{\tau(n)+1} - \bar{y}\|^2 - (\|x_{\tau(n)} - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|y_{\tau(n)} - \bar{y}\|^2)] \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{\tau(n)})[\|x_{\tau(n)} - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|y_{\tau(n)} - \bar{y}\|^2] - [\|x_{\tau(n)} - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|y_{\tau(n)} - \bar{y}\|^2] \\ &\quad + \alpha_{\tau(n)}[\alpha_{\tau(n)}[\|u - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|v - \bar{y}\|^2] + 2(1 - \alpha_{\tau(n)})(\langle x_{\tau(n)} - \bar{x}, u - \bar{x} \rangle + \langle y_{\tau(n)} - \bar{y}, v - \bar{y} \rangle)], \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{\tau(n)} - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|y_{\tau(n)} - \bar{y}\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_{\tau(n)}[\|u - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|v - \bar{y}\|^2] + 2(1 - \alpha_{\tau(n)})(\langle x_{\tau(n)} - \bar{x}, u - \bar{x} \rangle + \langle y_{\tau(n)} - \bar{y}, v - \bar{y} \rangle) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_{\tau(n)} - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|y_{\tau(n)} - \bar{y}\|^2) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Upsilon_{\tau(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Upsilon_{\tau(n)+1} = 0.$$

Moreso, for  $n \geq n_0$ , it is clear that  $\Upsilon_{\tau(n)} \leq \Upsilon_{\tau(n)+1}$  if  $n \neq \tau(n)$  (i.e  $\tau(n) < n$ ) because  $\Upsilon_j > \Upsilon_{j+1}$  for  $\tau(n) + 1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Consequently for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$0 \leq \Upsilon_n \leq \max\{\Upsilon_{\tau(n)}, \Upsilon(n) + 1\} = \Upsilon_{\tau(n)+1}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Upsilon_n = 0$ , which also implies that  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  converges strongly to  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Numerical Example

Let  $H = \mathbb{R}^2$  be endowed with the Euclidean norm and  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by  $T(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{3}(x_1, x_2)$  and  $R(x_1, x_2) = \frac{2}{5}(x_1, x_2)$ . Then  $T$  and  $R$  are nonexpansive mappings. Now, define  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  by  $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|Bx - b\|^2$ , where  $B(x) = (2x_1 + x_2, x_1 + 3x_2)$  and  $b = (0, 0)$ . Then  $f$  is a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function, since  $B$  is a continuous linear mapping.

Also, define  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  by  $\varphi(x) = \|Mx - m\|^2$  by  $M(x) = (3x_1 - x_2, 2x_1 + 3x_2)$  and  $m = (0, 0)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function. Let  $\rho_n = \mu_n = 1 \forall n \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^\psi(x) &= \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} [\psi(y) + \frac{1}{2}\|y - x\|^2] = [I + B^T B]^{-1}(x + B^T b^T) \\ &= \left( \frac{11x_1 - 5x_2}{41}, \frac{-5x_1 + 6x_2}{41} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^\varphi(x) &= \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} [\varphi(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|y - x\|^2] = [I + M^T M]^{-1} (x + M^T m^T) \\ &= \left( \frac{11x_1 - 3x_2}{145}, \frac{-3x_1 + 14x_2}{145} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now take  $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{n+5}$  and  $\beta_n = \frac{n}{2n+3}, \forall n \geq 1$ .

Then (3.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} w_n = \frac{n+4}{n+5}x_n + \frac{1}{n+5}u, \\ z_n = \frac{n+4}{n+5}y_n + \frac{1}{n+5}v, \\ u_n = J_{\rho_n}^\psi(w_n - \gamma_n A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)), \\ v_n = J_{\mu_n}^\varphi(z_n + \gamma_n A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)), \\ x_{n+1} = \frac{n+3}{2n+3}u_n + \frac{n}{6n+9}u_n, \\ y_{n+1} = \frac{n+3}{2n+3}v_n + \frac{2n}{10n+15}v_n \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $A(x_1, x_2) = 2$  and  $B(x_1, x_2) = 6x$ , so that  $A^*(x) = 2x$  and  $B^*(x) = 6x$ .

Let  $\gamma_n \in \left( \epsilon, \frac{2\|Aw_n - Bz_n\|^2}{\|A^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2 + \|B^*(Aw_n - Bz_n)\|^2} - \epsilon \right)$ ,  $n \in \Omega$ . Otherwise  $\gamma_n = \gamma$  ( $\gamma$  being any any nonnegative value), where the set of indexes  $\Omega = \{n : Aw_n - Bz_n \neq 0\}$ .

### Acknowledgements:

The first author acknowledges with thanks the bursary and financial support from Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation, Republic of South Africa Centre of Excellence in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences (DSI-NRF COE-MaSS) Post-doctoral Bursary. Opinions expressed and conclusions arrived are those of the authors and are not necessarily to be attributed to the CoE-MaSS.

### References

- [1] H. A. Abass, O. K. Oyewole, O. K. Narain, L. O. Jolaoso and B. I. Olajuwon, *On split generalized equilibrium and fixed point problems with multiple output sets in real Banach spaces*, Comput. Appl. Math., **41**, (2022), 416.
- [2] H.A. Abass, C. C. Okeke and O. T. Mewomo, *On split equality mixed equilibrium and fixed point problems of countable families of generalized k-strictly pseudocontractive mappings*, Dym. Contin. Discrete. Impul. Syst. Ser B. Appl. Algorithms, **25** (2018), 369–395.
- [3] K. Afassinou, O. K. Narain and O. E. Otunuga, *Iterative algorithm for approximating solutions of split monotone variational inclusion, variational inequality and fixed point problems in real Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. and Appl., **25** (2020), 491-510.
- [4] F. Akutsah, O. K. Narain, H. A. Abass and A. A. Mebawondu, *Shrinking approximation method for solution of split monotone variational inclusion and fixed point problems in Banach spaces*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., **12** (2021), 825–842.
- [5] O. A. Boikanyo and H. Zegeye, *The split equality fixed point problem for quasi pseudo -contractive mappings without prior knowledge of norms*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., **41** (2020), 759–777.
- [6] Y. Censor and T. Elfving, *A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space*, Numer. Algorithms, **8** (1994), 221–239.
- [7] S. S. Chang, L. Wang and L. J. Qin, *Split equality fixed point problem for quasi-pseudo-contractive mappings with applications*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., (2015), 12 pages.
- [8] H. Che and M. Li, *Solving split variational inclusion problem and fixed point problem for nonexpansive semigroup without prior knowledge of operator norms*, Math. Probl. Eng., **2015** (2015), Article ID 408165, 9 pages.
- [9] C. E. Chidume, *Geometric properties of Banach spaces and nonlinear spaces and nonlinear iterations*, Springer Verlag Series, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, ISBN 978-84882-189-7, (2009).
- [10] M. Eslamian and A. Fakhri, *Split equality monotone variational inclusions and fixed point problem of set-valued operator*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae Matem., **9** (2017), 94–121.

- [11] C. Izuchukwu, K.O. Aremu, H. A. Abass, O. T. Mewomo and P. Choramjiak, *A viscosity proximal point algorithm for solving optimization problems in Hadamard spaces*, Nonlinear Studies, **28** (2021), 1–26.
- [12] W. Laowang and B. Panyanak, *Strong and  $\Delta$ -convergence theorems for multivalued mappings in  $CAT(0)$  spaces*, J. Ineq. Appl., **2009** (2009), Art. Id 730132, 16 pages.
- [13] A. Moudafi, *A relaxed alternating CQ algorithm for convex feasibility problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, **79**, (2013), 117–121.
- [14] A. Moudafi, *Split monotone variational inclusions*, J. Optimization Theory and Appl., **150**, (2011), 275–283.
- [15] A. Moudafi, *Alternating CQ-algorithm for convex feasibility problem and split fixed-point problems*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **15** (2014), 809–818.
- [16] O. M. Onifade, H. A. Abass and O.K. Narain, *Self-adaptive method for solving multiple set split equality-variational inequality and fixed point problems in real Hilbert spaces*, Annali dell’Universita di Ferrara, (2023), doi.org/10.1007/s11565-022-00455-0.
- [17] Y. Shehu and D. F. Agbebaku, *On split inclusion problem and fixed point problem for multi-valued mappings*, Comp. Appl. Math., **37** (2018), 1807–1824 . .
- [18] M. Taherian and M. Azhini, *Viscosity method for generalized equilibrium problems with perturbation and nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences, **40**, 1367–1390.
- [19] J. Thanyarat and P. Kumam, *A new explicit triple hierarchical problem over the set of fixed points and generalized mixed equilibrium problems*, J. Inequal Appl . **2012** (2012), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2012-82>
- [20] H.Y. Zhou, *Convergence theorems of fixed points for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **69**, (2008), 456–462.
- [21] H. K. Xu, *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, J. London Math. Soc., **2**, (2002), 240–252.
- [22] J.Zhao, *Solving split equality fixed-point problem of quasi-nonexpansive mappings without prior knowledge of operator norms*, Optimization, (2014), **64**, 2619–2630.